

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025

8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

1 CV66718

Gabriella Fierro vs. BZ Investments, LLC

12/05/2024

Gabriella Fierro

Attorney: Teresa Colwell

BZ Investments, LLC

Peter Schimmelfenning

Attorney: Edward Tafe

All Terrain Realty

Attorney: Edward Tafe

Bazyl Zasum

Pro Per

Angelica Bender

Pro Per

Evelina Zasum

Pro Per

Demurrer

Case Management Conference

Trial Setting

12/05/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

08/13/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. Commissioner is already serving as Judge for all purposes.

This is a civil action involving a failed real estate transaction. According to plaintiff, she was ready, willing and able to consummate her acquisition of certain residential property in La Grange for price agreed upon between herself and the seller group, but that the seller group breached its obligations and failed to perform pursuant to the purchase agreement. The buyer and seller already resolved their difference in mediation, leaving just the buyer and her broker (who served in a dual agent capacity) here.

Before the Court this day is a demurrer by the broker, directed at the entire Second Amended Complaint and all four causes of action subsumed therein. Because the broker did not demurrer to the fiduciary duty, good faith or misrepresentation causes of action from the earlier operative pleading, the current attack via demurrer on those causes of action must be disregarded. See CCP §430.41(b). Thus, all that remains is a demurrer to the third cause of action for intentional interference with contractual relations. As noted, the essential elements are: (1) a valid and enforceable contract between plaintiff and a third party; (2) defendant's actual knowledge of the existence of said contract; (3) defendant's intentional and independently wrongful acts designed or substantially certain to induce either a breach or a serious disruption of that contractual relationship; (4) actual breach or serious disruption of that contractual relationship; and (5) resulting cognizable damage. See *Reeves v. Hanlon* (2004) 33 Cal.4th 1140, 1148; *Norman v. Ross* (2024) 101 Cal.App.5th 617, 668; *Caliber Paving Company, Inc. v. Rexford Industrial Realty and Management, Inc.* (2020) 54 Cal.App.5th 175, 187.

As a stranger to the purchase contract, the broker can be held liable for contractual interference if there are facts alleged to show that the broker engaged in purposeful, and independently wrongful, acts which seriously interrupted the contractual agreement. A careful review of the new operative pleading still fails to include sufficient facts to connect the dots between the broker's independently wrongful conduct (failure to act as a fiduciary and keep plaintiff accurately informed) and the ultimate disruption of the sale. Assuming the broker was responsible for coordinating an inferior repair of the septic tank, and allowing a lengthy delay in escrow, how did these two things lead to a cancellation of the deal? Did plaintiff get cold feet and pull out? Since the buyers benefitted from the cheaper fix of the septic tank, and presumably from the delayed escrow, it seems that whatever the broker did was not a cause in fact of the sellers' breach. Something is missing here. Since there is no reply brief in support of the demurrer, this Court wonders aloud if perhaps the parties have reached their own resolution. Either way, the demurrer to the third cause of action for intentional interference with contractual relations must be sustained again. Since plaintiff is inching her way closer and closer to a proper cause of action, this Court will permit one last leave to amend. Notice is waived. The 30 days shall commence forthwith.

12/22/2025 9:52 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	-------------------	---------	-----------	------------

2	CV67558	Thomas Edward Humphrey vs. State of California et al		08/11/2025
---	---------	--	--	------------

Thomas Edward Humphrey Pro Per

State of California

Governor Gavin Newson

Senate President Pro Tempore

Mike McGuire

Minority Leader Brian W Jones

Speaker Honorable Robert Rivas

Speaker Pro Tempore Honorable

Josh Lowenthal

California Highway Patrol

Department of California Highway

Pa

Highway Patrol California

Ca Highway Patrol

California Highway Patrol Explorer

Program

Nvlpt Ca State CHP Highwa

Commissioner Sean Duryee

Sergeant Randy L Matyshock

Sergeant Richard Percey

Officer Faustino Pulido

Gavin Christopher Newson

Mike McGuire

Brian W Jones

Robert Rivas

Josh Lowenthal

Sean A Duryee

Randy L Matyshock

Richard V Percey

Faustino Manuel Pulido

Vic's Towing Inc

Scott Selesia

Jessica Selesia

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025

8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

Clay Selesia

Motion Hearing - Other

Extension of time to serve

Motion Hearing - Other

to Proceed Without Costs

08/11/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/20/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Need full stip ...

This is a civil action commenced by a sovereign citizen seeking redress for an alleged trespass to chattel when certain defendants caused the seizure and towing of plaintiff's "mobile home" back in august of 2023 – leaving him shelterless. Plaintiff alleges that he was pulled over on Greenley Road near the library by a CHP officer because his motorhome did not have license plates. Plaintiff further alleges that the officer was rude after plaintiff declined to present a driver's license. It appears from the operative pleading that plaintiff continues to be without his property.

On 10/21/2025, plaintiff filed a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff will be directed to self-help to complete the proper forms for this request.

On 10/21/2025, plaintiff filed a motion to secure additional time to comply with CRC 3.110. The basis for the request is the cost associated with effectuating service on the number of named defendants. The Court sees no issue with granting plaintiff additional time.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

3	CV66986	Anthony Kilgore vs. First Light Resorts, LLC, et al.	02/21/2025
----------	----------------	---	-------------------

Anthony Kilgore

Attorney: Marcus Bradley

First Light Resorts, LLC, et al.

Motion Hearing - Leave

02/21/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/17/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXVWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is needed.

This is a wage/hour dispute with class claims and a PAGA cause of action. This Court previously approved the voluntary dismissal of the class claims, but the proposed order was not tracked to this department and counsel did not use the for some reason the CIV-110 form. In other words, the class claims can be dismissed, but at present remain active.

Before the Court this day is plaintiff's unopposed motion to file a Second Amended Complaint which pleads only the PAGA cause of action. This of course is the preferred method for avoiding any confusion or prejudice to putative class members who might be reviewing court files and erroneously believe that this case involves class claims. That motion is granted. To simplify things, counsel is asking to separately file the Second Amended Complaint with 10 days, rather than rely on this Court separating the document and deeming it filed this date.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	-------------------	---------	-----------	------------

4	CVL67066	Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC vs. Daniel		03/14/2025
---	----------	---	--	------------

Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC

Attorney: Donald Sherrill

Daniel Persson

Attorney: Jenna
Moreno-Cortez

Case Management Conference

Further

Motion Hearing - Other

for Order that Matters in Request for Admission of Truth of Facts be Deemed Admitted

03/14/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/19/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. Commissioner is already serving as Judge for all purposes.

This is a limited-jurisdiction collections case which was removed from the "3.740" timelines after defendant filed an answer. Before the Court this day is plaintiff's unopposed motion to deem RFAs admitted. According to the supporting papers, plaintiff caused to be served upon defendant a set of RFAs on 06/11/2025. Although the POS includes the correct street address for the defense firm, using defendant's actual name on the mailing may have caused some avoidable confusion. Either way, service appears to be adequate, and according to plaintiff no responses have been provided.

The primary purpose behind requests for admissions is to eliminate the need for proof and to set at rest triable issues so that they will not have to be tried. *Stull v. Sparrow* (2001) 92 Cal.App.4th 860, 865. Requests for admissions may be directed to any matter that is in controversy between the parties: facts, opinions or legal conclusions. See CCP §2033.010; *Miller v. American Greetings Corp.* (2008) 161 Cal.App.4th 1055, 1066. As noted by one Court of Appeal, "the law governing the consequences for failing to respond to requests for admission may be the most unforgiving in civil procedure." *Demyer v. Costa Mesa Mobile Home Estates* (1995) 36 Cal.App.4th 393, 394-39. That is mostly true, save perhaps for one, often-overlooked, safe harbor therein, to wit: CCP §2033.280(c). Pursuant thereto, a substantially-compliant response to the RFAs made at any time "before the hearing on the motion" will moot the motion almost entirely (sanctions would still be recoverable, but plaintiff did not seek those here). See *St. Mary v. Superior Court* (2014) 223 Cal.App.4th 762, 778; in accord, *Katayama v. Continental Investment Group* (2024) 105 Cal.App.5th 898, 908.

Assuming defendant has not provided substantially-complaint responses by the hearing, the motion will be granted. With that, this Court needs to conduct a CMC as well. Defendant did not file a CMC statement, but it appears that plaintiff intends to present this case as a 2-hr bench trial (and not via the cumbersome MSJ route). This Court is amenable to setting trial at this time.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

5 CVL67241 American Express National Bank vs. Robert

05/02/2025

American Express National Bank Attorney: Zhen Ren

Robert Offermann Pro Per

Case Management Conference

05/02/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

05/12/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 05/02/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? Yes
- Answer? Yes
- CMC Statements? Plaintiff only
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? n/a
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set bench trial. Confirm stip.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

6 CV67044 Bank of Stockton vs. John Joseph Duffey

03/10/2025

Bank of Stockton

Attorney: Adam Corren

John Joseph Duffey

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

03/10/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/13/2025 High Density

No appearance is necessary.

This is a breach of contract action. The case has been voluntarily dismissed.
The OSC re sanctions is vacated. *Franklin Capital Corp. v. Wilson* (2007) 148
Cal.App.4th 187, 193-195.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

7 CVL67466 Barclays Bank Delaware vs. Albert Sandner

07/18/2025

Barclays Bank Delaware

Attorney: Matthew Keim

Albert Sandner

Pro Per

Case Management Conference

07/18/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/29/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 07/18/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? Yes
- Answer? Yes
- CMC Statements? none
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? n/a
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set bench trial. Confirm stip.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5 December 24, 2025 10:00 am DA Case # Date Filed

8 CV65506 California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection 08/16/2023

California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection Attorney: Evan Eickmeyer

Dutton Landscape Services

Ryan Dutton

D.R. Attorney: Bethany Lukitsch

D.R. Attorney: Kamran Ahmadian

Charmaine Turpin-Erwin Attorney: Jason DiGioia

Charmaine Turpin-Erwin Attorney: Gregory Prongos

Charmaine Turpin-Erwin Attorney: Andria Jaramillo

Charmaine Turpin-Erwin Attorney: Jason DiGioia

Charmaine Turpin-Erwin Attorney: Gregory Prongos

Charmaine Turpin-Erwin Attorney: Andria Jaramillo

Dutton Landscape Services

Ryan Dutton

D.R. Attorney: Bethany Lukitsch

D.R. Attorney: Kamran Ahmadian

Review Hearing

Dismissal filed?

10/27/2023 Cross Complaint

File Tracking

08/08/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary.

This is a fire cost recovery action relating to the Moc Fire from 2020. On 08/28/2025, plaintiff caused to be filed a Notice of Unconditional Settlement of Entire Case. Pursuant to CRC 3.1385(b), "if the plaintiff or other party required to serve and file the request for dismissal does not do so, the court must dismiss the entire case 45 days after it receives notice of settlement unless good cause is shown why the case should not be dismissed." Neither party has proffered good cause for additional time, so the matter shall be dismissed with prejudice.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

9 CVL67035 Capital One, N.A. vs. Bill Morales

03/07/2025

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Anthony DiPiero

Bill Morales

Pro Per

Case Management Conference

03/07/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/17/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 03/07/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? Yes
- Answer? Yes
- CMC Statements? Both
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? n/a
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set bench trial. Confirm stip.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

10 CVL67453 Capital One, N.A. vs. Nicole J Hurtado

07/16/2025

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Daria
Lourtchenko

Nicole J Hurtado

Pro Per

Case Management Conference

07/16/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/21/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 07/16/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? Yes
- Answer? Yes
- CMC Statements? Plaintiff only
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? n/a
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set bench trial. Confirm stip.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5 December 24, 2025 10:00 am DA Case # Date Filed

11 CV66652 Rick Christiansen vs. Sierra Heavy Duty Truck Center,

11/19/2024

Rick Christiansen

Attorney: Erik Child

Sierra Heavy Duty Truck Center,
Inc.

Attorney: Joseph Minioza

Sierra Heavy Duty RV & Truck
Center

Attorney: Joseph Minioza

Case Management Conference

Trial Setting

11/19/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

07/02/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action. Plaintiff alleges that as a patron at defendant's establishment, he utilized a defective stool which collapsed, causing him to fall backwards and strike his head. The action has been pending for just over one year.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: _____ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	----------------------------	-----------	------------

12 CV67341	Kari Lee Faughnan vs. Denelle Jean Strobe		06/13/2025
------------	---	--	------------

Kari Lee Faughnan

Attorney: Giorgio
Cassandra

Denelle Jean Strobe

Case Management Conference

Plaintiff's Attorney Edvard Mikayelyan

06/13/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/22/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action. Plaintiff alleges that she was rear-ended on SR-49 in Jamestown in July, 2023. The action has been pending for six months. The court file does not reflect any proof of service or appearances by defendants. The CMC statement filed by plaintiff on 12/11/2025 states that all parties have been served/appeared or dismissed, but that is clearly not accurate. Plaintiff has a duty to effectuate service within 60 days (CRC 3.110(b)) or obtain an order for extension (CRC 3.110(f)). Plaintiff also has a duty to provide accurate disclosures in the CMC statement (CRC 3.725(c)).

Counsel to advise.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5 December 24, 2025 10:00 am DA Case # Date Filed

13 CV67519 Petition of Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation 08/06/2025

Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Servhl Underlying Trust 2019-1
C/O Wilmington Trust National Association

Pro Per

Case Management Conference

08/06/2025 Petition

File Tracking

08/06/2025 Cubicle 2

This is a quiet title action. The action has been pending since August. According to the court file, defendant is an entity which was served through an authorized agent three months ago.

Pursuant to CRC 3.110(g), “if a responsive pleading is not served within the time limits specified in this rule and no extension of time has been granted, the plaintiff must file a request for entry of default within 10 days after the time for service has elapsed. The court may issue an order to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed if the plaintiff fails to timely file the request for the entry of default.”

Moreover, pursuant to CRC 3.725(a), “no later than 15 calendar days before the date set for the case management conference or review, each party must file a case management statement and serve it on all other parties in the case.” Neither party have complied. “The court may order a person, after written notice and an opportunity to be heard, to pay reasonable monetary sanctions to the court or an aggrieved person, or both, for failure without good cause to comply with the applicable rules.” CRC 2.30(b).

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

14 CV67333

Natasha Flores vs. Timothy James Rickard

06/11/2025

Natasha Flores

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Timothy James Rickard

Case Management Conference

06/11/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/22/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action. Plaintiff alleges that he was rear-ended in an automobile accident on Highway 108 near Jamestown on 06/30/2025 (which happens to be a few weeks before the lawsuit was filed). Defendant has filed an answer. Both parties filed a CMC statement, although plaintiff has the wrong time and department listed.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: _____(Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	----------------------------	-----------	------------

15 CV67549	Robert Anthony Gullingsrud vs. Krishana Hamilton et		08/14/2025
------------	---	--	------------

Robert Anthony Gullingsrud	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
----------------------------	---------------------------

Krishana Hamilton	Attorney: Marissa Vandersluys
-------------------	-------------------------------

Ronald Hamilton	Attorney: Marissa Vandersluys
-----------------	-------------------------------

Emily Hamilton

Krishana Hamilton	Attorney: Marissa Vandersluys
-------------------	-------------------------------

Ronald Hamilton	Attorney: Marissa Vandersluys
-----------------	-------------------------------

Robert Anthony Gullingsrud

Case Management Conference

11/17/2025 Cross Complaint

File Tracking

08/20/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action. According to plaintiff, he was attacked and mauled in the face by a dog under the control of defendants. A counter-claim was recently filed and dismissed. One of the named defendants has yet to be served.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: _____ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

16 CV67416

Jacob Hackleton vs. Jennifer Mary Jackson

07/10/2025

Jacob Hackleton

Attorney: Megan Valles

Jennifer Mary Jackson

Brittany Smith

Attorney: Megan Valles

Review Hearing

Dismissal filed?

07/10/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/22/2025 High Density

No appearance is necessary. This action has been voluntarily dismissed.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	----------------------------	-----------	------------

17	CV67400	Miranda Rose Jacobs vs. Safeway, Inc et al	07/03/2025
----	---------	--	------------

Miranda Rose Jacobs

Attorney: Will Ramey

Safeway, Inc

Jeff Hampton

Case Management Conference

07/03/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/22/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action. According to plaintiff, while shopping at Safeway she tripped and fell over a loose/lifted floor tile, resulting in injury. Defendant has appeared. Plaintiff did not file a CMC statement, but defendant did.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: _____(Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

18 CV67540	Paul Kezis vs. Sonora Auto Repair and Diesel		08/12/2025
------------	--	--	------------

Paul Kezis	Pro Per
Sonora Auto Repair and Diesel	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
Case Management Conference	
Demurrer	

08/12/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 08/13/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnYdZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a negligence and breach of contract action. According to plaintiff, defendant failed to faithfully repair his Ford truck – resulting in avoidable costs, delays and related harms.

- The original complaint was filed on 08/12/2025.
- A first amended complaint was filed on 10/17/2025.
- A demurrer was filed on 10/24/2025.

The demurrer is technically defective because defendant has failed to set forth with particularity each distinct ground for demurrer in a separate paragraph. CCP §430.60; CRC 3.1320(a). Part of the problem is the nature of the pleading: a complaint should be limited to a reasonably precise statement of the ultimate facts, in ordinary and concise language. CCP §425.10. Each cause of action should be separately stated, clearly labeled, and uniquely numbered. CRC 2.112. The pleading here is not. Either way, best this Court can tell, defendant has demurred to the original complaint and not the first amended complaint. Thus, the demurrer is moot. However, the first amended complaint is uncertain and hard to follow. Plaintiff is encouraged to set down the “lawyer talk” and just spell out in simple terms what the issue is.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5 December 24, 2025 10:00 am DA Case # Date Filed

19 CV66680 Thomas lacher vs. FCA US, LLC

11/25/2024

Thomas Lacher

Attorney: Tionna Carvalho

FCA US, LLC

Attorney: Sabrina Narain

Laura Lacher

Attorney: Tionna Carvalho

Sonora Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram

FCA US, LLC

Case Management Conference

FURTHER

11/25/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

07/28/2025 Dept. 1 Calendar/Clerk

This is a lemon law case involving a 2022 Ram 2500. Plaintiffs are apparently seeking repurchase. Defendant FC USA has appeared. New defendant Central Valley Automotive has joined in a CMC statement, but has not yet filed an answer or made what constitutes a general appearance.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: _____ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025	10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	-------------------	----------	-----------	------------

20	CV67247	LVNV Funding LLC vs. Laura Nelsen		05/06/2025
----	---------	-----------------------------------	--	------------

LVNV Funding LLC

Attorney: Adam Kidd

Laura Nelsen

Case Management Conference

FURTHER

05/06/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

05/12/2025 High Density

This is an unlimited debt collection case. According to plaintiff's CMC statement, the defendant has been served but has not yet been defaulted. Plaintiff asked for additional time to complete the default package. However, a review of the court file reveals an answer from defendant filed more than one month ago. There is no POS on the answer, which likely explains why plaintiff appears to be unaware of it.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: _____ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

21 CV67417 Jorge Monino vs. Sonora Inn LP et al

07/11/2025

Jorge Monino

Attorney: Mark Peacock

Sonora Inn LP

Sierra Nevada Hospitality LLC

Jeff Michels

Case Management Conference

07/11/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/22/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action. According to plaintiff, he sustained injuries as a result of a dog bite on the premises of the Sonora Inn. The defendants were just recently served, despite this case having been pending since July. It is also curious that plaintiff tried to secure defaults against the defendants on the same day he made substituted service.

A continuance of the CMC is likely needed.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

22 CVL67275 PCA Acquisitions V, LLC vs. Serenity M Waldie

05/15/2025

PCA Acquisitions V, LLC

Attorney: Hada Fernandez

Serenity M Waldie

Pro Per

Case Management Conference

05/15/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

05/20/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 05/15/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? Yes
- Answer? Yes
- CMC Statements? None
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? n/a
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set bench trial. Confirm stip.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5 December 24, 2025 10:00 am DA Case # Date Filed

23 CV67371 Landon Perry vs. Twain Harte School District 06/25/2025

Landon Perry Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Twain Harte School District

Landon Perry Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction

06/25/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 07/22/2025 High Density
--

This is a personal injury action. As alleged, plaintiff was seated top a pillow when a teacher's aide reportedly "yanked" said pillow out from under plaintiff, causing plaintiff to fall and strike his head.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: _____ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5		December 24, 2025 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
24	CVL67066	Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC vs. Daniel Persson			03/14/2025
		Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC	Attorney: Donald Sherrill		
		Daniel Persson	Attorney: Jenna Moreno-Cortez		
		Case Management Conference			
		Further			
		Motion Hearing - Other			
		for Order that Matters in Request for Admission of Truth of Facts be Deemed Admitted			
03/14/2025	Complaint	File Tracking			
		03/19/2025 High Density			

This is #4 at 8:30.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025	10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	-------------------	----------	-----------	------------

25	CV67551	RSS BBCMS2024-C26- CA SNH, LLC, a limited liability company		08/14/2025
----	---------	---	--	------------

RSS BBCMS2024-C26- CA SNH, LLC, a limited liability company
Sierra Nevada Hospitality LLC, a Californiaf limited liability company
Case Management Conference

Attorney: Meagen Leary

08/14/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/20/2025 High Density

Court has receiver's inventory but no update regarding nonjudicial foreclosure sale.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025	10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	-------------------	----------	-----------	------------

26	CVL66708	Synchrony Bank vs. Brian Gabrielson		11/25/2024
----	----------	-------------------------------------	--	------------

Synchrony Bank

Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta

Brian Gabrielson

Attorney: Lynda Jacobs

Trial Setting

Special Set

11/25/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

06/09/2025 High Density

Trial setting.

Plaintiff already filed a litany of trial documents, but the trial was set in Dept 1 and vacated but never reset.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	December 24, 2025	10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	-------------------	----------	-----------	------------

27	CV67308	Luanne, Trustee of the Luanne Vallelunga 2018 Separate Property Trust Vallelunga Luanne, Trustee of the Luanne Vallelunga 2018 Separate Property Trust Vallelunga David Vallelunga Wendy Vallelunga David Vallelunga and Wendy Vallelunga, Trustees of The Vallelunga 2015 Revocable Trust Case Management Conference	Attorney: Gary Dambacher Attorney: Eric Nielsen Attorney: Eric Nielsen Attorney: Eric Nielsen	05/30/2025
----	---------	---	--	------------

05/30/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/22/2025 High Density

This is a civil action involving claims of financial mismanagement over a family-owned hardware business in Twain Harte.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: _____(Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

This is a bench trial so we could do it here in 5 days ...

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

28 CVL67110 American Express National Bank vs. Maria Ramirez

03/27/2025

American Express National Bank Attorney: Robert Cox

Maria Ramirez

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

03/27/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

04/04/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 03/27/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? No
- Answer? Yes
- CMC Statements? None
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? n/a
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set bench trial. Confirm stip.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

29 CVL66278 Bank of America, N.A. vs. Autumn J. Herrera

07/12/2024

Bank of America, N.A.

Attorney: Anthony DiPiero

Autumn J. Herrera

Attorney: Julia Young

Review Hearing

Dismissal?

07/12/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

03/18/2025 High Density

This is a collections case. On 04/02/2025, plaintiff caused to be filed herein a Notice of Conditional Settlement of entire Case, indicating that a request for dismissal would be filed no later than 02/01/2028. Although this filing “removes the case from the computation of time used to determine case disposition time” (CRC 3.1385(c)(4)), and the trial court is expected to leave the case open/active until the date arbitrarily selected by the plaintiff in the Notice provided, it is unreasonable to ask a court to retain in its active inventory a case that will have zero activity for years. Instead, this Court will dismiss the action and reserve jurisdiction under CCP §664.6 to enforce the terms of the settlement agreement filed concurrently therewith.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

30 CVL67115 Bank of America, N.A. vs. Eric John Wistrand

03/28/2025

Bank of America, N.A.

Attorney: Robert Kayvon

Eric John Wistrand

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

03/28/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

04/16/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 03/28/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? No
- Answer? No
- CMC Statements? n/a
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? No
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set early April: Review hearing and OSC re sanctions for failure to comply with CRC 3.740 (\$500).

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

31 CVL67101 Capital One, N.A. vs. Guadalupe Rodriguez

03/24/2025

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Brian Langedyk

Guadalupe Rodriguez

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

03/24/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/27/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 03/24/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? No
- Answer? No
- CMC Statements? n/a
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? No
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set early April: Review hearing and OSC re sanctions for failure to comply with CRC 3.740 (\$500).

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5		December 24, 2025 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
32	CVL67243	Capital One, N.A. vs. Jamie Foxrainbolt			05/08/2025
		Capital One, N.A.	Attorney: Gregory Parks		
		Jamie Foxrainbolt			
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740			
05/08/2025	Complaint	<div>File Tracking</div> <div>05/09/2025 High Density</div>			

Judgment already entered. Off-calendar.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

33 CVL67041 Citibank, N.A. vs. Michael J. Curry

03/07/2025

Citibank, N.A.

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Michael J. Curry

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

03/07/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/17/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 03/07/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? Yes
- Answer? No
- CMC Statements? n/a
- Judgment w/in 360 days? No
- OSC served? No
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set early April: Review hearing and OSC re sanctions for failure to comply with CRC 3.740 (\$500).

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

34 CVL67100 Citibank, N.A. vs. Gary R. Rainbolt

03/24/2025

Citibank, N.A.

Attorney: Daria
Lourtchenko

Gary R. Rainbolt

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

03/24/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/27/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 03/24/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? Yes
- Answer? No
- CMC Statements? n/a
- Judgment w/in 360 days? No
- OSC served? No
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set early April: Review hearing and OSC re sanctions for failure to comply with CRC 3.740 (\$500).

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

35 CVL67061

Creditors Adjustment Bureau, Inc. vs. Timothy Marvin

03/21/2025

Creditors Adjustment Bureau, Inc. Attorney: George Aposhian

Timothy Marvin Crauthers

Carmen Cecilia Crauthers

TMC Construction and Tree
Service LLC

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

03/21/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/26/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 03/21/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? No
- Answer? No
- CMC Statements? n/a
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? No
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set early April: Review hearing and OSC re sanctions for failure to comply with CRC 3.740 (\$500).

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

36 CVL67237 Discover Bank vs. Jeffery M Quarle

04/29/2025

Discover Bank

Attorney: Hasan Misherghi

Jeffery M Quarle

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

04/29/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

05/09/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 04/29/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? No
- Answer? No
- CMC Statements? n/a
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? No
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set early May: Review hearing and OSC re sanctions for failure to comply with CRC 3.740 (\$500).

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5		December 24, 2025 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
37	CV65594	Darren Dolbeare vs. Charles McMahon			09/25/2023
		Darren Dolbeare	Attorney: Lilian Sedaghat		
		Charles McMahon			
		Review Hearing			
		New Publication of Summons Order Filed?			
09/25/2023	Complaint	<div><div>File Tracking</div><div>07/11/2025 High Density</div></div>			

Court is unclear why this is on calendar as there is a review hearing already set for January 21.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

38 CVL67038

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Jason Wright

03/07/2025

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Attorney: Matthew Keim

Jason Wright

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

03/07/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/17/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 03/07/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? No
- Answer? No
- CMC Statements? n/a
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? No
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set early April: Review hearing and OSC re sanctions for failure to comply with CRC 3.740 (\$500).

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

39 CVL67097 OneMain Financial Group, LLC vs. Jennifer M. Paioni

03/20/2025

OneMain Financial Group, LLC

Attorney: Robert Kennard

Jennifer M. Paioni

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

03/20/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/25/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 03/20/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? No (but service is now complete)
- Answer? No
- CMC Statements? n/a
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? No
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set early April: Review hearing and OSC re sanctions for failure to comply with CRC 3.740 (\$500).

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

December 24, 2025 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

40 CVL67098

OneMain Financial Group, LLC as Servicer for ('ASF')

03/20/2025

OneMain Financial Group, LLC as Attorney: Robert Kennard
Servicer for ('ASF') Wilmington
Trust, N.A., as Issuer Loan Trustee
for OneMain Financial Issuance
Trust 2021-1
Oswaldo M. Ponce

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

03/20/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/25/2025 High Density

This is a collections case.

- Complaint: 03/20/2025
- POS w/in 180 days? No (but service is now complete)
- Answer? No
- CMC Statements? n/a
- Judgment w/in 360 days? n/a
- OSC served? No
- Sanction imposed? n/a

Set early April: Review hearing and OSC re sanctions for failure to comply with CRC 3.740 (\$500).

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5		December 24, 2025 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
41	CVL67025	U.S. Bank National Association vs. Glenn Gray			03/05/2025
		U.S. Bank National Association	Attorney: Stella Park		
		Glenn Gray			
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740			
03/05/2025	Complaint	<div><div>File Tracking</div><div>05/09/2025 Archive Room</div></div>			

Case dismissed. Off-calendar.