

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

1	PR12789	Estate of Brenda Joyce Loftin Kimberly Loftin Brenda Joyce Loftin Determine Succ to Real Property FURTHER	Pro Per	04/24/2026
----------	----------------	--	---------	------------

04/24/2026 **Petition**

File Tracking	
04/24/2026	High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary.

Petitioner, having adequately cured the previous noted defects, is now entitled to the order determining succession to the subject property. The petition will be granted.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

2	PR12706	Estate Of Edward L. St. Andre		09/30/2025
---	---------	-------------------------------	--	------------

Carolyn J Deming

Attorney: Tamara Polley

Edward L. St. Andre

Petition Hearing

Waiver of Accounting/Final Distribution

09/30/2025 **Petition**

File Tracking

12/05/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the petition to approve the final distribution plan, along with statutory compensation, will be approved.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5

July 17, 2026 8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

3 PR12205

Estate of Malcolm Sawin Kincaid

07/25/2022

Devin Evans

Attorney: Tyler Dahl

Malcolm Sawin Kincaid

Carla Korda

Attorney: Tyler Dahl

Final Distribution Hearing

08/30/2023 Report on Status of Administration

File Tracking

02/11/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Before the Court this day is the initial hearing on a petition to approve the proposed final distribution with allowance for fees. The following is noted:

- Statutory Fee Basis:
 - The Campo Seco residence sold for \$429,439.76, representing a gain of \$99,439.76.
 - The vehicles sold together for \$9,000, representing a loss to the estate of \$10,500.00.
 - The statutory fee basis is \$1,126,375.32, not \$1,145,935.56. Counsel will need to adjust the fee ever so slightly.
 - The proposal to split the correct fee equally between Carla and David, given the lack of opposition, is acceptable under CRC 7.704(a).
- Reimbursement Requests
 - There being no apparent objection, \$956.57 to Carla is approved.
 - There being no apparent objection, \$6,765.72 to David is approved. Clarification is required if this is a different claim from that approved in the Order dtd 12/11/2023.
- Extraordinary Fee Basis:
 - Counsel requests \$16,937.64 as additional remuneration.
 - This Court has no issue with the proposed hourly rate of counsel.
 - This Court is connect the dots between 182.8 hrs in total, and what portion counsel believes qualifies under CRC 7.703(c). The declaration does not explain the 7.702 basis for the extra fees sought. While it appears that some extraordinary work may have been required, counsel is asking this Court to operate in the dark.
 - Although Carla has expressly waived any right to seek extraordinary fees, this Court does not see a similar waiver from David who would be entitled to seek such fees for his work keeping the residence out of foreclosure and locating the heirs in the first place.
- Distribution to Carla, Clive and Eric:
 - Petitioner is correct that, pursuant to §21111(a)(3), the trust distribution failed and those assets "transferred to the decedent's estate." That estate was controlled by a will, which directed that the assets be transferred to David as trustee. See Probate Code §6102. Since the trust itself was invalidated, David was required to transfer back to the estate. The will was already admitted to probate without designated beneficiaries, and never reversed by court order, so the will remains valid (as noted in the Minute Order dtd 11/14/2025). What was decedent's intent per §21102(a)? Petitioner has never addressed this. His intent was clearly not to deliver his estate to strangers as would be required by §§ 21111 and 21114.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

4	PR12804	Estate of Michael Craig Kiefer		06/03/2026
---	---------	--------------------------------	--	------------

Bryan Kiefer	Pro Per
--------------	---------

Petition Hearing - Estate

Petition to Administer Estate

06/04/2026 **Petition**

File Tracking	
06/09/2026	High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlydzZ6VnBXVWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is the initial hearing on a petition to open an intestate probate estate for decedent, but the court file does not include proof of publication.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

5	PR12796	In re Knapp Revocable Trust of 2002 dated March 13, 2002	05/12/2026
---	---------	--	------------

Sandra Lisius

Attorney: Bryan Andrade

Petition Hearing

FURTHER suspension and removal of trustee

05/12/2026 Petition

File Tracking

05/15/2026 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXVWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is the continued hearing on a petition to immediately suspend the acting successor trustee in an effort to stave off further alleged breaches, and to install a new trustee to arguably clean up "the mess." There is no formal response from the trustee. It is this Court's general practice, in the face of historical transgressions by the current trustee, to appoint a limited purpose receiver to marshal, inventory, and explain the history before immediately removing and installing a new trustee.

Attorney McKernan was appointed, and she was to report back this hearing with whether she will accept the appointment. It is reported that most of the trust assets have been lost in foreclosure.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

6	PR12719	In re Coelho Living Trust Dated October 21, 1993		10/16/2025
----------	----------------	---	--	------------

Veronica L Hemphill	Attorney: Alex Newsum
Alan Coelho	Attorney: Cory Chartrand
Anne Coelho	Pro Per
Christopher Coelho	Pro Per
Stephen D Coelho	Pro Per
Allison Brasil	Pro Per
Natalie Hermanson	Pro Per

Petition Hearing

for approval of settlement agreement: petition for instructions

10/16/2025 Transfer In

File Tracking 11/14/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a petition to claw-back funds distributed to beneficiaries, allegedly in error. The math error stems from the sale of 130 acres in Hilmar, California, owned in part by the trust (having one defined set of beneficiaries) and in part by an LLC (with a similar, but not identical, set of members). According to the trustee, the trust beneficiaries received a little too much money because the escrow folks did not catch the fact that the trust's 35% only attached to 58% of the 130 acres (ie, APN 045-091-017, not 045-091-017 + 045-091-018). In other words, the LLC members should have received a larger slice of the pie. The only person deeply impacted by this math error are Allison and Natalie (Dom's kids), because they were only trust beneficiaries and not LLC members. Alan – who is being asked to refund \$1,914.06 – filed objections to the petition, as well as his own petition accusing the acting trustee (Veronica) of mismanagement and conflicts of interest in the manner in which she administered the trust. Litigation was commenced in both Merced and Stanislaus Counties, even though it appears that a significant part of the trust administration was taking place here in Tuolumne County.

Although this Court was not involved in the underlying litigation, or the settlement conference, there is before the Court this day a petition to approve the settlement agreement in the trust case without clear evidence that this was a *Breslin* settlement binding all interested persons whether present or not. This Court will require additional information from the parties to fully appreciate the reach of this settlement and how it impacts other trust beneficiaries, if at all.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	------------------------	-----------	------------

7	PR12797	Conservatorship of Emily Victoria Knoles	05/18/2026
---	---------	--	------------

Darla Marie Barton	Pro Per
--------------------	---------

Emily Victoria Knoles	Attorney: Carrie McKernan
-----------------------	---------------------------

Appoint Conservator - Permanent Conservatorship

Petition Hearing

Appoint Guardian Ad Litem

05/18/2026 Petition

File Tracking 05/19/2026 High Density

Hearing continued.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

8 PR12070	Conservatorship of Steven Cormier		03/07/2022
------------------	--	--	------------

Marc Cormier

Attorney: Jennifer Lothert

Steven Cormier

Attorney: Timothy Trujillo

Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

03/07/2022 Petition

File Tracking

08/06/2024 High Density

Court is awaiting updated investigator report but presupposes that the conservatorship will still be warranted/needed based on previous reports.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

9	PR12801	Guardianship of Alohna Batt	05/22/2026
		Desiree Tidball	Pro Per
		Shelbie Johnson	Pro Per
		Alohna Batt	
		Appoint Guardian	

05/22/2026 Petition

File Tracking 05/27/2026 High Density

Petition was dismissed/dropped at hearing in June.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	------------------------	-----------	------------

10	PR12697	Guardianship of Imani Athena Mathiesen	09/05/2025
----	---------	--	------------

Lloyd Mathiesen	Pro Per
-----------------	---------

Whitney Mathiesen	Pro Per
-------------------	---------

Imani Athena Mathiesen	
------------------------	--

Kimberley Rose Butt	Pro Per
---------------------	---------

Imani Athena Mathiesen	
------------------------	--

Review Hearing	
----------------	--

Temporary Guardianship	
------------------------	--

02/25/2026 Petition

File Tracking 03/16/2026 High Density

Graduate guardianship to permanent.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

11 PR12458	Guardianship of Katie Lynn Heinze		04/17/2024
------------	-----------------------------------	--	------------

David Patch	Pro Per
-------------	---------

Heather Patch	Pro Per
---------------	---------

Katie Lynn Heinze	Pro Per
-------------------	---------

Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2

04/17/2024 Petition

File Tracking 09/30/2024 High Density

Court is awaiting GC-251 with attachments.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5

July 17, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

12 PR12798

Guardianship of Wesley Dunn III

05/18/2026

Ella Barendregt

Pro Per

Wesley Ryan Dunn

Davie Hoskins

Pro Per

Appoint Guardian

Appoint Guardian

FURTHER

OSC Hearing - Contempt/Failure to Comply

Mother filed 6/22/26

05/18/2026 Petition

File Tracking

05/19/2026 High Density

Other Cases

FL19519

Father arrested 05/15/2026. No charges filed.

In the parentage case (FL19519) filed 05/18/2026:

- Mother sought sole custody of child based on Father's DV
- Father responded requesting joint custody of child, but consented to Mother's request for temporary sole custody based on DV
- DA not ready to file charges yet
- No mention of any guardianship need

In the guardianship case also filed 05/18/2026:

- Petitioner is paternal grandmother
- Petitioner claims Mother shot pellet gun inside home near infant
- Petitioner claims that Mother shaved infant's head, and nicked skin
- Father gives full consent to guardianship (admitting unfitness?)
- Petitioner filed §278.7 declaration claiming legal right to custody
- Tribe representative confirms that this is not ICWA.

Family Code §3041:

"Before making an order granting custody to a person other than a parent, over the objection of a parent, the court shall make a finding that granting custody to a parent would be detrimental to the child and that granting custody to the nonparent is required to serve the best interest of the child. The court may, in its discretion, exclude the public from the hearing on this issue. A finding that parental custody would be detrimental to the child shall be supported by clear and convincing evidence."

Family Code §3064:

"The court shall refrain from making an order granting or modifying a custody order on an ex parte basis unless there has been a showing of immediate harm to the child (having a parent who has committed acts of domestic violence of recent origin or part of a demonstrated and continuing pattern)."

Family Code §3027(a):

"If allegations of child abuse, including child sexual abuse, are made during a child custody proceeding and the court has concerns regarding the child's safety, the court may take any reasonable, temporary steps as the court, in its discretion, deems appropriate under the circumstances to protect the child's safety until an investigation can be completed."

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	------------------------	-----------	------------

13	PR12295	Guardianship of William Chandler	06/08/2023
----	---------	----------------------------------	------------

Dusti Buster	Pro Per
--------------	---------

Andrew Buster	Pro Per
---------------	---------

William Chandler	Pro Per
------------------	---------

Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2

06/08/2023 Petition

File Tracking 07/27/2024 High Density

Court is awaiting GC-251 with attachments.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		July 17, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
14	FL19519	Davie Hoskins vs. Wesley Dunn, Jr			05/18/2026
		Davie Hoskins	Pro Per		
		Wesley Dunn	Pro Per		
		OSC Hearing - Child Custody			
05/18/2026 Petition		File Tracking 05/19/2026 High Density			
<u>Other Cases</u> PR12798					

Trailing #12 ...

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5

July 17, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

15 FL17909 Andrew McGuire vs. Amber Manning

12/19/2022

Andrew McGuire

Attorney: Nathan Nutting

Amber Manning

Pro Per

Department of Child Support
Services

Amber Manning

Pro Per

Andrew McGuire

Attorney: Nathan Nutting

Trial Setting

Ancillary Issues / custody / visitation

05/16/2024 OSC Application

File Tracking

04/11/2025 Commissioner Streger

On 12/19/22, Father filed petition to establish parental relations over daughter (Rylie) age 1. He requested joint legal and physical custody. Child lived with both parents until a few months ago, then moved out with Mother.

On 06/14/23, Mother filed response conceding joint legal custody and parentage ... but seeking sole physical custody with limited parenting time (1st, 3rd, 4th Sat and Sun 10 am – 2pm) due to his alleged neglect and substance abuse.

On 08/08/23, DCSS secured order for Father (welder) to pay Mother (lab tech) \$799/month even with imputing income to Mother – using a timeshare of only 5% to Father. Some agreement was reportedly reached regarding custody, but there is nothing in the Court file. According to Father, parties reached stipulation and hearing was dropped (see Minute Order dtd 08/15/23).

On 04/02/24, Father filed an RFO seeking a reduction in support and joint physical custody with a step up plan to overnights.

On 05/10/24, counsel for Father indicated that the parties reached an agreement on a “step up” plan for Father, but once again nothing has been put in writing or filed.

On 08/22/24, Parties agreed to drop DVRO and made the following stipulations:

- Father to pay Mother \$500/month in child support
- Father to have 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 5th weekends (Fri 6pm – Sun 6pm)

On 03/24/2026, parties stipulated to joint legal, joint physical, and Father receiving 1st, 2nd and 4th weekends – with the parties alternating the 5th weekend. Holidays by mutual agreement. Parties further agreed that father would pay \$500/month in support.

On 04/24/2026, Father filed RFO seeking unspecified additional parenting time plus a school that is “between” their respective homes. Mother objects, noting that it is too much time in the car and that Father has already missed numerous allocated visits. Mother moved to Calaveras before any proceedings filed, which sets child’s home county as Calaveras, not Tuolumne.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026	1:30 pm	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	---------------	---------	-----------	------------

16	CV68296	Petition of Rayne Leigh DeHart		02/25/2026
----	---------	--------------------------------	--	------------

	Rayne Leigh DeHart	Pro Per
--	--------------------	---------

OSC Hearing - Name Change

FURTHER

02/25/2026 Petition

File Tracking

04/17/2026	High Density
------------	--------------

No publication in the file.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026	1:30 pm	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	---------------	---------	-----------	------------

17	CV68093	Petition of Issac Peterson		02/13/2026
----	---------	----------------------------	--	------------

Issac Peterson	Pro Per
----------------	---------

OSC Hearing - Name Change

02/13/2026 Petition

File Tracking 03/05/2026 High Density

No proof of publication, which may need to be redone anyway considering petitioner did not appear at last hearing.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	July 17, 2026	1:30 pm	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	---------------	---------	-----------	------------

18	CV68127	Petition of Elena Rose Hallack		02/20/2026
----	---------	--------------------------------	--	------------

Elizabeth Marie Quist	Pro Per
-----------------------	---------

Brett Aaron Barlass	Pro Per
---------------------	---------

Elena Rose Hallack

OSC Hearing - Name Change

Name Change

02/20/2026 Petition

File Tracking

03/11/2026 High Density

Father already confirmed via voir dire.
Publication complete.
Likely to grant.