

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

June 12, 2026

8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

2 PR12773

Estate of Charles Clifford Collins, Jr

03/19/2026

Christopher Corbett

Attorney: Jennifer Lothert

Charles Clifford Collins

Letters of Administration

FURTHER

03/19/2026 Petition

File Tracking

03/24/2026 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is the continued hearing on a petition to admit a foreign will to probate, and to issue Letters of Testamentary for the petitioning personal representative. At the earlier hearing, this Court surmised from a number of recitals contained within the testamentary instrument that the decedent intended for the law of South Carolina to control – and as such certain requirements must be met for this will to be considered self-proving under that law. See CA Probate Code §21103 and SC Probate Code 62-2-503. Since that time, counsel has secured a notarized statement (not drawn under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California) that the will is original and was properly witnessed by the attorney responsible for its drafting. Under the circumstances, this Court sees no issue with making the preliminary finding that there is sufficient evidence to permit its admission to probate – subject of course to any collateral attack by interested persons (ie, David).

However, two issues remain:

1. A nonresident personal representative must have on file a permanent residence disclosure. See Probate Code §8573.
2. Notice to David is insufficient. Per Probate Code §8110, David was entitled to at least 15 days' notice before the initial hearing, but only received notice (via mail and email) 9 days' prior. There is no POS regarding the continued hearing date, or attached to the supplemental papers. This is particularly troubling given the false representation made by Attorney Zalenski in the Michigan case that this Court had already admitted the will and issued Letters.

When is the hearing on the Michigan petition to dismiss, and has anyone cured the record vis-à-vis orders made here in California?

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

3	PR12742	Estate of Donna Proulx		01/14/2026
---	---------	------------------------	--	------------

Tianne Proulx

Attorney: Jason Pink

Donna Proulx

Letters of Administration

FURTHER

01/14/2026 Petition

File Tracking

03/18/2026 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is the continued hearing on a petition to issue letters of administration for an intestate estate. The petition identifies three likely intestate heirs: the petitioner, and two apparent siblings. (According to counsel, all three are "estranged" from one another.) All parties seem to agree that a bond is needed unless all funds are directed via court order into a blocked account and petitioner is granted only limited authority. If the plan is to sell the only estate asset, perhaps the more logical approach would be to appoint all three siblings as co-administrators, retain current counsel, and avoid the issues relating to bond and blocked accounts altogether. This Court would be amenable to waiving the requirement that funds be deposited into a separate interest-earning account and permit them to be deposited into the attorney's client trust account.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

4	PR12626	Estate of Gordon Glasson		04/03/2025
---	---------	--------------------------	--	------------

Justin Glasson

Attorney: David Lee

Gordon Glasson

Review Hearing - Report - Probate Code 12200

04/03/2025 Petition

File Tracking

08/07/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary."

Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link:

<https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXVWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456].

All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed petitioner's status report, find by a preponderance of the evidence that good cause exists to extend the period of administration and will reset the §12200 review hearing to 10/16/2026 at 8:30 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

5	PR12545	Estate of Lawrence Buzz Gurney		11/06/2024
----------	----------------	---------------------------------------	--	------------

Paul Vaughan	Attorney: Jason Pink
---------------------	----------------------

DeAnna R Armario	Attorney: John Lewman
-------------------------	-----------------------

Review Hearing - Report - Probate Code 12200

FURTHER

11/06/2024 Petition

File Tracking 03/11/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This probate action was released into the wild on 01/31/2025.

Pursuant to Probate Code §§ 12200-12201, petitioner had twelve (12) months from then to file a petition for final distribution or a status report explaining the condition of the estate, the reasons why the estate cannot be distributed and closed, and an estimate of the time needed to close administration of the estate. For those needing to file a status report, this Court has created a very fine local form (TUO-PR125) to ease the effort.

A review of the court file reveals no petition or status report. However, this Court is aware from previous hearings that a related civil action (CV67246) is still active and reporting progress on a settlement. This Court is informed that resolution of the civil action is a condition precedent to closing the estate case because equitable ownership of one of the real properties inventoried in the estate is at issue in the civil action.

Petitioner to advise

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

June 12, 2026

8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

6 PR12470

Estate of Sandra Leigh Burman

05/15/2024

Augustin Eugene Burman-Alston Attorney: Lily Harris

Sandra Leigh Burman

State of California Franchise Tax Board

Final Distribution Hearing

FURTHER

05/15/2024 Petition

File Tracking

12/10/2024 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link:

<https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456].

All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Between the original and reappraised DE-160, the estate was valued at \$198,669.68. However, the distribution only references the real property, the \$500 Chevy, the 1973 Honda worth \$375, and the 1981 Honda also worth \$375. There is no reference to the following assets: \$1,500 (Subaru); \$900 (Honda Shadow); \$350 (1970 boat); \$400 (1970 boat); \$2,100 (1980 boat); and \$7,000 (furnishings). Assuming these were gifted or abandoned (see invoices for junk hauling), they constitute a loss to the estate and cannot inflate the statutory fee basis. Counsel must recalculate the fee basis and show the math for both herself and petitioner. The balance of the petition is satisfactory to the Court except that petitioner's request for reimbursement from the distributable net of the estate cannot include the \$1,190 he paid on 02/20/2024 for the probate attorney's initial fee. As the paid personal representative of the estate, he has a duty to safeguard the estate assets and he should have known not to pay an estate attorney without court permission to do so.

Counsel was invited to revisit the proposed distribution plan and to submit a revised order thereon. In the revised report, the administration and counsel note that some of the unaccounted for assets (totaling \$5,250) were "stolen" and therefore should be treated as a loss to the estate for purposes of the statutory fee basis. The household furnishings of \$7,000 remain in the home and will be distributed. The request to reduce the statutory attorney fee to \$6,803 is granted, but the notion that petitioner's fee should be reduced to the same level without regard to the fact that he lost \$5,250 in assets belonging to the other beneficiaries and should bear no burden of a surcharge is unreasonable. He was reminded in the last probate note of his duty to safeguard assets. Under §12205, the 12-month delay in administration appears to have cost beneficiaries some of their inheritance, and that loss is on petitioner. His statutory fee is reduced to \$3,803 and \$1,000 is added to each beneficiary distribution.

Counsel explained some of these concerns at the last hearing, but raised a new one regarding unresolved tax issues for the decedent.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

7	PR12726	Estate of Shelley Deanne Soto		11/05/2025
---	---------	-------------------------------	--	------------

Jessica FultonBotfield Attorney: Brandon Meyer

Shelley Deanne Soto

Review Hearing - Inventory and Appraisal

11/05/2025 Petition

File Tracking 11/14/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary.

This was to be the review hearing to confirm compliance with the requirement to have on file a final I&A, and there already is a final I&A in the court file.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	June 12, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

8	PR12760	Estate of Virginia Ann Gore		02/19/2026
---	---------	-----------------------------	--	------------

Ashlea Hyland Pro Per

Virignia Ann Gore

Letters of Administration

FURTHER

02/19/2026 Petition

File Tracking 03/10/2026 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Off-calendar.

Petitioner voluntarily dismissed the action on 05.07.2026.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

10	PR12538	In Re: Gracelynn Harwell, et al.		10/14/2024
----	---------	----------------------------------	--	------------

Daniel Rutherford Pro Per

Gracelynn Harwell

Aspen Rutherford

Otis Rutherford

Kathleen Rutherford

Appoint Guardian

Permanent Guardian

10/14/2024 Petition

10/14/2024 Petition

File Tracking

02/04/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Q: will this extended temporary guardianship graduate to permanent?

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

June 12, 2026

8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

11 PR12791 In Re: Marsha E. Shipper Family Trust

05/04/2026

Marcella D. Shipper

Attorney: Brandon Meyer

Petition Hearing

Confirming Trust Assets

05/04/2026 Petition

File Tracking

05/05/2026 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link:

<https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBxWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456].

All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a petition to declare APN 064-420-033 an asset of the subject trust – which is presently irrevocable following the passing of the surviving settlor.

In California, a trial court may make a transfer of assets into an irrevocable trust beyond the life of the last settlor, pursuant to §856, if the last settlor presently owns the asset in question, the settlor created a trust to hold said asset, and there exists sufficient evidence to find by a preponderance of the evidence that the settlor intended the asset to be held in that trust but failed to make an effective transfer by mistake, surprise, excusable neglect or innocent omission. See, e.g., *Carne v. Worthington* (2016) 246 Cal.App.4th 548, 558-560; *Ukkestad v. RBS Asset Finance, Inc.* (2015) 235 Cal.App.4th 156, 160-161; *Kucker v. Kucker* (2011) 192 Cal.App.4th 90, 95; *Estate of Powell* (2000) 83 Cal.App.4th 1434, 1443; *Estate of Heggstad* (1993) 16 Cal.App.4th 943, 950-951.

In this case, it is evident that the settlor intended the subject property to be held in trust. First, Article VIII of the trust instrument gave the trustee specific administrative direction regarding the subject property. Second, Article XIII X-1 of the trust instrument gave the trustee authority to add existing real property to the trust, and the settlor already owned the subject property at the time the trust was established. Third, just prior to her passing, the settlor executed a grant deed actually transferring the subject property to the trustee of the trust. Thus, by all accounts, the settlor meant for this property to be in trust.

However, jurisdiction here is unclear since the acting trustee appears to reside in Oregon (see verification), which means that the administration is arguably taking place in Oregon (see §17002). Moreover, for reasons which escape this Court, the settlor had but one main physical asset – a home in California – and yet elected to have her trust controlled by Oregon law (see Article XV. M.). Some states have expressly adopted California's *Heggstad* rule, others have not. Counsel will need to brief this Court on whether Oregon would permit a posthumous transfer in trust.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

12	PR12718	In Re: The Harry A. McCune, JR. Living Trust		10/14/2025
-----------	----------------	---	--	------------

Kelsey McCune Cossel	Attorney: Jason Pink
-----------------------------	----------------------

Matthew McCune	Pro Per
-----------------------	---------

Petition Hearing

FURTHER - confirm trust assets

10/14/2025 Petition

File Tracking 10/16/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Court to invite reply brief from petitioner, and then set hearing on objection to petition.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

14	CV67247	LVNV Funding LLC vs. Laura Nelsen		05/06/2025
----	---------	-----------------------------------	--	------------

LVNV Funding LLC

Attorney: Adam Kidd

Laura Nelsen

Case Management Conference

FURTHER

05/06/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

05/12/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Settlement or set bench trial.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

15	PR12327	The Estate of John Robert Holland		09/15/2023
----	---------	-----------------------------------	--	------------

Michelle Godino

Pro Per

John Robert Holland

Francine Mendenhall

Attorney: Kathryn Halligan

Review Hearing

09/15/2023 Petition

File Tracking

11/05/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Status of agreement on professional fiduciary?

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

16	PR12767	The Estate of Virginia Ann Gore		03/16/2026
----	---------	---------------------------------	--	------------

Ashlea Hyland Pro Per

Virginia Ann Gore

Letters of Administration

FURTHER

03/16/2026 Petition

File Tracking 03/19/2026 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

See #8 ... repeated.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

17 PR8852	Conservatorship of Allison L. Condit		03/23/2001
	Ross Condit	Attorney: Gary Dambacher	
	Susan Condit	Attorney: Gary Dambacher	
	Allison L. Condit		
	Polly Levin		
	Review Hearing		

03/05/2010 Accounting: Other

File Tracking 01/28/2025 High Density

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the proposed summary accounting, finds it to be complete and satisfactory. The accounting is approved and the next annual review period shall be set.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

19 PR12797	Conservatorship of Emily Victoria Knoles		05/18/2026
------------	---	--	------------

Darla Marie Barton Pro Per

Emily Victoria Knoles

Appoint Conservator - Temporary Conservatorship

Hearing: Other

Appoint Legal Counsel for Conservatee

05/18/2026 Petition

File Tracking

05/19/2026 High Density

Petition to establish new limited conservatorship over person (with move away) and estate (prospective SNT). Petition includes much information regarding rental agreements and residency locally, but also states that proposed conservatee has already relocated to the San Francisco area.

Confirm venue ...

Appoint:

- court investigator
- counsel for conservatee

Need:

- VMRC report
- Medical capacity declarations (seeking medical decision-making)
- Proof of service to bio dad (Thomas) and any living second-degree
- Copies of IHSS and rental agreements

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

20	PR11804	Conservatorship of Jeremiah J. Reel	05/11/2020
----	---------	--	------------

Michael Reel	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert
---------------------	----------------------------

Dana Reel	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert
------------------	----------------------------

Jeremiah J Reel

Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

07/31/2020 Special Letters

File Tracking 06/25/2024 High Density

This Court is awaiting the confidential investigative report, but likely intends to find by clear and convincing evidence that the conservatee still meets the statutory qualifications for at least a *limited* conservatorship of the person.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

21	PR11904	Conservatorship of Joseph Hoskins	02/26/2021
		Dorothy Hoskins	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert
		Dorothy Hoskins	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert
		Paul Hoskins	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert
		Joseph Hoskins	Pro Per
		Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850	

02/26/2021 Petition

File Tracking 06/25/2024 High Density

This Court is awaiting the confidential investigative report, but likely intends to find by clear and convincing evidence that the conservatee still meets the statutory qualifications for a general conservatorship of the person. Given what appears to be a rather static set of conditions, Court will inquire as to whether reviews should be biennial.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

22 PR11276	Conservatorship of Justin Arndt-Linsley		02/01/2016
------------	---	--	------------

Peggy Arndt Attorney: Jennifer Lothert

Helen Linsley Attorney: Jennifer Lothert

Justin Linsley Arndt

Review: Annual - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

02/01/2016 Petition

File Tracking 07/03/2024 High Density

Other Cases

PR11277

PR11278

PR11279

PR11892

Matter continued to 07/10/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

24 PR11891	Conservatorship of Mariah Mathis		01/12/2021
------------	----------------------------------	--	------------

Mike Barrett	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert
--------------	----------------------------

Lynette Barrett	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert
-----------------	----------------------------

Mariah Mathis

Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

01/12/2021 Petition

File Tracking 05/02/2024 High Density

This Court is awaiting the confidential investigative report, but likely intends to find by clear and convincing evidence that the conservatee still meets the statutory qualifications for at least a *limited* conservatorship of the person. Both this Court and the court's investigator have previously opined that the conservatee has acquired sufficient independence to be evaluated for a limited conservatorship. Assuming no objection from the conservators, this Court intends to seek an evaluation from VMRC and elicit proposed authority carve-outs from the conservators should a change in the conservatorship occur. Although a promotion does not mandate the appointment of counsel under §1471, the Court will consider a discretionary appointment under §1470 if the parties believe doing so would be helpful to the resolution of the matter or is necessary to protect the conservatee's interests.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

June 12, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

25 PR12552

Conservatorship of Marilyn Jones

11/27/2024

Nerissa Colwell

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Marilyn Jones

Pro Per

Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

FURTHER - report filed?

Accounting Hearing

FURTHER - 1 year review / terminate accounting?

Motion Hearing - Other

Terminate the Conservatorship of the Estate

11/27/2024 Petition

File Tracking

05/08/2025 High Density

No appearance is necessary.

This is a petition to terminate the estate portion (only) of this conservatorship based on the clear representation that all funds held by the conservatee will be held in her irrevocable inter vivos trust or regularly exhausted upon day-to-day living expenses. This Court, having received and reviewed the GC-400 summary accountings with supporting documentation, finds the first and final accounting to be proper form and the legal fees sought to be reasonable under the circumstances. The accounting is approved, as is the request to terminate the estate portion (only) of this conservatorship.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

June 12, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

26 PR11278

Conservatorship of Samuel Arndt-Linsley

02/01/2016

Peggy Arndt

Attorney: Jennifer Lothert

Helen Linsley

Attorney: Jennifer Lothert

Samuel Linsley Arndt

Review: Annual - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

02/01/2016 Petition

File Tracking

07/03/2024 High Density

Other Cases

PR11276

PR11277

PR11279

Matter continued to 07/10/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

29	PR11534	Guardianship of Alixzander Davis, et al.	03/19/2018
		Colleen Steele Pro Per	
		Alixzander Davis	
		Nyome Davis	
		Keair Steele Pro Per	
		Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2	

03/19/2018 Petition: Other

03/19/2018 Petition

File Tracking

04/18/2025 High Density

This is an established guardianship over the person of two wards (siblings), ages 9 and 15. According to the GC-251s, the wards have spent limited time with bio mom.

Mother's Motion for Formal Visitation

On 04/15/2026, bio mom filed an RFO seeking visitation with four children, only two of whom are identified as wards in this guardianship. The other two appear to have been adopted, which means that bio mom has no legal right to any visitation order involving them. Bio mom contends that she previously had access to the children from 10am – 2pm on Saturdays and Sundays but that guardian (the maternal grandmother) has reduced that time. Bio mom would like daily visits during the summer from 9am – noon. Parties indicated that they will work it out.

Updated Annual Reports

Both wards doing well. Guardianship remains necessary. Set annual review closer to mid-December to ease eldest termination by operation of law.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

31	PR12648	Guardianship of Andre Edmond Bodle Stubbs		05/15/2025
----	---------	--	--	------------

Joseph Laurence Bodle	Pro Per
Andre Edmond Stubbs	Attorney: Christine Parraz
Hannah Bodle	
Terminate Guardianship Hearing	
FURTHER / Review - visitation	

05/15/2025 Petition

05/15/2025 Petition

Other Cases
FL18128

File Tracking 05/19/2025 High Density

Review appointment of minor's counsel

Review appointment of court investigator

Mother granted by stip M/T/F 10am – 6pm

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

33 PR12399	Guardianship of Chaos Ray Wondra		02/07/2024
------------	----------------------------------	--	------------

Judith Ardell Haley	Pro Per
---------------------	---------

Mark Haley	Pro Per
------------	---------

Chaos Wondra

Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2

02/07/2024 Petition

02/07/2024 Petition

File Tracking 06/25/2024 High Density

Despite blank Para 2 and poor grades/attendance/behavior, guardianship is clearly necessary. Set annual review date.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
34 PR11889	Guardianship of Christopher Andrew Means Jr Debra Sprague Pro Per Christopher Andrew Means Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2 FURTHER / Terminate Guardianship		01/07/2021
01/07/2021 Petition		File Tracking 04/26/2024 High Density	
01/07/2021 Petition			

Petitioner indicates desire to resign and possibly terminate guardianship altogether as ward can reside with bio mother. Court investigator was re-appointed to assess termination. Both bio parents have long history. There are no custody orders in place between the bio parents, which means that terminating of the guardianship will give both bio parents equal parenting rights.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

June 12, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

36 PR11791

Guardianship of Gracey Lynn Klaverweiden

02/14/2020

Rebecca Klaverweiden

Attorney: Carrie McKernan

Gracey Lynn Klaverweiden

Accounting Hearing

5th

06/09/2025 Accounting: Other

File Tracking

06/05/2024 High Density

Other Cases

PR11527

Awaiting 5th accounting ...

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

37 PR12699	Guardianship Of Ivy Rose Griffin, et. al		09/17/2025
------------	--	--	------------

Rosemary Griffin	Pro Per
------------------	---------

Appoint Guardian

Further

09/17/2025 Petition

File Tracking 09/19/2025 High Density

This is the continued hearing on the maternal grandmother’s petition to establish a guardianship for two young children – with the consent of the biological mother. According to petitioner, the proposed wards have been residing with her for several months after coming into her care at bio dad’s request. The court investigator has been appointed, and is working her way through the backgrounds. Biological father was here objecting during the first few hearings, but has not been seen for some time. Father lives in Alameda County, and has reportedly told the children that he will not visit while this guardianship petition remains active. Maternal grandmother resides in Groveland with children. Father apparently had full legal and full physical custody of children via a custody case in Alameda County – which this Court has requested Father provide copies of. Petitioner informs the Court that a CPS worker in Alameda County instructed her to apply for guardianship here in Tuolumne County. Temporary guardianship already granted at last hearing based on investigator recommendation – Father was not present for hearing to object further. Recently, petitioner was chastised for an apparent lapse in judgment regarding selection of a babysitter (bio mom) and was reminded of her duties to the children first.

Likely to keep temporary in place for one more round.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

38	PR12555	Guardianship of Kekoa Pahukoa et. al.	05/10/2024
----	----------------	--	------------

Kamalani Pahukoa	Pro Per
-------------------------	---------

Luke Nunes	Pro Per
-------------------	---------

Kekoa Pahukoa

Ikaika Pahukoa

Ava Pahukoa

Cherokee Deleon	Pro Per
------------------------	---------

Maualuga Pahukoa	Pro Per
-------------------------	---------

Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2

11/08/2024 Termination of Guardianship

File Tracking 01/07/2026 High Density

Despite the blank para 2, it does appear that the children are doing quite well in their new environment. There appears to be a new DVRO barring bio dad from children? Guardianship remains necessary/convenient. Set annual review date.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

39	PR11165	Guardianship of Marlee R. Dalrymple, et al		03/11/2015
----	---------	--	--	------------

Betty Jean Dalrymple	Pro Per
----------------------	---------

Leo Allen Dalrymple	Pro Per
---------------------	---------

Marlee R Dalrymple

Layla J Dalrymple

Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2

03/11/2015 Petition: Other

03/11/2015 Petition

File Tracking

06/24/2024 High Density

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments for both wards, finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianships remain necessary/convenient and that the guardians continue to serve the wards' best interests. Court will set annual review date.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

40	PR12717	Guardianship of Mia Ellyana Faith O'Connor	10/14/2025
-----------	----------------	---	------------

Bonnie Ellen O'Connor	Pro Per
------------------------------	---------

Faith O'Connor	Pro Per
-----------------------	---------

Mia Ellyana Faith O'Connor	Pro Per
-----------------------------------	---------

Appoint Guardian

FURTHER

10/14/2025 Petition

10/14/2025 Petition

File Tracking

10/23/2025 High Density

Graduate temporary to permanent guardianship with order that all persons spending the night in the residence subject themselves to alcohol/drug testing.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

41	PR11302	Guardianship of Sydney Kisling	03/21/2016
		Deanna Kisling	Pro Per
		Edward Kisling	
		Sydney M Kisling	
		Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2	

03/21/2016 Petition

File Tracking 07/24/2024 High Density

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments for both wards, finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianships remain necessary/convenient and that the guardians continue to serve the wards' best interests. Court will set annual review date.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

June 12, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

42 PR12798

Guardianship of Wesley Dunn III

05/18/2026

Ella Barendregt

Pro Per

Wesley Ryan Dunn

Appoint Guardian - Person and Estate

Temporary

05/18/2026 Petition

File Tracking

05/19/2026 High Density

Other Cases

FL19519

Father arrested 05/15/2026. No charges filed.

In the parentage case (FL19519) filed 05/18/2026:

- Mother sought sole custody of child based on Father's DV
- Father responded requesting joint custody of child, but consented to Mother's request for temporary sole custody based on DV
- DA not ready to file charges yet
- No mention of any guardianship need

In the guardianship case also filed 05/18/2026:

- Petitioner is paternal grandmother
- Petitioner claims Mother shot pellet gun inside home near infant
- Petitioner claims that Mother shaved infant's head, and nicked skin
- Father gives full consent to guardianship (admitting unfitness?)
- Petitioner filed §278.7 declaration claiming legal right to custody
- On GC-210(CA): "I have not asked about the child's Indian heritage because the parents are unavailable or deceased."

Proposed ward and bio parents appear to be ICWA. Therefore:

- The party seeking a guardianship via Family Code §3041 must ask the parents whether the child is or may be an Indian child. CRC 5.481(a)(1).
- The court may not order an emergency removal or placement of the child without a finding that the removal or placement is necessary to prevent imminent physical damage or harm to the child ... If the court determines that the child's emergency removal or placement is no longer necessary to prevent imminent physical damage or harm to the child, the court must order the child returned to the physical custody of the parents or Indian custodian ... An emergency removal must not continue for more than 30 days unless restoring the child to the parent would subject the child to imminent physical damage or harm. CRC 5.484.
- The court may not order placement of an Indian child unless it finds by clear and convincing evidence that continued custody with the parent or Indian custodian is likely to cause the Indian child serious emotional or physical damage and it considers evidence regarding prevailing social and cultural standards of the child's tribe. CRC 5.485.
- Placement preference shall reflect "the unique values of the child's tribal culture and is best able to assist the child in establishing, developing, and maintaining a political, cultural, and social relationship with the child's tribe and tribal community." Probate Code §1459.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	June 12, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

44	PR12325	In The Matter John Landrum Martin III	09/19/2023
----	---------	---------------------------------------	------------

Melissa Hunter Martin

Attorney: James Cilley

John Landrum Martin

Accounting Hearing

FURTHER

Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2

FURTHER

12/18/2025 Petition

File Tracking

02/14/2025 From Court-Probate on Calendar

This is a conservatorship case, erroneously titled "in the matter of" ...

There is a scheduled review hearing this day, erroneously described as a "1513.2" hearing but those are for guardianships.

In fact, this is an 1850 review hearing coupled with the accounting, that was recently continued because the accounting required additional time to complete. Based on the investigative report, it does appear as though the general conservative of the person and estate are still warranted as the least restrictive alternative for protecting the conservatee. There is still no accounting in the court file.

