

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

2	PR12727	Estate of Bernard L. McDaniel II AKA Bernard L. McDaniel		11/05/2025
----------	----------------	---	--	-------------------

Kathleen McDaniel

Attorney: Richard Marchini

Review Hearing

New Petition Filed?

11/05/2025 Petition

File Tracking

11/14/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary.

The previous petition for succession to real property having been voluntarily withdrawn, and no replacement petition for probate having been filed, this Court intends to have this case dismissed in its entirety.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

3	PR12706	Estate Of Edward L. St. Andre		09/30/2025
---	---------	-------------------------------	--	------------

Carolyn J Deming

Attorney: Tamara Polley

Edward L. St. Andre

Review Hearing - Inventory and Appraisal

09/30/2025 Petition

File Tracking

12/05/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary.

This was the scheduled review hearing to confirm timely submission of the requirement I&A, which has indeed occurred. This hearing can go off-calendar.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

5	PR12469	Estate of Mattew David Thomas		05/13/2024
---	---------	-------------------------------	--	------------

Mattew David Thomas

Shelby Thomas

Attorney: Rachel Patton

Review Hearing - Report - Probate Code 12200

05/13/2024 Petition

File Tracking

03/03/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is the scheduled review hearing on the status of the ongoing administration, pursuant to Probate Code §12201. However, a review of the court file reveals a vacancy where the required §12200 petition or status report is supposed to be. While this Court can plainly see the Notice of Proposed Action to sell a parcel of real property filed six weeks ago, that is not a substitute for a report. In fact, this Court has a very fine, user-friendly status report form (TUO-PR-125) to make the process as easy as falling asleep at a Max Richter concern. Counsel to advise.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 17, 2026

8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

6 PR12470

Estate of Sandra Leigh Burman

05/15/2024

Augustin Eugene Burman-Alston Attorney: Lily Harris

Sandra Leigh Burman

**State of California Franchise Tax
Board**

Final Distribution Hearing

FURTHER

05/15/2024 Petition

File Tracking

12/10/2024 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is the continued hearing on a petition to approve the proposed estate distribution. At the previous hearing, this Court identified a few small issues, which counsel advised would be quickly addressed in a supplemental proposed order. However, at the time of review, no such order has arrived. The issues are as follows:

- Assuming an estate value of, there appears to have been a loss to the estate of the following assets: \$1,500 (Subaru); \$900 (Honda Shadow); \$350 (1970 boat); \$400 (1970 boat); \$2,100 (1980 boat); and \$7,000 (furnishings). Unless these assets were accounted for elsewhere, statutory fees must be reduced accordingly.
- Petitioner’s request for reimbursement from the distributable net of the estate cannot include the \$1,190 he paid on 02/20/2024 for the probate attorney deposit since estate attorneys are only paid via statute and court order, not on retainer.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

7	PR12449	Estate of Stewart Everest Hatler		04/10/2024
----------	----------------	---	--	------------

Lesa Magney	Attorney: Gary Dambacher
--------------------	--------------------------

Stewart Everest Hatler

Tuolumne Utilities District	Pro Per
------------------------------------	---------

State of California Franchise Tax Board	Pro Per
--	---------

Jeremy Caswell	Attorney: Gary Dambacher
-----------------------	--------------------------

Review Hearing - Report - Probate Code 12200

09/10/2025 Petition

File Tracking 12/10/2024 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is needed.

The Court, having received and reviewed petitioner's TUO-PR-125, intends to find by a preponderance of the evidence that good cause exists to extend the period of administration for this complex estate and to set a continued §12201 status hearing for 08/21/2026 @ 8:30 a.m..

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

8	PR12411	Estate of William W. Nichols		02/15/2024
----------	----------------	-------------------------------------	--	-------------------

William W. Nichols

Antoinette Key

Attorney: David Wade

Daniel S. Nichols

Attorney: Carrie McKernan

Review Hearing - Report - Probate Code 12200

FURTHER

02/15/2024 Petition

File Tracking

01/28/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWVFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is needed.

This is the scheduled review hearing on the status of the ongoing administration, pursuant to Probate Code §12201. However, a review of the court file reveals that a petition to approve a plan for final distribution is already on file and set for hearing 05/22/2026. As such, this hearing can go off-calendar.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 17, 2026

8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

9 PR12755 In the Matter of Raymond Eric Dixon

02/11/2026

Eric A Dixon

Attorney: Matthew Toth

Raymond Eric Dixon

Determine Succ to Real Property

FURTHER

02/11/2026 Petition

File Tracking

03/09/2026 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is needed.

This is the continued initial hearing on a by-pass petition to determine intestate succession to decedent's primary residence. Petitioner purports to be the successor in interest by virtue of §6402(a), in that he is the sole natural heir. At the prior hearing, this Court identified two shortcomings.

First, every by-pass petition must state "the facts upon which the petitioner bases the allegation that the described real property was the decedent's primary residence." See §13152(a)(3). There was nothing in the petition connecting 22000 Elizabeth Lane to the decedent, let alone as his primary residence. Although one's "primary residence" can differ from one's last residence (ie, assisted living, hospice), there must still be some permanency or continuing expectation of periodic return associated with the former. See, e.g., CCP §704.995; Govt. Code §244; Veh. Code §516; *Estate of Wardani* (2022) 82 Cal.App.5th 870, 882-883; *Marriage of Amezquita & Archuleta* (2002) 101 Cal.App.4th 1415, 1419-1420; *Kibbee v. Blue Ridge Ins. Co.* (1999) 69 Cal.App.4th 53, 61-62; *DeMiglio v. Mashore* (1992) 4 Cal.App.4th 1260, 1268.

Second, a trial court may grant a by-pass petition only if it determines "the property described in the order is property of the decedent." See §13154(b)(4). There was nothing in the petition demonstrating decedent's ownership of 22000 Elizabeth Lane, at any time and certainly not at this time when orders are being sought.

On 04/08/2026, petitioner filed a supplement to his petition which – to put it mildly – hit this one out of the park. The quality and quantity of evidence supplied by petitioner establishing current ownership and primary residence sets a new benchmark for this Court, and gives this Court much pleasure in granting the petition forthwith.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

10	FL17462	Alyssa Samaripa vs. Chandler T Hampton		01/11/2022
----	---------	---	--	------------

Alyssa Samaripa

Pro Per

Chandler T Hampton

Attorney: Nathan Nutting

OSC Hearing - Child Custody/Visitation

01/11/2022 OSC Application

File Tracking

07/11/2024 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

See OAJR filed 04/06/2026.

Grandfather is now supervised.

Parties given 3-4-4-3.

Need to address Father's new claims:

- Mother is living out of her vehicle
- Mother has arrest warrant issued for DUI in CRM79095 (court file shows physical resident address in Riverbank)
- Father says no custody of "other kids" but no other FL in this county

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

11	PR12354	Conservatorship of Annette Louise Ferreira Genevieve Reyes Pro Per Annette Louise Ferreira Janice G. Lopez Simpson-Van Bokkelen Bonding Pro Per Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850	10/27/2023
----	----------------	--	------------

10/27/2023 Transfer In

File Tracking 05/06/2024 High Density

This is a transfer-in limited conservatorship over the person and estate of an elderly woman, presently in the care of a suitable non family member. The Court is awaiting receipt and review of the required annual investigative report to determine if this limited conservatorship remains appropriate. Since Probate Code §1851(b)(1) requires the report to be filed and served “not less than 15 days before the date of review,” the hearing may need to be continued unless the interested waive the notice defect.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

12 PR11780	Conservatorship of Daniel Louis Heiny		01/22/2020
------------	---------------------------------------	--	------------

Susan Heiny

Attorney: Jennifer Lothert

Daniel Louis Heiny

Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

01/22/2020 Petition

File Tracking

04/24/2024 High Density

This is an established general conservatorship over the person of a young man, presently in the care of his mother. Despite a recent snafu with his last out-of-home placement, he seems to have done well since moving. The Court is awaiting receipt and review of the required annual investigative report. Since Probate Code §1851(b)(1) requires the report to be filed and served “not less than 15 days before the date of review,” the hearing may need to be continued unless the interested waive the notice defect.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed		
13 PR11254	Conservatorship of Haley M. Merz		11/23/2015		
	Darlene Theresa Merz	Pro Per			
	Haley Michelle Merz	Attorney: Donald Martell			
	Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850				
11/23/2015	Petition: Other	<table border="1"><tr><td>File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>04/24/2024 High Density</td></tr></table>		File Tracking	04/24/2024 High Density
File Tracking					
04/24/2024 High Density					
11/23/2015	Petition				

This is an established general conservatorship over the person of a young woman, presently in the care of her mother. The Court is awaiting receipt and review of the required annual investigative report. Since Probate Code §1851(b)(1) requires the report to be filed and served “not less than 15 days before the date of review,” the hearing may need to be continued unless the interested waive the notice defect.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

14 PR12182	Conservatorship of Jana Friedman		10/18/2022
------------	----------------------------------	--	------------

Tuolumne County Public Guardian Attorney: County Counsel

Jana Friedman Attorney: Carrie McKernan

Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

FURTHER - report filed?

10/18/2022 Petition

10/18/2022 Petition

File Tracking 07/31/2025 High Density

This is an established general conservatorship over the person and estate of an elderly woman with significant health concerns, presently in the care of the public guardian. It is understood that she recently moved out of county in conjunction with the sale of her prior residence. The Court is awaiting receipt and review of the required annual investigative report. Since Probate Code §1851(b)(1) requires the report to be filed and served “not less than 15 days before the date of review,” the hearing may need to be continued unless the interested waive the notice defect.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

15 PR12057	Conservatorship of Jill McClintock-Cole		02/18/2022
------------	---	--	------------

Tuolumne County Public Guardian Attorney: Sarah Carrillo

Jill McClintock-Cole

Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

Accounting Hearing

2nd simplified

02/18/2022 Petition

02/18/2022 Petition

Other Cases

CVL65145

File Tracking

04/29/2024 High Density

This is an established general conservatorship over the person and estate of an elderly woman, presently in the care of the public guardian. The Court is awaiting receipt and review of the required annual investigative report. Since Probate Code §1851(b)(1) requires the report to be filed and served “not less than 15 days before the date of review,” the hearing may need to be continued unless the interested waive the notice defect.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

16	PR10681	Conservatorship of Joseph Myron LaKamp	02/03/2011
		Charles M LaKamp	Attorney: Matthew Wright
		Marianne LaKamp	Attorney: Matthew Wright
		Joseph Myron LaKamp	
		Charles D LaKamp	Attorney: Matthew Wright
		Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850	

02/03/2011 Petition

File Tracking 04/24/2024 High Density

This is an established general conservatorship over the person of a young man, presently in the out-of-home care of his parents. The Court is awaiting receipt and review of the required annual investigative report to determine, based on the conservators' initial request, whether the conservatee can be graduated to a limited. Since Probate Code §1851(b)(1) requires the report to be filed and served "not less than 15 days before the date of review," the hearing may need to be continued unless the interested waive the notice defect.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

17	PR11890	Conservatorship of Laila Martin	01/12/2021
		Dayn Martin	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert
		Trinka Martin	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert
		Laila Martin	
		Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850	

01/12/2021 Petition

File Tracking 04/24/2024 High Density

This is an established general conservatorship over the person of a young woman, presently in the care of her parents. The Court is awaiting receipt and review of the required annual investigative report. Since Probate Code §1851(b)(1) requires the report to be filed and served “not less than 15 days before the date of review,” the hearing may need to be continued unless the interested waive the notice defect.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

18 PR10600	Conservatorship of Mark Lee Wilcox		06/01/2010
------------	------------------------------------	--	------------

Jerry Lee Wilcox

Maria J Wilcox

Mark Lee Wilcox

Jessica M Wilcox

Rosa Marie Smith

Attorney: Jennifer Lothert

Accounting Hearing

Set Bi-Annual Review 1850

11/15/2024 Petition

File Tracking 01/21/2025 High Density

Other Cases
PR11269

This was to be a review of the conservatorship of the estate, in conjunction with a review of the propriety of the special needs trust. No accounting has been filed so the hearing will undoubtedly need to be continued. Since Probate Code §1851(b)(1) requires the report to be filed and served “not less than 15 days before the date of review,” the hearing may need to be continued unless the interested waive the notice defect.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 17, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

19 PR11900 **Guardianship of Allyson Dawn Solano** 01/28/2021

Laura E. Young Pro Per

Harrison K.F.B. Young Pro Per

Allyson Dawn Solano

Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2

FURTHER

Motion Hearing - Other

Petition for Instructions Minors Mental Health Treatment and Placement

01/28/2021 Petition

01/28/2021 Petition

File Tracking

04/12/2024 High Density

On 04/07/2026, guardians filed a petition for instructions regarding their options to secure “behavioral health” treatment for the ward.

Notice: Absent good cause (§1203) or a request for emergency intervention, all guardianship petitions for instruction require at least 15 days’ notice (§1460(a)). As such, this petition cannot be heard this day. In addition, guardianship petitions require notice to the ward (§1460(b)(2)), and there is no proof of that having occurred. Finally, in light of the concerns raised at the previous hearing regarding the possibility of resignation, notice must also be provided to the biological parents (§1202).

Merits: While it is premature to address merits, §2403 pertains to estates only. It is generally understood that guardians have the right to subject wards to non-surgical medical treatment (§2353), but may not have the ward sterilized, admitted to a mental health facility, or subjected to experimental drugs/treatments without a specialized hearing. See §2356. For nonconsensual mental health care that does not rise to the level of involuntary institutionalism, a petition can be used but it must support with specificity and medical affidavits showing (1) the nature of the medical condition requiring treatment, (2) the recommended course, (3) the threat to the health of the ward if authorization to consent is delayed or denied, (4) the predictable or probable outcome of the recommended treatment, (5) medically available alternatives, if any, and (6) the efforts made to obtain an informed consent from the ward. See §2357(c). This petition does not include any of the requirements.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

20	PR12648	Guardianship of Andre Edmond Bodle Stubbs	05/15/2025
----	---------	--	------------

Joseph Laurence Bodle	Pro Per
-----------------------	---------

Andre Edmond Stubbs	Pro Per
---------------------	---------

Hannah Bodle

Terminate Guardianship Hearing

05/15/2025 Petition

05/15/2025 Petition

Other Cases
FL18128

File Tracking 05/19/2025 High Density

Despite bio mom’s historical resistance to this guardianship, and eventual acquiescence, she has recently filed a petition to terminate this guardianship – and in conjunction with the guardian’s relocation do to his own “family instability” where he was living.

This case will require further involvement by the court investigator, and new involvement by minor’s counsel. Voir dire the parties regarding their ability to cover the related costs in lieu of county funding.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 17, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

21 PR11889 Guardianship of Christopher Andrew Means Jr

01/07/2021

Debra Sprague

Pro Per

Christopher Andrew Means

Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2

01/07/2021 Petition

01/07/2021 Petition

File Tracking

04/26/2024 High Density

This is the annual review of an established guardianship. In the report, the guardian notes that the time has come to consider terminating the guardianship and allowing the child to return full-time to his biological mother. There is a complex background that will require involvement from the court investigator before the guardianship can be terminated.

Bio dad: Christopher Means – rights terminated (2018)
Bio mom: Jalyne Castro – rights terminated (2018)
Adopted: Angela Means – unable to care
Guardian: Debra Sprague (2021)

In re Noreen G. (2010) 181 Cal.App.4th 1359, 1392-1394: “Absent an explicit agreement to the contrary, a birth parent is not entitled to postadoption visitation with his or her children ... [however], agreements that provide for birth parents to continue visitation with their children following termination of parental rights or adoption are also recognized by statute and enforceable, but any such agreements must be in writing and must be found by the court to be in the best interests of the children. Fam.Code, § 8616.5”

§2662: “Whenever the court grants a petition removing the guardian or tendering the resignation of the guardian, if the court does not immediately appoint a successor guardian, the court shall at the same time appoint a responsible adult to make educational decisions for the minor until a successor guardian is appointed.”

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

22 PR11337	Guardianship of Jesse J. Bustamante		06/23/2016
------------	--	--	------------

Kathryn Keagy Pro Per

Jesse Lucas Bustamante

Jesus Bustamante Pro Per

Brandie D Folres Pro Per

Petition Hearing

Terminate Guardianship

Petition Hearing

Determine a Parental Relationship - See FL14605

Hearing: Other

Terminate Guardianship

10/20/2022 Termination of Guardianship

File Tracking

10/17/2024 High Density

Related to FL14605.

This guardianship was commenced via petition filed in 2016. According to the guardian: (1) bio mom was incarcerated; (2) bio dad was “absent” and homeless; and (3) child was with petitioner since birth.

On 01/09/2026, the guardian appeared at the annualized review hearing with the CG-251 in hand and advised that she had recently moved and apologized for missing the initial review hearing. She advised that she “did not know” her new address and would update the court as soon as she could. For reasons that are not clear, the GC-251 she handed the court that day was never scanned into the file.

On 01/26/2026, Brandi Flores – a great grandmother – filed a motion for visitation indicating a desire to take the child to see his father.

On 03/06/2026, both Brandi and Jesus, the ward’s biological father, appeared at the hearing on Brandi’s motion and informed this Court that the guardian is reportedly homeless and has apparently traveled to Oklahoma to “drop off” the ward with the biological father and flee. Father proceeded to file a motion to terminate the guardianship and a motion to determine parental relations.

Court shall call up FL14605 and enter an order immediately halting Father’s \$33/month child support obligation owing to the guardian, and order updated FL-150s from both parties in order to establish an order favoring father.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

24	PR12325	In The Matter John Landrum Martin III	09/19/2023
----	---------	---------------------------------------	------------

Melissa Hunter Martin

Attorney: James Cilley

John Landrum Martin

Accounting Hearing

2nd - simplified

Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

12/18/2025 Petition

File Tracking

02/14/2025 From Court-Probate on Calendar

This is an established general conservatorship over the person and estate of an elderly man, presently in the care of his daughter. The Court is awaiting receipt and review of the required annual investigative report. Since Probate Code §1851(b)(1) requires the report to be filed and served “not less than 15 days before the date of review,” the hearing may need to be continued unless the interested waive the notice defect.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5 **April 17, 2026** **1:30 pm** **DA Case #** **Date Filed**

25 **FL17016** **David Munroe and Samantha Sommerville** 03/25/2021

David Munroe

Attorney: Juley Salkeld

Samantha Sommerville

Court Trial: Long Cause

Day 3 of 3

Est. Duration: 4.00 Hours

03/25/2021 **Petition**

File Tracking

12/11/2023 High Density

Trial Day 3 if needed.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 17, 2026	1:30 pm	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

26	CV67983	Petition of Vanessa Smith		01/12/2026
----	---------	---------------------------	--	------------

Vanessa Smith	Pro Per
---------------	---------

OSC Hearing - Name Change

FURTHER

01/12/2026 Petition

File Tracking 01/16/2026 High Density

Nonconfidential name change; still awaiting proof of publication