

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

1	PR12308	Estate of Arturo Madrid, Jr		07/21/2023
---	---------	-----------------------------	--	------------

**Alfred Telly Madrid**

Attorney: Alan Eighmey

**Arturo Madrid**

**Petition Hearing**

for Termination of Proceedings and Discharge

**07/21/2023** Petition

**File Tracking**  
02/19/2025 From Court-Probate on Calendar

---

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the §12251 petition, intends to find by sufficient evidence that no property subject to administration still remains in decedent’s estate, that petitioner is entitled to a full release/discharge, and that the bond previously posted should be exonerated. Absent objection from the interested parties, the petition will be granted, and the proposed order signed/entered.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

2	PR12439	Estate of Gerald Duane Campbell		03/27/2024
---	---------	---------------------------------	--	------------

**Jeffrey Campbell**

Attorney: Carrie McKernan

**Gerald Duane Campbell**

**Vickie L Campbell**

Pro Per

**Review Hearing**

If needed.

**03/27/2024** Petition

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

01/30/2025 From Court-Probate on Calendar
---

---

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

This is a review hearing to confirm that all interested parties have received a check from Jeff in the amount of \$110,000 (as was the agreement). The previous proposed order was rejected because that language was imprecise.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

3	<b>PR12750</b>	<b>Estate of Gwendolyn Marie Barendregt</b>		01/29/2026
---	----------------	---	--	------------

**David Lake**

Attorney: Kelsey Kern

**Gwendolyn Marie Barendregt**

**Probate Will/Issue Letters**

01/29/2026 Petition

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

02/24/2026 High Density
-------------------------

---

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

Related to PR11522.

No appearance is necessary.

This is the initial hearing on a petition to probate decedent’s will and issue Letters Testamentary to the nominated executor. The copy of the will is sufficiently self-authenticated to warrant admission of the original to probate. Notice via publication is adequate even though it fails to include every alias associated with decedent. See §8122. Notice to interested parties is presumptively satisfied given the Herculean list amassed by counsel. See §§ 1208, 8110; CRC 7.51; TCSC Local Rule 5.13.0.

Court intends to grant the petition, issue the Letters, and set §§ 8800/12200 review dates.

Of note, this Court is not admitting to probate any instrument relating to Paul Barendregt which is referenced in Art. VI of decedent’s will, and no such request has been made. To the extent decedent’s putative power of appointment has not yet been made effective, it does appear that such power would need to be exercised within PR11522 by decedent’s successor in interest unless the assets are to be treated as a non-probate assignment subject to possible IRS treatment. Petitioner will need to clarify if said assets are included in decedent’s I&A.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

<b>4</b>	<b>PR12743</b>	<b>Estate of Joanne D Knowles</b>		01/14/2026
----------	----------------	-----------------------------------	--	------------

**Laurel Rimón**

Attorney: Tamara Polley

**Joanne D Knowles**

**Probate Will/Issue Letters**

FURTHER

01/14/2026 Petition

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

03/18/2026 High Density
-------------------------

---

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

No appearance is necessary.

This is the continued hearing on a petition to admit decedent’s recently-found will to probate, and to appoint her nominee to serve as executor thereof. The will is sufficiently self-authenticated to warrant admission of the original to probate. Notice via publication is satisfactory. Notice to interested parties includes Laurel, Greg, Richard, Charles, and UC Davis. This is technically complaint (see §§ 1208, 8110; CRC 7.51; TCSC Local Rule 5.13.0), although notice to David would avoid any potential adverse claim down the road.

Court intends to grant the petition, issue the Letters, and set §§ 8800/12200 review dates.



**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

<b>6</b>	<b>PR12714</b>	<b>In Re: The Hauschildt 1994 Revocable Trust</b>		<b>10/09/2025</b>
----------	----------------	---	--	-------------------

**Jimmie Nixon**

Attorney: Michael Germain

**Review Hearing**

Entry of Judgment

**10/09/2025 Petition**

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

12/05/2025 High Density
-------------------------

---

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link:

<https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cniYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456].

All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

**No appearance necessary. Judgment already entered March 3.**

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

<b>7</b>	<b>PR12755</b>	<b>In the Matter of Raymond Eric Dixon</b>		02/11/2026
----------	----------------	--	--	------------

**Eric A Dixon**

Attorney: Matthew Toth

**Raymond Eric Dixon**

**Determine Succ to Real Property**

02/11/2026 Petition

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

03/09/2026 High Density
-------------------------

---

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMTQNWMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

This is the initial hearing on a by-pass petition to determine intestate succession to decedent's primary residence. Petitioner purports to be the successor in interest by virtue of §6402(a), in that he is the sole natural heir. There are two items needing clarification.

First, a by-pass petition must state "the facts upon which the petitioner bases the allegation that the described real property was the decedent's primary residence." See §13152(a)(3). There is nothing in the petition connecting 22000 Elizabeth Lane to the decedent, let alone as his primary residence. Although one's "primary residence" can differ from one's last residence (ie, assisted living, hospice), there must still be some permanency or continuing expectation of periodic return associated with the former. See, e.g., CCP §704.995; Govt. Code §244; Veh. Code §516; *Estate of Wardani* (2022) 82 Cal.App.5<sup>th</sup> 870, 882-883; *Marriage of Amezquita & Archuleta* (2002) 101 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 1415, 1419-1420; *Kibbee v. Blue Ridge Ins. Co.* (1999) 69 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 53, 61-62; *DeMiglio v. Mashore* (1992) 4 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 1260, 1268.

Second, a trial court may grant a by-pass petition only if it determines "the property described in the order is property of the decedent." See §13154(b)(4). There is nothing in the petition demonstrating decedent's ownership of 22000 Elizabeth Lane, at any time and certainly not at this time when orders are being sought. A current preliminary title report, property tax bill, or confirmation from accessor's office is always needed to assure that no cloud or slander of title is being created by the proposed order of transfer.

Court is pleased to continue the hearing to allow petitioner the additional time needed to present the missing information.





**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

10	FL15007	Ryan Royce and Erika Royce		08/16/2017
----	---------	----------------------------	--	------------

**Ryan Royce**

Pro Per

**Erika Royce**

Attorney: Jessie Castellano

**Review Hearing - Visitation**

Step Up for Petitioner?

**05/15/2018 OSC Application**

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

07/18/2025 Archives-File Imaged
---------------------------------

---

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

Update on visits.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

11	<b>PR11898</b>	<b>Guardianship of Mia Smith</b>	01/25/2021
		<b>Sandra Thompson</b> Pro Per	
		<b>Mia Smith</b> Pro Per	
		Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2	

01/25/2021 Petition

<b>File Tracking</b> 04/12/2024 High Density
---

---

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments, intends to find by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianship remains necessary and that the guardian continues to serve the ward's best interests. Court to set annual review date.



**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
13 FL5534	<b>Kim Sutton and Curt Sutton</b>		06/22/2005
	<b>Kim Sutton</b>	Pro Per	
	<b>Curt Sutton</b>	Pro Per	
	Trial Setting		
06/22/2005	Petition	<b>File Tracking</b> 03/18/2025 High Density	

Needed calendar space for other matter so have to reset this case. Issue is limited to Mother's request to increase spousal support after many years.

On 07/10/2025, Wife filed motion to increase spousal support from \$100/month effective 01/13/2006 to \$1,000/month effective immediately because she is sleeping on her aunt's couch. Wife claims that despite "divorce" they never actually separated and remained as a unit until 2024. Husband concedes that they dated for a decade post-divorce and in that time he increased his earning ability significantly.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026</b>	<b>1:30 pm</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

14	CV68013	Petition of Charles Alkema		01/21/2026
----	---------	----------------------------	--	------------

Charles Alkema	Pro Per
----------------	---------

OSC Hearing - Name Change

01/21/2026 Petition

<b>File Tracking</b> 03/10/2026 High Density
---

---

“Nonconfidential” name change of minor; however will clear courtroom

§1278.5: no consent from bio parents

§1277(f): “If a guardian files a petition to change the name of the guardian's minor ward pursuant to Section 1276, the guardian shall provide notice of the hearing to any living parent of the minor by personal service at least 30 days before the hearing. If either or both parents are deceased or cannot be located, the guardian shall give notice to the child's grandparents.”

§1276(f): “If the petition is signed by a guardian, the petition shall specify relevant information regarding the guardianship, the likelihood that the child will remain under the guardian's care until the child reaches the age of majority, and information suggesting that the child will not likely be returned to the custody of the child's parents.”

§1277(c): “If the petition is filed for a minor or nonminor dependent who is under the jurisdiction of the juvenile court, the action for a change of name is exempt from the requirement for publication of the order to show cause under subdivision (a).”



**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>March 27, 2026</b>	<b>1:30 pm</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

<b>16</b>	<b>PR12370</b>	<b>In Re: The Ylimaki Family Trust</b>		<b>12/01/2023</b>
-----------	----------------	--	--	-------------------

**Susan Lunsford**

Attorney: Carrie McKernan

**Robert Norman**

**John Hylinger**

Attorney: Carrie McKernan

**Jimmie Nixon**

Attorney: Brandon Meyer

**Court Trial: Long Cause**

Day 2 HALF DAY

**12/01/2023 Petition**

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

09/26/2024 High Density
-------------------------

---

**Trial Day 2**