

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
1 CV66458	<b>Veronica Aguayo vs. BestNest Management LLC</b>		09/20/2024
	<b>Veronica Aguayo</b>	Attorney: Larry Lee	
	<b>BestNest Management LLC</b>	Attorney: Matthew Soleimanpour	
	<b>BestNest Management LLC</b>	Attorney: Bradley Beherns	
	<b>Motion Hearing - Set Aside/Vacate</b>		
	the Stay RESERVED		
09/20/2024 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		08/08/2025 High Density	

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Before the Court this day is an opposed motion to withdraw this dispute from binding contractual arbitration based on defendant's putative failure to tender arbitral fees. The issue turns on statutory interpretation, aided by the Supreme Court's recent clarification in *Hohenshelt*. Since the parties had not yet appeared before this bench officer, a stipulation is required before a substantive tentative can be provided.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 8:30 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

2	CV66697	Cardiff Classics, Inc vs. Eric Icenogle et al	11/19/2024
		Cardiff Classics, Inc	Attorney: Evan Lee
		Eric Icenogle	Attorney: Mary McEwen
		Adriana Sandoval	Pro Per
		Pariso Tax & Insurance Services	
		Eric Icenogle	Attorney: Mary McEwen
		Adriana Cruz	Attorney: Steven Derby
		Case Management Conference	
		Motion Hearing - Compel	
		Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses to Request for Production of Documents	
		Motion Hearing - Compel	
		Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses to Special Interrogatories	

11/18/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

08/11/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Before the Court this day is a scheduled CMC and two motions to compel further responses to identified RPDs and SRogs.

**Based on the CMC statements** submitted by all sides, it does appear as though the dispute is fully at issue at ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**As for the discovery motions**, since the parties had not yet appeared before this bench officer, a stipulation is required before a substantive tentative can be provided.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 8:30 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

3	CV67742	Creditors Adjustment Bureau, Inc. vs. Jeremiah Christopher Milam et al  Creditors Adjustment Bureau, Inc. Attorney: Kenneth Freed  Jeremiah Christopher Milam  Milam Construction, Inc.  Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction	10/14/2025
---	---------	---	------------

10/14/2025 Complaint

**File Tracking**

11/14/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnLYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a quasi-collections case in which plaintiff is suing to recover from defendant an unpaid invoice for premiums on a four-month workers' compensation policy. Service appears to have been recently made on both defendants, which occurred well outside the CRC 3.110 parameters. There is no appearance by defendants yet. It does appear that this CMC ought to be reset for a later date, and at the CMC calendar time.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 8:30 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

4	CV67478	Anthony M Garcia vs. Richard Vines et al	07/25/2025
		Anthony M Garcia	Attorney: Kenneth Hedberg
		Richard Vines	
		Melinda Vines	Pro Per
		Michelle Lee Bailey	
		Melinda Vines	
		Michelle Lee Bailey	
		David Christopher Vines	
		All Other Persons Unknown	
		Case Management Conference	
		Demurrer	

07/25/2025 Complaint

**File Tracking**

07/25/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlydzZ6VnBXVWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. These parties have already stipulated to this bench officer serving as judge for all purposes on 11/12/2025.

This is a quiet title action involving a portion of a 25-acre parcel in Groveland, and what seems to be the ubiquitous marijuana crop somewhere thereon. Plaintiff alleges that defendant caused to be recorded in the chain of title a false/forged quit claim deed transferring title of the portion including the crop from plaintiff to defendant as an unremunerated gift. The source of the confusion stems from a family decision to pledge a "portion" of said parcel to a bail bondsman to cover bail for a family member, and thereafter a series of conflated "agreements" and the untimely death of key witnesses.

The original pleading included only one named defendant. Following a motion to intervene, plaintiff opted to file a First Amended Complaint to include the prospective intervenors as party-defendants. The amended pleading included a few additional averments, and several key exhibits incorporated therein.

Before the Court this morning is a demurrer filed by co-defendants Melinda and Michelle. As with the previous demurrer, the court file does not include any opposition to the demurrer, arguably explainable by the fact that the demurrer does not include a valid proof of service to plaintiff's counsel, nor does it include a declaration demonstrating the required good faith meet and confer. For these reasons, the demurrer will not be addressed substantively at this time.

There is also a CMC set for this date. Only plaintiff has complied with the requirement to submit a CMC statement, but it appears that no meet and confer has taken place in advance thereof.

William Owens → Brenda → Anthony (2014)  
Richard → Anthony (2017), then Doc 2025-003590 (Anthony → Richard?)  
David → David Jr.  
Mike → Melinda and Michelle

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 8:30 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

5	CV65497	Christi Kolberg vs. Estate of James Walsh et al	08/11/2023
		Christi Kolberg	Pro Per
		Estate of James Walsh	
		Caroline Walsh Snook	Attorney: Timothy Trujillo
		Motion Hearing - Compel	
		Answers w/o Objections	
		Motion Hearing - Compel	
		Responses w/o Objections	
		Motion Hearing - Other	
		Deem the Truth of the Matters Specified	
08/11/2023 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		07/28/2025	Dept. 1 Calendar/Clerk

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a family dispute regarding equitable ownership of certain real property located on Lynn Lane in Sonora, or a monetary equivalent thereto. The property was owned by John Walsh, who died on 05/17/2021. His brother James commenced an *intestate* probate proceeding on John's behalf (see PR12140), despite John allegedly having a will leaving everything to his non-relative live-in caregiver (see PR12029). During that administration, James died, leaving his own intestate estate to be administered (see PR12267). Meanwhile, John's live-in caregiver (Christi) filed this civil action claiming that John promised her that if she moved in and provided him with care/comfort in his waning years, that upon his passing he would gift her his home. Since James caused that property to be inventoried as part of John's *intestate* estate, the clear implication here is that the home would eventually go to family, not Christi.

Before the Court this day are several defense motions relating to discovery seeking orders to compel responses and an order to deem RFAs admitted. The defendant also requests an award of fees. Since the parties have not yet appeared before this bench officer in the civil action (they have in the probate cases), a stipulation is required before a substantive tentative can be provided.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
6 CV67306	<b>Richard Lee Lasater vs. James Gianelli et al</b>  <b>Richard Lee Lasater</b> Pro Per <b>James Gianelli</b> Attorney: Jason Sommer <b>Kate Powell Segerstrom</b> <b>Jefferey Kaufman</b> <b>Case Management Conference</b> <b>Demurrer</b> to first amended complaint <b>Motion Hearing - Change of Venue</b>		05/29/2025
<b>05/29/2025 Complaint</b>		<b>File Tracking</b> 07/22/2025 High Density	

Hearings are off-calendar, resolved at prior hearing or continued to align with future hearings. Plaintiff's pending request to enter default of co-defendant Gianelli is currently being held as his counsel has been filing documents and is apparently unaware of plaintiff's effort to have default entered. Something appears to be amiss.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
7 CV66328	<b>Susan K. Schweickert et al vs. Stanley Ray Parrish et al</b>  <b>Susan K. Schweickert</b> Attorney: Sally Chenault <b>Susan K. Schweickert, Trustee of the Schweickert Living Trust, dated June 30, 2003</b> Attorney: Sally Chenault <b>Stanley Ray Parrish</b>  <b>Stanley Ray Parrish, Trustee of the Stanley R. Parrish Living Trust dated September 3, 2003</b> <b>Hannah Garcia</b>  <b>DOE, LLC a California Limited Liability Company</b> <b>Hannah Garcia</b> Pro Per  <b>Susan Schweickert</b> Attorney: Sally Chenault <b>Richard Schweikert</b> Pro Per  <b>Review Hearing</b> <b>Stipulation and Dismissal ???</b>		08/08/2024

08/08/2024 Complaint

**File Tracking**  
08/12/2025 High Density

Hearing taken off-calendar via party stip in open court on 10/08/2025.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
8 CVL66312	Accelerated Inventory Management LLC vs. Jesse McMurray		07/24/2024
	Accelerated Inventory Management LLC Jesse McMurray	Attorney: David McGaffey	
	OSC Hearing - Sanctions		
	Sanctions Re: Failure to file Default Pkt.		
07/24/2024 Complaint		File Tracking 08/29/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 07/24/2024  
Defendant Served: 08/23/2024  
# of Hearings (incl this): 4  
Amount in Controversy: \$10,963.57  
OSC Issued on: 10/28/2025  
08/20/2025 (At Day 360, plaintiff filed 1<sup>st</sup> Amended Complaint for no apparent reason, and served summons thereon.)

CRC 3.740(f) Tier I: \$500 payable in 20 days  
CRC 3.740(f) Tier II: 60 days

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

9	CVL67512	Aspire General Insurance Company vs. Isabella Luczy	08/04/2025
		Aspire General Insurance Company	Attorney: Todd Haines
		Isabella Luczy	Pro Per
		Case Management Conference	
08/04/2025 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 08/07/2025 High Density	

This is a subrogation action following an automobile accident. Based on the CMC statement filed by plaintiff, it does appear as though the parties are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
10 CVL66360	Bank of America, N.A. vs. Kirtlye Wheeler		08/12/2024
	Bank of America, N.A.	Attorney: Robert Kennard	
	Kirtlye Wheeler		
	Review Hearing		
	Dismissal or Default Judgment		
08/12/2024 Complaint		File Tracking 03/17/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 08/12/2024  
Defendant Served: 09/20/2024  
# of Hearings (incl this): 3  
Amount in Controversy: \$5,678.73  
OSC Issued on: N/A: plaintiff indicated parties had settled

CRC 3.740(f) Tier I: OSC to issue, 60 days

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
11 CVL67350	Bank of America, N.A. vs. Melissa K Bowen		06/18/2025
	Bank of America, N.A.	Attorney: David McGaffey	
	Melissa K Bowen		
	Case Management Conference - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
06/18/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 06/18/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 06/18/2025  
Defendant Served: 08/23/2024  
Defendant Answered: 08/05/2025

Based on CMC filed by plaintiff, it does appear as though the parties are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)  
Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

12	CV67469	Marlys Bell vs. Andrea Victoria Lisbon	07/24/2025
		Marlys Bell	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
		Andrea Victoria Lisbon	Attorney: Scott Ward
		Case Management Conference	
07/24/2025 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 07/29/2025 High Density	

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is an elder abuse case involving the transfer of certain real property. Based on CMC statements filed by both sides, it does appear as though the parties are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

13	CV67496	Jeffrey Braeger vs. General Motors LLC	07/30/2025
		Jeffrey Braeger	Attorney: Michael Rosenstein
		General Motors LLC, a Delaware limited liability company	Attorney: H. Efstratis
		Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction	

07/30/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/04/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a lemon law case. Based on CMC statements filed by both sides, it does appear as though the parties are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
14 CVL67473	C.A.H.P. Credit Union vs. Jeremy Curry  C.A.H.P. Credit Union, a California Corp Jeremy Curry  Case Management Conference		07/24/2025

**File Tracking**

07/25/2025 High Density

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 07/24/2025  
Defendant Served: 08/08/2024  
Defendant Answered: 08/15/2025

Based on CMC filed by plaintiff, it does appear as though the parties are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)  
Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

15	CVL66566	Cavalry SPV I, LLC vs. Randalyn Martin	10/17/2024
		Cavalry SPV I, LLC	Attorney: Tiffany Pack
		Randalyn Martin	
		Review Hearing	
		Dismissal or Default Judgment	
10/17/2024	Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 04/29/2025 High Density

**Collections case:**

Litigation Commenced: 10/17/2024  
Defendant Served: 11/12/2024  
# of Hearings (incl this): 2  
Amount in Controversy: \$6,369.14  
OSC Issued on: N/A: plaintiff yet to be heard from; set sua sponte past Day 360 w/out OSC

CRC 3.740(f) Tier I: OSC to issue, 60 days

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
16 CVL66478	<b>CKS Prime Investments LLC vs. Patrick Carpenter</b>		09/23/2024
	<b>CKS Prime Investments LLC</b>	Attorney: Bryant Burnstad	
	<b>Patrick Carpenter</b>		
	<b>OSC Hearing - Sanctions</b>		
	Sanctions Re: Failure to Comply w/ CRC 3.740		
09/23/2024	Complaint	<b>File Tracking</b> 12/26/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 09/23/2024  
Defendant Served: 10/17/2024  
# of Hearings (incl this): 3  
Amount in Controversy: \$1,092.79  
OSC Issued on: 10/29/2025

Success: default judgment entered 12/12/2025.

Hearing to go off-calendar and OSC re sanction vacated per CRC 3.740(f).

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

17	CV67253	Pamela Cutting vs. QCSI Six LLC	05/13/2025
		Pamela Cutting	Attorney: Rachel Karchemsky
		QCSI Six LLC	Attorney: Scott Grant
		QCSI Six LLC	Attorney: Scott Grant
		Pamela Cutting	Attorney: Rachel Karchemsky
		Case Management Conference	

07/11/2025 Cross Complaint

File Tracking

05/14/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action involving an allegedly unsafe condition in the parking lot of the now shuttered Rite Aid store at the corner of Mono and Greenley. The store is owned by Thrifty, which is in bankruptcy. The parking lot is owned by QCSI Six LLC, which reportedly had an agreement with Thrifty to maintain the parking lot. Whether the agreement with Thrifty fails due to the 2023 bankruptcy, or the 2025 bankruptcy, is of little consequence to this Court because there remains a cause of action between plaintiff and the property owner which will proceed either way. Seems the best course of action is to stay and bifurcate proceedings relating to Thrifty, and to charge forward with the case in chief. If QCSI Six LLC is found liable, they can pursue express indemnity in the bankruptcy court.

Based on CMC filed by plaintiff, it does appear as though the parties are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
18 CVL66571	Discover Bank vs. Bromley Tellier		10/16/2024
	Discover Bank	Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta	
	Bromley Tellier		
	Review Hearing		
	Dismissal or Default Judgment		
10/16/2024 Complaint		File Tracking 04/29/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 10/16/2024  
Defendant Served: 11/08/2024  
# of Hearings (incl this): 2  
Amount in Controversy: \$6,736.53  
OSC Issued on: N/A: plaintiff yet to be heard from; set sua sponte past Day 360 w/out OSC

CRC 3.740(f) Tier I: OSC to issue, 60 days

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

19	CV67795	Jaclyn Eidinger vs. Lake Don Pedro Marina	10/31/2025
		Jaclyn Eidinger	Attorney: Olesia Boulaev
		Lake Don Pedro Marina	Attorney: Peter Witherington
		Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction	
		Zoom	
10/31/2025	Complaint - Transfer In		<b>File Tracking</b> 11/14/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnIYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a personal injury action arising from an allegedly unsafe condition at the Lake Don Pedro Marina. This case was originally filed in Stanislaus County, but transferred here via stipulation of the parties. Plaintiff filed a CMC statement here indicating that defendant has made an appearance, but the court file transferred over does not include an answer or any responsive pleading. While it is possible to treat the stipulated venue transfer as akin to a general appearance, this court will still require a responsive pleading from the defendant in lieu of a default. See CRC 3.110.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

20	CVL67462	Shawn Finigan vs. Benjamin Larson	07/23/2025
		Shawn Finigan	Attorney: Timothy Trujillo
		Benjamin Larson	
		Case Management Conference	

07/23/2025 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b>
07/24/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWVF09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a quasi-collections case. As alleged, plaintiff performed services at defendant's residence requiring a contractor's license, and upon completion of said services defendant failed to pay, prompting plaintiff to record a mechanics lien against the subject property benefitting from the services provided. This is the civil action to perfect the lien, though plaintiff separately seeks monetary relief as well. Plaintiff has already secured entry of defendant's default, but is tardy converting that default into a judgment. See CRC 3.110.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

21	CV65560	First Light Property Holdings, LLC vs. Fidelity National Title Insurance	09/08/2023
		First Light Property Holdings, LLC Attorney: Mary McEwen	
		<b>Fidelity National Title Insurance</b>	
		<b>Company</b>	
		<b>Michael Gordon</b>	Attorney: Scott Ward
		<b>Michael Gordon</b>	Attorney: Scott Ward
		<b>Diane Gordon</b>	Attorney: Scott Ward
		<b>Diane Gordon</b>	Attorney: Scott Ward
		<b>Mark Fossum</b>	Attorney: Peter Catalanotti
		<b>Pine Mountain Lake Realty</b>	Attorney: Peter Catalanotti
		<b>Brian L. Merritt</b>	Pro Per
		<b>Kristine M. Merritt</b>	Pro Per
		<b>Trustee of the Acme Afterlife Trust</b>	Pro Per
		<b>dated November 20, 2002</b>	
		<b>Michael Gordon</b>	Attorney: Scott Ward
		<b>Diane Gordon</b>	Attorney: Scott Ward
		<b>Mark Fossum</b>	
		<b>Pine Mountain Lake Realty</b>	
		<b>Fidelity National Title Insurance</b>	
		<b>Company</b>	
		<b>Patricia Fulton</b>	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
		<b>NORCAL Gold, INC.</b>	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
		<b>Chicago Title Company</b>	
		<b>OSC Hearing - Dismiss</b>	

Dismissal Filed? Non appearance if Dismissal filed.

12/20/2024 Cross Complaint

File Tracking

07/11/2025 High Density

Pursuant to CRC 3.1385, the filing of a Notice of Conditional Settlement stays all court hearings for a period of 45 days beyond the date inserted into Para 1.b. In this case, that would stay all hearings until the end of March. However, on 12/16/2025, counsel agreed to an OSC re dismissal for this date, assuming that a full dismissal would be on file. On 12/23/2025, a partial dismissal was filed, requiring this Court to engage in certain cerebral gymnastics to decipher what remains of the case. Rather than doing so, and possibly getting it wrong, this Court will hold today's hearing and learn from counsel why the dismissal on file is surgically excised as it is.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
22 CVL67669	First Technology Federal Credit Union vs. John Mathisen  First Technology Federal Credit Union John Mathisen  Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction		09/19/2025

**File Tracking**  
09/24/2025 High Density

This is a quasi-collections case. According to plaintiff, defendant borrowed \$35,000 and failed to make the required payments. Defendant was subserved at his residence, and has since been defaulted. Plaintiff indicates an intention to proceed via prove-up package.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

23	CV67479	Mark Fleming vs. Jacob Wester, MD	07/25/2025
		Mark Fleming	Attorney: Benjamin Ikuta
		Jacob Wester	Attorney: Matthew Hawkins
		Sonora Community Hospital	Attorney: Jason Barnas
		Greenly Oaks Ear, Nose, and Throat	Attorney: Jason Barnas
		Case Management Conference	
07/25/2025 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		07/29/2025	High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a med mal case involving allegations of delayed diagnosis of a cancer. Based on CMC statements filed by both sides, it does appear as though the parties are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
24 CV67478	<b>Anthony M Garcia vs. Richard Vines et al</b>		07/25/2025
	<b>Anthony M Garcia</b>	Attorney: Kenneth Hedberg	
	<b>Richard Vines</b>		
	<b>Melinda Vines</b>	Pro Per	
	<b>Michelle Lee Bailey</b>		
	<b>Melinda Vines</b>		
	<b>Michelle Lee Bailey</b>		
	<b>David Christopher Vines</b>		
	<b>All Other Persons Unknown</b>		
	<b>Case Management Conference</b>		
	<b>Demurrer</b>		
07/25/2025 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		07/25/2025 High Density	

Heard at 8:30 ...

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

25	CV67737	Seana Hood vs. Twin Pines MHP	10/14/2025
		Seana Hood	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
		Twin Pines MHP	
		Case Management Conference	

10/14/2025 Complaint

**File Tracking**

10/16/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdZZ6VnBXVWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a dog bite case. The operative pleading and the CMC statement filed by plaintiff leave the reader with some important questions regarding venue. The incident is alleged to have occurred in Riverside County on 10/15/2023, but it is alleged that both dogs (the one plaintiff was walking, and the one owned by defendant which jumped the fence) were residents of Tuolumne County when the incident occurred. To complicate matters, plaintiff has since passed away, making venue tied to her domicile (Probate Code §7051), which is not clearly disclosed in the pleading. Finally, the court file does not contain a CCP §377.32 statement from the actual plaintiff. There is much housekeeping to complete, whether or not the defendant joins the action anytime soon.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
26 CVL66395	<b>Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC vs. Jane Silveira</b>  Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC      Attorney: David McGaffey Jane Silveira Review Hearing Dismissal or Default Judgment 08/22/2024 Complaint		08/22/2024

**File Tracking**  
03/17/2025 High Density

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 08/22/2024  
Defendant Served: 10/17/2024  
# of Hearings (incl this): 4  
Amount in Controversy: \$3,210.51  
OSC Issued on: 10/29/2025

CRC 3.740(f) Tier I: \$500 payable in 20 days  
CRC 3.740(f) Tier II: 60 days

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**

**Consolidated Calendar**

**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
27 CVL66471	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Jeff Mason		09/17/2024
	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Attorney: Harvey Moore	
	Jeff Mason	Attorney: Robert Shepard	
	Review Hearing		
	dismissal?		
09/17/2024 Complaint		File Tracking 04/04/2025 High Density	

The Court, having received and reviewed counsel's declaration in response to this Court's sua sponte OSC re dismissal, hereby intends to rule as follows:

Although it is true that CRC 3.1385(c) generally prohibits a trial court from setting hearings "earlier than 45 days after the dismissal date specified in the notice, unless requested by a party," and that a case sitting in such limbo is to be excluded from the computation of fast track compliance (see CRC 3.1385(c)(4) and Standards 2.2(m)(1)(A)), this court does have an effective omnibus calendar system in which to segregate cases removed from this court's control for statistical (and funding) purposes. Since the parties have already agreed to a stipulated judgment and retained jurisdiction under CCP §664.6, there is no good cause for keeping this case active for the next 18 months just to see if the defendant makes all of his payments. The matter will be dismissed and if judicial intervention is needed down the road, the dismissal can be easily set aside.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
28 CVL67166	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. John R. Grant		04/15/2025
	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Attorney: Matthew Keim	
	John R. Grant		
	Lynda Grant		
	Case Management Conference		
	Trial Setting		
04/15/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 06/30/2025 High Density	

Collection case ready for trial setting unless the parties have made some headway on settlement.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

29	CV66986	Anthony Kilgore vs. First Light Resorts, LLC, et al.	02/21/2025
		Anthony Kilgore	Attorney: Bradley Chase
		First Light Resorts, LLC, et al.	
		Review Hearing	
		Cont - Amended Pleading?	
02/21/2025	Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 03/17/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a PAGA-only wage/hour dispute. The parties anticipate filing a stipulation to stay the action pending arbitration of plaintiff's individual claims. The Court is amenable to such a process and is awaiting the proposed stipulation.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

30	CV67112	<b>Maria Favela La De Herrera vs. Avila 194, Inc</b>	04/01/2025
		<b>Maria Favela La De Herrera</b>	Attorney: Marcus Bradley
		<b>Avila 194, Inc</b>	
		<b>Case Management Conference</b>	
		Further	
04/01/2025	Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 04/02/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a wage/hour PAGA case. The complaint was filed on 04/01/2025, just prior to this plaintiff agreeing to sever off and arbitrate her individual claims in a related action.

There has been no genuine action on this case, despite the requirements of CRC 3.110 that proof of service of the summons be filed within 60 days of commencement. If plaintiff's counsel is banking on the stay in the related case as justification for putting a pin in this case, that is not the proper approach. The related case did not include a PAGA cause of action, so there is no stay in place for such a claim. However, before plaintiff starts prosecuting a PAGA claim here, she may wish to review the agreement reached in another related case to see if there is any daylight left for her own PAGA claim.

Related to:

- CV66721 (case stayed pending individual contractual arbitration)
- CV66073 (PAGA settled via different plaintiff on 10/29/2025)
- CV62035 (voluntarily dismissed in 2021 but still reads as "open")

Court will encourage counsel to dismiss this case and either intervene in the other related action or just focus on the stayed class claims post-arbitration. To that end, Counsel should be prepared to discuss whether the contractual arbitration agreement also includes an enforceable waiver of the right to bring class action claims, as that would obviate the need for any stay in the related case.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**

**Consolidated Calendar**

**Commissioner Steven Streger**

**Department 5**

**February 4, 2026 10:00 am**

**DA Case #**

**Date Filed**

31	CV66453	Linda Mason et al vs. Ashley Menking	09/19/2024
		Linda Mason	Attorney: Jonathan Kashani
		Ashley Menking	
		Review Hearing	
		Serve Complaint	
09/19/2024	Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 08/11/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a personal injury action in which two individuals allege to have suffered injuries following an automobile accident occurring on 09/18/2022.

Pursuant to CRC 3.110(b), "the complaint must be served on all named defendants and proofs of service on those defendants must be filed with the court within **60 days** after the filing of the complaint."

The complaint was filed on 09/19/2024.

It has now been 503 days.

Pursuant to CRC 3.110(f), "if a party fails to serve and file pleadings as required under this rule, and has not obtained an order extending time to serve its pleadings, the court may issue an order to show cause why sanctions shall not be imposed."

Court intends to set an OSC re Sanctions – 30 days.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

32	CV67054	Ida Maria May vs. Rush Creek Lodge, LLC et al	03/14/2025
		<b>Ida Maria May</b>	Attorney: Aimee Newberry
		<b>Rush Creek Lodge, LLC dba Rush Creek Lodge &amp; Spa,</b>	Attorney: Lee Sherman
		<b>Rush Creek Lodge, LLC dba Rush Creek Lodge &amp; Spa,</b>	Attorney: Vivian Tran
		<b>EverGreen Destination Holdings LLC, dba Evergreen Lodge</b>	Attorney: Lee Sherman
		Case Management Conference	
03/14/2025	Complaint	<b>File Tracking</b>	
		03/17/2025	High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a premises liability action involving steps near an outdoor wedding venue. Based on CMC statements filed by both sides, it does appear as though the parties did not succeed in their recent settlement efforts, and are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
33 CV66799	<b>Moyle Excavation, Inc. vs. Iron Mechanical, Inc.</b>		12/31/2024
	<b>Moyle Excavation, Inc.</b>	Attorney: Timothy Trujillo	
	<b>Iron Mechanical, Inc.</b>	Attorney: Brittany Rupley	
	Case Management Conference		
	Further		
12/31/2024 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 08/12/2025 High Density	

This is a dispute relating to construction services provided under contract. Based on CMC statements filed by both sides, it does appear as though the parties are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)  
Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
34 CV67129	<b>Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company vs. Timothy Hill et al</b>		04/02/2025
	<b>Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company</b>	Attorney: Paul Asterlin	
	<b>Timothy Hill</b>	Attorney: Sarah Ornelas	
	<b>Karissa Richardson</b>	Attorney: Sarah Ornelas	
	<b>Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction</b>		
04/02/2025 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 07/28/2025 Dept. 1 Calendar/Clerk	

This is a subrogation action stemming from a fire. Based on CMC statements filed by both sides, it does appear as though the parties are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)  
Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

35	CV67062	Tommy Nguyen et al vs. Vacasa, Inc	03/24/2025
		Tommy Nguyen	Attorney: Ember Oparowski
		Tommy Nguyen	Attorney: Seema Bhatt
		Melissa Nguyen	Attorney: Ember Oparowski
		Melissa Nguyen	Attorney: Seema Bhatt
		Zephaniah Gandeza	
		Vacasa, Inc	
		Elias Muniz Rodriguez	Pro Per
		Elizabeth Castro	Pro Per
		Vacasa LLC	Pro Per
		Theodor Naim	Attorney: Ember Oparowski
		Theodor Naim	Attorney: Seema Bhatt
		Amy Naim	Attorney: Ember Oparowski
		Amy Naim	Attorney: Seema Bhatt
		Elijah Gandeza	
		Azariah Gandeza	
		Jeremiah Gandeza	
		Hezekiah Gandeza	
		Davian Nguyen	Attorney: Ember Oparowski
		Davian Nguyen	Attorney: Seema Bhatt
		OSC Hearing - Sanctions	
		Tier 1	

03/24/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

04/22/2025 High Density

The OSC issued on 11/18/2025 pursuant to CRC 3.110 and CRC 177.5 was not based on counsel's failure to appear at the 11/12/2025 hearing (the error is understandable), but rather on the failure to file proof of service of the operative pleading for defendants Elias Rodriguez and Elizabeth Castro.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
36 CV66056	Cheryl Lee Russo-Moore vs. William Lemas et al		03/26/2024
	Cheryl Lee Russo-Moore	Pro Per	
	William Lemas	Attorney: Bart Barringer	
	Jennifer Avery		
	Review Hearing		
	Dismissal Filed		
	OSC Hearing - Sanctions		
	Re: Dismissal		
03/26/2024 Complaint		File Tracking	
		08/11/2025 High Density	

Hearing off-calendar, continued to 06/03/2026.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

37	CV67476	Kurt Springer vs. Katheryn Anne Snyder et al	07/25/2025
		Kurt Springer	Attorney: Timothy Trujillo
		Katheryn Anne Snyder	
		Kenning Properties, Inc	
		Case Management Conference	
	07/25/2025	Complaint	<b>File Tracking</b> 07/29/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMTONwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a closely-held shareholder/partnership dispute. Set today is the initial case management conference. Notwithstanding the requirements of CRC 3.110, there has been no appearance by, nor default of, defendant despite service occurring more than five months prior. There is also no CMC statement on file, despite the requirements of CRC 3.725.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

38	CV67312	Russell Steeley et al vs. FCA US, LLC	06/02/2025
		Russell Steeley	Attorney: Erik Whitman
		Dora T Steeley	Attorney: Erik Whitman
		FCA US, LLC	Attorney: Michael Gregg
		Case Management Conference	
06/02/2025 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		07/22/2025	High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a lemon law case. Based on CMC statements filed by both sides, it does appear as though the parties are ready for dates:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**

**Consolidated Calendar**

**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

39	<b>CVL65935</b>	<b>TD Bank USA, N.A. vs. Robert Winningham</b>	02/26/2024
----	-----------------	--	------------

TD Bank USA, N.A. Attorney: Venessa Thomas

**Robert Winningham**

**OSC Hearing - Sanctions**

re: Additional Delays

**02/26/2024 Complaint**

**File Tracking**

07/23/2025 Dept. 1 Calendar/Clerk

Other Cases

CV67343

CV67343

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 03/05/2024  
Defendant Served: 03/19/2024  
# of Hearings (incl this): 7  
Amount in Controversy: \$1,732.12  
OSC Issued on: 10/29/2025

Success: default judgment entered 09/25/2025, but not scanned in or removed from calendar on time.

Hearing to go off-calendar and OSC re sanction vacated per CRC 3.740(f).

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

40	CVL66911	Velocity Investments, LLC vs. Mervin McNally	01/24/2025
		Velocity Investments, LLC	Attorney: Bryant Burnstad
		Mervin McNally	
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740	
01/24/2025	Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 02/24/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

**Collections case:**

Litigation Commenced: 01/24/2025  
Defendant Served: not yet  
# of Hearings (incl this): 3  
Amount in Controversy: \$13,342.97  
OSC Issued on: not yet (should have been issued last hearing)

Set OSC re Tier 2 sanction in 30 days per CRC 3.740(f)

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****February 4, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

41	CV67307	John Watts vs. Tracey Brian Vincent	05/29/2025
		John Watts	Attorney: Glenn Petersen
		John Watts	Attorney: Richard Watts
		Tracey Brian Vincent	
		OSC Hearing - Sanctions	
		Tier 1	
		Case Management Conference	

05/29/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/22/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action between neighbors. When the complaint was served the first time, counsel neglected to include a statement of damages in the service package (reasonably anticipating a defense). When it became evident that defendant would allow the case to proceed without his involvement, counsel had the default set aside in order to serve a statement of damages and proceed accordingly. That service has now been completed, and default once again entered. It would appear that plaintiff will now proceed vis prove-up, warranting a continuance of the CMC.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
42 CVL67121	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. vs. Carolina Massimillo		04/01/2025
	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	Attorney: David Bartley	
	Carolina Massimillo		
	Case Management Conference		
04/01/2025 Complaint	<b>File Tracking</b> 07/09/2025 High Density		

This is a collections case boating an amount in controversy of \$5,513.58. Plaintiff's recent motion to deem RFAs admitted was denied on both procedural and substantive grounds. This continued CMC was scheduled for this date, but neither party has filed a CMC statement. It is time to set trial in this matter unless the parties has resolved their differences.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
43 CVL67298	American Express National Bank vs. Susanne Vyhmeister  American Express National Bank      Attorney: Aaron Baldaro  Susanne Vyhmeister  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		05/27/2025

05/27/2025 Complaint

**File Tracking**  
05/29/2025 High Density

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 05/27/2025  
Defendant Served: 10/15/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$10,859.15  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 06/17/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

See #59-60

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
44 CVL67260	Axiom Acquisition Ventures, LLC vs. Julie Smith  Axiom Acquisition Ventures, LLC Attorney: Spencer Penuela  Julie Smith  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		05/13/2025

05/13/2025 Complaint

**File Tracking**  
05/14/2025 High Density

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 05/13/2025  
Defendant Served: 06/12/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$16,643.69  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 06/03/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
45 CVL67173	Bank of America, N.A. vs. Carla L. Craig		04/16/2025
	Bank of America, N.A.	Attorney: David McGaffey	
	Carla L. Craig		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/16/2025 Complaint	<b>File Tracking</b> 04/28/2025 High Density		

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/16/2025  
Defendant Served: 05/16/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$5,150.46  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 05/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
46 CVL67193	Bank of America, N.A. vs. Reid T. Hillemeyer		04/21/2025
	Bank of America, N.A.	Attorney: Brian Langedyk	
	Reid T. Hillemeyer		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/21/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 04/29/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/21/2025  
Defendant Served: not yet  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$6,572.77  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 2 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 05/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
47 CVL67196	Bank of America, N.A. vs. Christine Marshall		04/23/2025
	Bank of America, N.A.	Attorney: David McGaffey	
	Christine Marshall		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/23/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 04/30/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/23/2025  
Defendant Served: 11/16/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$21,435.68  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 05/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
48 CVL67212	Capital One, N.A. vs. Amy L. Miller		04/25/2025
	Capital One, N.A.	Attorney: Ruonan Wang	
	Amy L. Miller		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/25/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/02/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/25/2025  
Defendant Served: 05/13/2025  
Defendant Answered: 06/12/2025

Set for trial.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
49 CVL67147	Citibank, N.A. vs. Susan McKay		04/08/2025
	Citibank, N.A.	Attorney: Matthew Keim	
	Susan McKay		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/08/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 04/17/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/08/2025  
Defendant Served: 04/25/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$4,170.22  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 04/15/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
50 CVL67167	Citibank, N.A. vs. Valerie A. Guastavino		04/15/2025
	Citibank, N.A.	Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta	
	Valerie A. Guastavino		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/15/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 04/24/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/15/2025  
Defendant Served: not yet  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$6,124.40  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 2 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 05/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
51 CVL67214	Credit Corp Solutions, Inc. vs. Jeannine Ratliff  Credit Corp Solutions, Inc. Attorney: Megan Gramlich  Jeannine Ratliff  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		04/25/2025

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/25/2025  
Defendant Served: not yet  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$1,631.29  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 2 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 05/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**File Tracking**  
05/02/2025 High Density

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**

**Consolidated Calendar**

**Commissioner Steven Streger**

**Department 5**

**February 4, 2026 10:03 am**

**DA Case #**

**Date Filed**

52	CVL67261	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Gayle Medeiros	05/13/2025
		Crown Asset Management, LLC	Attorney: Hasan Misherghi
		Gayle Medeiros	
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740	

05/13/2025 Complaint

**File Tracking**

05/14/2025 High Density

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 05/13/2025  
Defendant Served: not yet  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$1,725.89  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 2 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 06/03/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
53 CVL67313	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Joshua Gisler  Crown Asset Management, LLC assignee of First Bank & Trust (Mercury) Joshua Gisler  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		06/02/2025

06/02/2025 Complaint

File Tracking  
06/02/2025 High Density

Off-calendar. Full dismissal entered 10/07/2025.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
54 CVL67169	Discover Bank vs. Judy M. Overstreet		04/15/2025
	Discover Bank	Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta	
	Judy M. Overstreet		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/15/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 08/04/2025 Archive Room	

Off-calendar. Clerk's judgment by default entered 07/28/2025.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
55 CVL67205	Discover Bank vs. Steve Siville		04/24/2025
	Discover Bank	Attorney: Peter Tran	
	Steve Siville		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/24/2025 Complaint	<b>File Tracking</b> 05/01/2025 High Density		

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/24/2025  
Defendant Served: 05/27/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$8,219.15  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 05/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
56 CVL67299	DNF Associates, LLC. vs. John Mercucio, Jr		05/27/2025
	DNF Associates, LLC.	Attorney: Leon Roundtree	
	John Mercucio		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
05/27/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/29/2025 High Density	

Off-calendar. Clerk's judgment by default entered 11/25/2025.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
57 CVL67304	DNF Associates, LLC. vs. John Mercucio, Jr		05/27/2025
	DNF Associates, LLC.	Attorney: Leon Roundtree	
	John Mercucio		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
05/27/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/29/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 05/27/2025  
Defendant Served: 06/14/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$19,598.59  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 06/17/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
58 CVL67155	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Troy Joaquin  JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Attorney: Peter Tran  Troy Joaquin  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		04/10/2025

Off-calendar. Clerk's judgment by default entered 01/20/2026.

**File Tracking**  
04/17/2025 High Density

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**

**Consolidated Calendar**

**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
59 CVL67178	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Susanne K. Vyhmeister		04/16/2025
	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Attorney: Ruonan Wang	
	Susanne K. Vyhmeister		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/16/2025 Complaint		File Tracking	
		08/06/2025 Archive Room	

Off-calendar. Clerk's judgment by default entered 07/21/2025.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
60 CVL67197	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Susanne K. Vyhmeister		04/23/2025
	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Attorney: Brian Langedyk		
	Susanne K. Vyhmeister		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/23/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/01/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/23/2025  
Defendant Served: 06/05/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$16,282.00  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 05/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
61 CVL67286	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Miriam Sanchez  JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Attorney: Jeremy LaForge  Miriam Sanchez  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		05/19/2025

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 05/19/2025  
Defendant Served: 06/16/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$13,841.46  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 06/03/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**File Tracking**  
05/22/2025 High Density

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
62 CVL67287	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Janet E Bowden		05/19/2025
	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Attorney: Jeremy LaForge	
	Janet E Bowden		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
05/19/2025 Complaint		File Tracking	
		05/22/2025 High Density	

Off-calendar. Clerk's judgment by default entered 09/04/2025.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
63 CVL67305	Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC vs. Kendra A Grider  Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC Kendra A Grider  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		05/27/2025

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 05/27/2025  
Defendant Served: not yet  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$1,128.30  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 2 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 06/17/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**File Tracking**

05/29/2025 High Density

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
64 CVL67150	Synchrony Bank vs. Eric Wistrand		04/09/2025
	Synchrony Bank	Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta	
	Eric Wistrand		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/09/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 04/17/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/09/2025  
Defendant Served: not yet  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$2,417.63  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 2 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 05/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
65 CVL67184	Synchrony Bank vs. Edward C. Hernandez		04/18/2025
	Synchrony Bank	Attorney: Megan Gramlich	
	Edward C. Hernandez		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/18/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 04/28/2025 High Density	

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 04/18/2025  
Defendant Served: not yet  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$6,652.22  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 2 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 05/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
66 CVL67274	Velocity Investments LLC vs. David Cruz		05/14/2025
	Velocity Investments LLC	Attorney: Bryant Burnstad	
	David Cruz		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
05/14/2025 Complaint	<b>File Tracking</b> 05/19/2025 High Density		

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 05/14/2025  
Defendant Served: 06/11/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$13,587.04  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 06/03/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
67 CVL67280	Wells Fargo Bank, NA vs. Thomas E Judge		05/16/2025
	Wells Fargo Bank, NA	Attorney: Tiffany Pack	
	Thomas E Judge		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
05/16/2025 Complaint	<b>File Tracking</b> 05/21/2025 High Density		

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 05/16/2025  
Defendant Served: 06/05/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$25,721.10  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 06/17/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
68 CVL67284	Wells Fargo Bank, NA vs. Rachel Hampton  Wells Fargo Bank, NA Rachel Hampton  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		05/16/2025

05/16/2025 Complaint

**File Tracking**  
05/21/2025 High Density

Collections case:

Litigation Commenced: 05/16/2025  
Defendant Served: 06/13/2025  
# of Hearings (incl this): 1  
Amount in Controversy: \$11,500.39  
OSC Issued on: n/a

Set review hearing with OSC re Tier 1 Sanctions per CRC 3.740(f) for 06/03/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

{Note: Default already entered months ago, but no package yet.)

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>February 4, 2026 3:00 pm</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
69 FL19290	<b>Ariana Nelson and Benjamin David Nelson</b>		11/03/2025
	<b>Ariana Nelson</b>	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	<b>Benjamin David Nelson</b>	Attorney: Holly Lavagnino-Moore	
	<b>Hearing: Other</b>		
	in Chambers Meeting w 2 Minors		
11/03/2025 Petition		<b>File Tracking</b> 11/18/2025 High Density	

Meeting with children to address timeshare, nesting agreement, division of labor (now and then), tardy test parameters, and anything included in vetted lists (so far none submitted).