

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 23, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

1	PR12679	Estate of Arnie Michael Jardine			07/23/2025
---	----------------	--	--	--	------------

Maranda Gertz	Pro Per
----------------------	---------

Arnie Michael Jardine

Lothert Law	Pro Per
--------------------	---------

Review Hearing - Inventory and Appraisal

Inventory and Appraisal

07/23/2025 Petition

File Tracking 07/24/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary.

This is the four-month review hearing to confirm compliance with the obligation set forth in Probate Code §8800 to complete a final Inventory & Appraisal. Due to delays with petitioner's submission of a proposed order and signed Letters, this hearing is actually premature by more than a month. Court intends to continue this hearing to March 6, 2026 at 8:30 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

January 23, 2026 8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

2 PR12715

Estate of Gertrude Rose Fueg

10/09/2025

Mark Olson

Attorney: Jennifer Lothert

Gertrude Rose Fueg

Letters of Administration

10/09/2025 Petition

File Tracking

12/05/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a petition to admit a holographic will to probate, and to issue Letters of Administration to a local professional who has graciously offered to assist this family (which resides mostly overseas). The previous notice issue has been resolved. The request for forego hunting for nieces in France and England based on the fact that their specific gift has already lapsed is reasonable and granted.

A holographic will is considered presumptively valid if: the testator is at least 18 years of age (§6100(a)); the testator was of sound mind when the will was written (§6100(a)); the signature and material provisions of the will are in the testator's handwriting (§6111(a)); the will is dated (§6111(b)); there was present testamentary intent; there is identifiable property to be devised (§6101); there are identifiable devisees (§6102); and the testator was not acting under duress, menace, fraud, or undue influence (§6104). See also *Lintz v. Lintz* (2014) 222 Cal.App.4th 1346, 1355; *Estate of Ben-Ali* (2013) 216 Cal.App.4th 1026, 1036-1038; *Estate of Williams* (2007) 155 Cal.App.4th 197, 212-213; *Estate of Burdette* (2000) 81 Cal.App.4th 938, 946. While some of the eight elements are self-proving from the will itself, without a supporting declaration from anyone, this Court is entirely in the dark as to whether this is decedent's holographic will. The supplement does not provide this Court with any additional information from which to permit at least a basic finding. Surely someone can attest to the decedent's writing/intent?

There is also the issue of a bond. The petition indicates that "all heirs at law" have waived bond, but this is not an intestate estate where the heirs are the only interested parties. When dealing with a testate estate, either the will must affirmatively waive bond (which this one does not expressly do for anyone other than nominated executors) or every devisee must waive bond – and that list (see above) is lengthy. However, before petitioner starts working on more waivers, §8481(b) provides that "notwithstanding the waiver of a bond by a will or by all the beneficiaries, the court may for good cause require that a bond be given," and when a professional fiduciary steps in to serve as personal representative – especially with a testamentary instrument vesting the fiduciary with significant discretion regarding the distribution plan – a bond will be required either way. The petitioner requested at the last hearing a chance to discuss the bond issue, but nothing was filed since the last hearing.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 23, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

3	PR12627	Estate of Michelle Cordero		04/07/2025
---	---------	----------------------------	--	------------

Joseph Batto

Attorney: Rodney
Augustine

Review Hearing

FURTHER trial setting

04/07/2025 Petition

File Tracking

04/14/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link:

<https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMTONwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456].

All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Brother action.

See #4

This action is slated for dismissal

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 23, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

4	PR12629	Estate of Michelle Elam		04/08/2025
----------	----------------	--------------------------------	--	------------

Danielle Tilbury

Attorney: Gary Dambacher

Review Hearing

FURTHER trial setting

04/08/2025 Petition

File Tracking

04/14/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Step-daughter action.
Mediation resolved the differences.
Parties stipulate to this petition being granted.
Home reportedly sold.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 23, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

5	PR12734	Estate of Richard Dean Jackson	
		Richard Dean Jackson	11/26/2025
		Mardi Jackson	Pro Per
		Letters of Administration	

11/26/2025 Petition

File Tracking 12/05/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is the initial hearing on a petition to admit a will to probate and for the appointment of the alternate nominated executor to serve as the personal representative of the estate. The petition cannot be granted just yet for the following reasons:

- There is no proof of publication yet;
- The petition is not filled out accurately (see Para 3.d. and 3.f.)
- There is no proof of service/notice to the sole devisee

Court expects to continue the matter for at least one month.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 23, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

8	PR12687	In the Matter of The Bogan Trust dated October 1, 2004		08/12/2025
----------	----------------	---	--	-------------------

Kristi A. Horwitz

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Petition Hearing

FURTHER for Accounting

08/12/2025 Petition

File Tracking

08/14/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is the continued initial hearing on a petition seeking to compel an accounting and other information from the acting trustee. Service has been made, triggering the trustee's obligation to file a written objection or response thereto. See CRC 7.801. If no response is forthcoming, it is this Court's usual process to install a limited-purpose receiver and reserve surcharges for another day. See CCP §564(b)(9); Probate Code §17206. Although the trustees indicated that they had all "the paperwork" needed to respond to the request, there is nothing in the court file since the last hearing showing compliance or whether judicial intervention is still needed.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	January 23, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

10	PR12114	Conservatorship of Julio C Acosta, Jr	05/11/2022
----	----------------	--	------------

Julio C Acosta	Pro Per
-----------------------	---------

Julio C Acosta	Pro Per
-----------------------	---------

Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850

05/11/2022 Petition

File Tracking 02/11/2025 High Density

This is the annual review of the general conservatorship over the person and estate. Previous VMRC reports have been requested to determine the conservatee's qualifications for a promotion to a limited conservatorship, but the court file does not reflect receipt of any such report. Court will await updated investigator report.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 23, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

11 PR12180	Guardianship of Dominic De la Rosa, et. al.		10/07/2022
------------	--	--	------------

Jessica Hendrickson Pro Per

Dominic De la Rosa

Sophia De la Rosa

Anthony De la Rosa

Jinee Deschler

Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2

10/07/2022 Petition

File Tracking 01/29/2025 From Court-Civil on Calendar

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments for all three wards, concludes by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianship remains necessary and/or convenient for all three wards, and that the guardian continues to meet the wards' best interests. Court intends to set an annual review date, and to start aligning Dominic's with the natural termination of his guardianship in May of 2028.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 23, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

13	PR11794	Guardianship of Leila Rose Klaverweiden	02/21/2020
		Curtis Cashen	
		Carol Cashen	
		Leila Rose Klaverweiden	
		Carol Ann Cashen	Pro Per
		Curtis James Cashen	Pro Per
		Leila Rose Klaverweiden	
		Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2	

11/09/2022 Petition

File Tracking 01/30/2025 High Density

Other Cases
PR11527

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments, concludes by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianship remains necessary and/or convenient, and that the guardians continue to meet the ward's best interests. Court intends to set an annual review date.

If the guardians do appear, Court intends to inquire regarding the ancillary issues raised relating to the ward's sibling Valen and whether a proposed guardianship and/or a CWS referral pursuant to Probate Code §1513(b) is warranted.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 23, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

14 PR11470	Guardianship of Sergio R. De La Rosa		08/11/2017
------------	--------------------------------------	--	------------

Jessica C Robles Pro Per

Sergio R De La Rosa

Ruben De La Rosa

Review Hearing

Home Study

08/15/2017 Petition: Other

File Tracking 01/14/2025 From Court Legal Document 5
--

Other Cases
JV7497

This is an established guardianship in which the guardian has recently permitted a family member with behavioral and criminal red flags to occupy the same residence – representing a potentially unsafe situation for the ward. The issues relating to this individual were not voluntarily disclosed on the GC-251 filed 12/29/2025 and only came to light as part of the court investigator’s home study. That individual has prior (JV7936) and pending (CRF78534) charges relating to inappropriate behavior directed at minors – which augments the risk to an impressionable minor in the home.

When a guardian is appointed, he or she cannot be removed except for cause provided by statute. *Guardianship of Davis* (1967) 253 Cal.App.2d 754, 760. Pursuant to Probate Code §2650, a guardian may be removed for a variety of reasons, including but not limited to failing to perform duties suitably, gross immorality, “having such an interest adverse to the faithful performance of duties that there is an unreasonable risk that the guardian or conservator will fail faithfully to perform duties,” and “in any other case in which the court in its discretion determines that removal is in the best interests of the ward.” Although the guardian here is certainly entitled to an evidentiary hearing, the harboring of a potentially dangerous individual in the residence of the ward is prima facie evidence of both gross immorality and a conflict of interest.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	January 23, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

15	FL15007	Ryan Royce and Erika Royce	08/16/2017
----	---------	-----------------------------------	------------

Ryan Royce

Pro Per

Erika Royce

Attorney: Jessie Castellano

Review Hearing - Visitation

Special Set, due to fathers schedule

05/15/2018 OSC Application

File Tracking

07/18/2025 Archives-File Imaged

Review hearing to see how Father's supervised visits on Thurs evenings have been going and whether the parties can agree to any step-up plan at this time.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 23, 2026	1:30 pm	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

17	FL13717	Theodore G. Baca and Trina M. Baca			08/14/2015
----	---------	------------------------------------	--	--	------------

Theodore G Baca	Pro Per
-----------------	---------

Trina M Baca

Hearing: Other

Restoration of Former Name

08/14/2015 Petition

File Tracking

06/09/2021 Archives

Application filed 10/20/2025

Judgment entered 12/08/2015 (!?)

Petition filed 08/14/2015 – no request made

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 23, 2026	1:30 pm	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

18	FL13935	Eveleen Sheehan-Smith and Kevin Smith		12/04/2015
----	---------	---------------------------------------	--	------------

Evelyn Sheehan Smith	Attorney: Jameson Adame
-----------------------------	-------------------------

Kevin L Smith	Attorney: Sally Chenault
----------------------	--------------------------

Department of Child Support Service	Attorney: Dept. of Child Support Services
--	---

City of Fremont

CALPERS

Court Trial: Short Cause

Day 2

Est. Duration: 4.00 Hours

12/04/2015 Petition

File Tracking 10/14/2022 Family Law Division
--

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWVFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Day 2 if needed ...