

# Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

## Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

January 9, 2026 9:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

1	PR12727	Estate of Bernard L. McDaniel II AKA Bernard L. McDaniel	11/05/2025
		Kathleen McDaniel	Attorney: Richard Marchini
Determine Succ to Real Property			
11/05/2025 Petition		File Tracking	11/14/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlydzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

### Hearing will commence at 9:00 a.m., not 8:30 a.m.

This is the initial hearing on a probate avoidance by-pass petition to determine automatic testate succession to decedent's purported primary residence. Notice to all of the interested persons appears to be satisfied. See §§ 13151(b) and 13153. The stated legal basis for the putative succession (§13152(a)(4)) is via pour-over will to the acting trustee of decedent's inter vivos trust. The Declaration of Trust specifies that the trust res includes the property set forth in Schedule A, and Schedule A does not include the property that is the subject of this petition. However, Article 10.A. allows the trustee to add other property to the trust, and Article 11.D. empowers successor trustees to do the same. Thus, it does appear that the trust could be funded post-mortem. However, there are three concerns with the petition as framed.

First, effective 01/01/2025, §13151(a) was amended to limit the scope of these by-pass petitions to the decedent's primary residence. The property which is the subject of this petition is a 50% interest in commercial property on Washington Street, not the decedent's primary residence in Twain Harte. How does petitioner intend to circumvent the statutory change?

Second, although succession might arguably go through the will to the trustee of the decedent's 2007 trust, the petition does not provide sufficient evidence from which to conclude that decedent owns the 50% stake he claims. Katherine's recordation of a transfer deed does not alone sever the joint tenancy. See §683.2(c)(1) and (2). In addition, since it appears that decedent and Katherine were still married, Katherine may have independent rights to a greater share of decedent's alleged 50%. See Probate Code §§ 104, 21610; *Reich v. Reich* (2024) 105 Cal.App.5th 1282, 1288-1289; *Estate of Wall* (2021) 68 Cal.App.5th 168, 173-175; *Estate of Katleman* (1993) 13 Cal.App.4th 51, 60. There is an absence of competent proof that APN 001-201-004-000 belongs to decedent (§§ 13152(a)(3), 13154(b)(4)) in light of the aforementioned concerns. Katherine is free to disclaim any statutory or joint tenancy interest therein, but that is something she would need to secure independent legal counsel for. At present, she is bound to act in a fiduciary capacity for the benefit of all trust beneficiaries, not just herself. See Probate Code §§ 16002-16004, 16006.

Third, there is no evidence to show that the property is *currently* in decedent's name. Court will assume, if no appearance is made, that a request for continuance was made ... and granted.

# Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

## Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	January 9, 2026 9:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
2 PR12732	Estate of David Merrill Johnson  David Merrill Johnson  Lahna Vonepps  Letters of Administration		11/24/2025

### File Tracking

12/05/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cn1YdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

### Hearing will commence at 9:00 a.m., not 8:30 a.m.

This is the initial hearing on a petition to secure Letters of Administration and probate the intestate estate of recently-deceased resident with no close family ties. The petitioner is the decedent's neighbor and close friend. Direct notice to second-degree family, and publication, have been accomplished.

Although petitioner's statement regarding biological family vs. logical family is quite astute, the law here in California is not as advanced. Probate Code §8461 gives appointment priority to blood relatives of any degree, or even the government (public administrator), over the decedent's best friend and confidante. Even creditors of the decedent rank higher in priority than best friends. That being said, "if persons having priority fail to claim appointment as administrator, the court may appoint any person who claims appointment." § 8468. Although nobody else with priority has (yet) claimed a right to appointment, one does not "fail to claim" until at least a reasonable amount of time has passed after acquiring actual notice that the individual has died. See, e.g., Probate Code §8001 [30 days for executor of will]. Since the cousins in Oregon may have only learned of the passing a month ago, this Court intends to give them time to decide whether they wish to seek appointment given the preference in probate for appointing those with skin in the game (see, e.g., Probate Code §8441(b)).

This Court envisions one continuance for a month, and if no blood relative, creditor or public administrator steps forward to seek appointment, this Court otherwise has no objection to appointing petitioner herein. In the meanwhile, this Court is certainly amenable to giving petitioner special administrative powers to protect decedent's residence/property and to incur on behalf of the estate reasonable costs associated with a professional heir search.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026</b>	<b>9:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
3 PR12726	Estate of Shelley Deanne Soto  Jessica FultonBotfield Shelley Deanne Soto Letters of Administration			11/05/2025

**File Tracking**

11/14/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

**Hearing will commence at 9:00 a.m., not 8:30 a.m.**

This is the initial hearing on a petition to secure Letters of Administration and probate the intestate estate of recently-deceased resident with two adult children.

There is no proof of publication in the court file. See §8124.

The petition indicates that petitioner is a nonresident of California (see Para 8), which requires her to provide a permanent resident statement. See §8573.

While it is of no immediate consequence to this Department, counsel should be aware of the fact that the petition includes the wrong street address for this courthouse. If that incorrect information was carried over by the Union Democrat into the publication, that will need to be corrected and republished (and not charged to the client in the final petition).

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****January 9, 2026 9:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

4	PR12731	In the Matter of Edward Noerdinger	11/17/2025
		Edward Noerdinger	
		Gabrielle Noerdinger	Attorney: Mary McEwen
		Petition Hearing	
		To Determine Succession to Primary Residence	
		Petition Hearing	
		Guardian Ad Litem	
11/17/2025	Petition		<b>File Tracking</b> 11/21/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

**Hearing will commence at 9:00 a.m., not 8:30 a.m.**

This is the initial hearing on a probate avoidance by-pass petition to determine instate succession to decedent's purported primary residence. Notice to all of the interested persons appears to be satisfied. See §§ 13151(b) and 13153. The stated legal basis for the putative succession (§13152(a)(4)) is partial intestacy, partial assignment/disclaimer, and partial secondary intestacy. Before unwinding all the various layers of succession, it is worth noting that the petition lacks an Attachment 11 or any evidence from which to conclude that APN 047-112-013-000 was decedent's primary residence. The I&A describes it as "income producing property" but that is of little assistance.

As for the cerebral succession gymnastics, if all the interested parties execute a stipulation and proposed order, this Court will abide by that group decision so long as there is proof of contemporaneous ownership by decedent and that it was his primary residence.

# Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

## Consolidated Calendar

Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

January 9, 2026 9:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

5	PR12568	In re Thors Family Living Trust dated April 25, 2000	12/24/2024
		Elizabeth Nunes	Attorney: Carrie McKernan
		Petition Hearing for Order Ascertaining Beneficiaries, Etcetera	
11/18/2025	Petition	<b>File Tracking</b> 02/20/2025 High Density	

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnYzdZ6VnBXWVF09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

### **Hearing will commence at 9:00 a.m., not 8:30 a.m.**

This was originally a petition to declare an untethered asset (APN 058-420-014-000) as one belonging to the subject trust (aka Heggstad petition). That petition was unopposed and granted at the first hearing thereon (see Minute Order dtd 01/31/2025).

Ten months later, on 11/18/2025, petitioner filed a new petition seeking a wide array of relief – some of which are technically incompatible with one another. The petition shall be verified. See Probate Code §1021(a)(1), CRC 7.103(b), TCSC Local Rule 5.04.1. The unverified petition was personally served on respondent on 11/20/2025. There is no response filed yet, though it is this Court’s practice not to impose response deadlines under CRC 7.801 for *unverified* petitions. Technically this Court is not supposed to even consider an unverified petition.

Petitioner first seeks an order ascertaining the beneficiaries of the subject trust. The trust expressly identifies Elizabeth, Steven and Andrew as the beneficiaries. According to petitioner, Steven should be deemed to have predeceased Donald due to confirmed financial elder abuse; however, the prayer for relief seeks only “to offset Steven’s distributable share based upon the damages caused” by his transgressions, not a total disinheritance. Clarification is needed.

Petitioner next seeks an order fixing her compensation. Pursuant to CRC 7.776 (which petitioner did not cite), this court is to consider (1) the gross income of the trust estate; (2) the success or failure of the trustee’s administration; (3) any unusual skill, expertise, or experience brought to the trustee’s work; (4) the fidelity or disloyalty shown by the trustee; (5) the amount of risk and responsibility assumed by the trustee; (6) the time spent in the performance of the trustee’s duties; (7) local custom for fees; and (8) whether the work performed was routine, or required more than ordinary skill or judgment. The petition does not provide any of the needed information, and the generic reference to 193.25 hours of time is of no assistance without a true billing statement to show what the work entailed. For example, if Elizabeth is to purchase the home, work on the home is not compensable.

Finally, the issue of Steven’s alleged wrongdoing is obviously the crux of the petition, and the catalyst for significant discovery. Parties to discuss how much time is needed for that.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026</b>	<b>9:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
6 PR12667	In re Sagaser Trust dated March 9, 2005			06/23/2025
	Joseph Warren Sagaser	Attorney: Nicholas Yonano		
	Review Hearing			
	Status Hearing			
06/23/2025 Petition			File Tracking	
			06/24/2025 Gloria Doehring	

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnIYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

**Hearing will commence at 9:00 a.m., not 8:30 a.m.**

This is the continued hearing on a trust petition seeking a formal accounting, an inventory of trust assets, and “instructions” regarding the manner in which assets believed to be held in trust should be distributed (or clawed back if need be).

**NO APPEARANCE IS NECESSARY.**

Good cause is shown for the requested continuance sought jointly by both sides. Although the Court would have preferred that the parties selected their own review date, the request for “60 days” puts a review hearing on Friday the 13<sup>th</sup> of March, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. It is so scheduled.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5		January 9, 2026	9:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
7	PR12656	The Estate of Harry F. Bollinger IV			06/10/2025
		Harry Bollinger		Attorney: Brandon Meyer	
		Review Hearing - Inventory and Appraisal			
		Inventory and Appraisal			
06/10/2025 Petition				File Tracking	
				06/11/2025	High Density

**Hearing will commence at 9:00 a.m., not 8:30 a.m.**

**NO APPEARANCE IS NECESSARY.**

This was to be the §8800 review hearing, but a review of the court file reveals a final I&A already on file. This hearing can go off calendar. The §12200 review hearing is still scheduled for 09/11/2026.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
8 PR8852	<b>Conservatorship of Allison L. Condit</b>  <b>Ross Condit</b> Attorney: Gary Dambacher  <b>Susan Condit</b> Attorney: Gary Dambacher  <b>Allison L. Condit</b>  <b>Polly Levin</b>  <b>Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850</b>		03/23/2001

03/05/2010 Accounting: Other

**File Tracking**  
01/28/2025 High Density

This is a general conservatorship over a person and estate. Although the annual investigative report is not yet complete, this Court anticipates finding by clear and convincing evidence that (1) the conservatee remains unable to provide properly for her personal needs for physical health, food, clothing, or shelter; (2) the conservatee remains substantially unable to manage her finances or resist undue influence; and (3) a general conservatorship is still the least restrictive alternative needed for the conservatee's protection, taking into consideration her abilities and capacities with current and possible supports. Court intends to consider whether biennial reviews are appropriate in this case.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
9 PR11378	Conservatorship of Brooke Winham		10/25/2016
	Lucien Winham	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert	
	Brooke Winham		
	Review Hearing - Investigator Report - PR Code 1850		
10/25/2016	Petition: Other	File Tracking	
10/25/2016	Petition	01/28/2025	High Density

This is a general conservatorship over a person. Although the annual investigative report is not yet complete, this Court anticipates finding by clear and convincing evidence that (1) the conservatee remains unable to provide properly for her personal needs for physical health, food, clothing, or shelter; and (2) a general conservatorship is still the least restrictive alternative needed for the conservatee's protection, taking into consideration her abilities and capacities with current and possible supports. Court intends to consider whether biennial reviews are appropriate in this case.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****January 9, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed****10 PR10632 Guardianship of Barbara T. E. Labrado 08/18/2010****Barbara Posada Pro Per****Robert Posada Pro Per****Barbara Tonia Elizabeth Labrado****Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2****09/16/2015 Petition: Other****File Tracking****09/16/2015 Petition: Other****10/24/2024 High Density****Other Cases  
FL13327**

This is a guardianship involving co-guardians and one minor child. Pursuant to Probate Code §1513.2(a), every year the guardian shall complete and return to the court a status report (GC-251). The court clerk is required to provide a reminder to the guardian, along with a blank GC-251, which did occur herein on 08/01/2025. There is no report on file, which requires an appearance by the guardian. The guardians missed one hearing already, but to date have not complied with no explanation provided to this Court. Pursuant to §1513.2(a), "if the court is unable to obtain this information within 30 days after the date the status report is due, the court shall either order the guardian to make himself or herself available to the investigator for purposes of investigation of the guardianship, or to show cause why the guardian should not be removed." Pursuant to §1513.1(a), "the court may order reimbursement to the court or to the county in the amount of the assessment, unless the court finds that all or any part of the assessment would impose a hardship on the ward or the ward's estate." In other words, investigative costs may be payable by the guardians if compliance is not forthcoming.

The court investigator shall be directed to locate the guardians, complete the forms with the guardians, and bill her time for that to the guardians personally.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****January 9, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed****11 PR11847      Guardianship of Carson James Green      09/14/2020****James Althouse      Pro Per****Lisa Althouse      Pro Per****Carson James Green      Pro Per****Breanna Althouse      Attorney: Jennifer Lothert****Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2****06/20/2025 Termination of Guardianship****File Tracking****01/14/2025 From Court Legal Document 5**

This is a maternal grandparent guardianship in which the bio mother has filed for, and recently secured, visitation rights. Mother currently enjoys long weekends (Thurs @ 5:30 pm → Sun @ 7:00 pm) which obviates the need for further action on her motion for visitation. Mother also has a separate motion pending to terminate the guardianship (filed 06/20/2025), which is currently stayed pending a "test run" on Mother's visitation which is getting close to 50/50.

The parties are present this day simply for the annual guardianship review. The Court is in possession of the GC-251 with attachments and confirms the completeness of the filings. The guardianship will remain in place pending review of the court investigator report regarding termination of the guardianship, and confirmation from the parties whether a trial will be required. There is a review hearing on the termination petition set for 03/06/2026.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
12 PR11692	<b>Guardianship of David Sean Johnson II</b>		06/27/2019
	<b>Jamie Renee Fraser</b>	Pro Per	
	<b>David Sean Johnson II</b>		
	<b>Tuolumne County Social Services</b>		
	<b>Ashley Nichole Schriver</b>		
	<b>Kelly Amber Schriver</b>		
	<b>Review Hearing</b>		
	Terminate operation of law - Minor turns 18 2/14/25		
06/27/2019	Petition: Other	File Tracking	
06/27/2019	Petition	12/11/2024	High Density

No appearance is necessary. This guardianship has terminated by operation of law.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****January 9, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

13	PR11259	Guardianship of Ericka Taylor, et al.	12/08/2015
		Martha Peterson	
		Robert E Peterson	
		Ericka L Taylor	
		Kendra L Taylor	
		Kimberley Coey	Pro Per
		Trina Terradista	
		Kendra L Taylor	
		Appoint Guardian	
		Perm	

**11/07/2025 Petition**

<b>File Tracking</b>
04/24/2024 High Density

Other Cases  
PR12730

This is a de facto petition by a family friend to substitute in as a successor guardian. It was established as a new petition in PR12730, but that was dismissed as procedurally improvident. The court investigator will need to be re-appointed to complete a study, and all relatives within the second degree will need to be contacted and informed. A temporary guardianship can remain in place for the time being.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
14 PR12218	<b>Guardianship of Indica Mia Lynn Murray</b>		12/22/2022
	<b>Brian M Greene</b>	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert	
	<b>Devon M Greene</b>	Attorney: Jennifer Lothert	
	<b>Indica Mia Lynn Murray</b>		
	<b>Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2</b>		
12/22/2022	Petition	<b>File Tracking</b>	
12/22/2022	Petition	01/14/2025	From Court Legal Document 5

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments for the ward, finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianship remains necessary/convenient and that the guardians continue to serve the ward's best interests. Court will set the annual review date.

Clerk's office will provide notice.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**

**Consolidated Calendar**

**Commissioner Steven Streger**

**Department 5**

**January 9, 2026 10:00 am**

**DA Case #**

**Date Filed**

15	PR10490	Guardianship of Jeremiah Jay Swanson	08/13/2009
		Priscilla Kirkland	Pro Per
		Suzanne Michelle Beutler	Pro Per
		Kellie DECEASED Swanson	Pro Per
		Jeremiah Jay Swanson	
		Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2	

08/13/2009 Petition: Other

08/13/2009 Petition

**File Tracking**

01/14/2025 From Court Legal Document 5

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments for the ward, finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianship remains necessary/convenient and that the guardians continue to serve the ward's best interests. Court will set the annual review date.

Clerk's office will provide notice.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
16 PR11337	<b>Guardianship of Jesse J. Bustamante</b>		06/23/2016
	<b>Kathryn Keagy</b>	Pro Per	
	<b>Jesse Lucas Bustamante</b>		
	<b>Jesus Bustamante</b>	Pro Per	
	<b>Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2</b>		
10/20/2022	<b>Termination of Guardianship</b>	<b>File Tracking</b> 10/17/2024 High Density	

This is a guardianship involving one minor child. Pursuant to Probate Code §1513.2(a), every year the guardian shall complete and return to the court a status report (GC-251). The court clerk is required to provide a reminder to the guardian, along with a blank GC-251, which did occur herein on 08/01/2025. There is no report on file, which requires an appearance by the guardian. The guardian missed one hearing already, but to date has not complied with no explanation provided to this Court. Pursuant to §1513.2(a), “if the court is unable to obtain this information within 30 days after the date the status report is due, the court shall either order the guardian to make himself or herself available to the investigator for purposes of investigation of the guardianship, or to show cause why the guardian should not be removed.” Pursuant to §1513.1(a), “the court may order reimbursement to the court or to the county in the amount of the assessment, unless the court finds that all or any part of the assessment would impose a hardship on the ward or the ward's estate.” In other words, investigative costs may be payable by the guardian if compliance is not forthcoming.

The court investigator shall be directed to locate the guardian, complete the forms with the guardian, and bill her time for that to the guardian personally.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****January 9, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

17	PR11484	Guardianship of Joy Jean Ireland	09/18/2017
		Aaron Hupp	Pro Per
		Gina Hupp	Pro Per
		Joy Jean Noel Clay Ireland	
		Bryanna J. Ireland	
		Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2	

09/18/2017 Petition: Other

09/18/2017 Petition

**File Tracking**

01/14/2025 From Court Legal Document 5

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments for the ward, finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianship remains necessary/convenient and that the guardians continue to serve the ward's best interests. Court will set the annual review date.

Clerk's office will provide notice.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
18 PR11620	Guardianship of Luke Huston Poe  Raymond Alan Pryor Linda Pryor Luke Huston Poe  Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2		11/13/2018

11/13/2018 Petition

**File Tracking**

01/14/2025 From Court Legal Document 5

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments for the ward, finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianship remains necessary/convenient and that the guardians continue to serve the ward's best interests. Court will set the annual review date.

Clerk's office will provide notice.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
19 PR12717	<b>Guardianship of Mia Ellyana Faith O'Connor</b>		10/14/2025
	<b>Bonnie Ellen O'Connor</b>	Pro Per	
	<b>Faith O'Connor</b>	Pro Per	
	<b>Mia Ellyana Faith O'Connor</b>	Pro Per	
	<b>Appoint Guardian</b>		
	Long Term		
10/14/2025 Petition		<b>File Tracking</b>	
10/14/2025 Petition		10/23/2025 High Density	

Confirm that temporary guardians have received and reviewed investigative report.

**Concerns:**

- Tardiness
- Lack of awareness in home
- Boundaries
- Occupants
- “Plan B” just in case
- Family Code §3041.5: “In any guardianship proceeding, the court may order any person who is seeking custody of, or visitation with, a child to undergo testing for the illegal use of controlled substances and the use of alcohol if there is a judicial determination based upon a preponderance of evidence that there is the habitual, frequent, or continual illegal use of controlled substances or the habitual or continual abuse of alcohol by the parent, legal custodian, person seeking guardianship, or person seeking visitation in a guardianship.”

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**

**Consolidated Calendar**

**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
20 PR11445	Guardianship of Ricardo Anthony Lee Castillo		05/30/2017
	Lisa Renee Romine	Pro Per	
	Ricardo Anthony Lee Castillo		
	Review Hearing		
	Guardianship Update		
05/30/2017 Petition: Other		File Tracking	
05/30/2017 Petition		10/17/2024 High Density	
<u>Other Cases</u>			
PR9954			

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments for the ward, finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianship remains necessary/convenient and that the guardians continue to serve the ward's best interests. Court will set review date to align with ward's 18<sup>th</sup> birthday in September and termination of guardianship by operation of law.

Clerk's office will provide notice.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**

**Consolidated Calendar**

**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
21 PR11619	Guardianship of Roman Grace Poe  Becky Niven Roman Grace Poe Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2		11/13/2018
11/13/2018 Petition		<b>File Tracking</b> 01/14/2025 From Court Legal Document 5	

No appearance is necessary.

The Court, having received and reviewed the GC-251 with attachments for the ward, finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the guardianship remains necessary/convenient and that the guardians continue to serve the ward's best interests. Court will set the annual review date.

Clerk's office will provide notice.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****January 9, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed****22 PR11470      Guardianship of Sergio R. De La Rosa      08/11/2017****Jessica C Robles      Pro Per****Sergio R De La Rosa****Ruben De La Rosa****Review Hearing - Guardian Rept-Probate Code 1513.2****08/15/2017 Petition: Other****File Tracking****01/14/2025 From Court Legal Document 5**Other Cases

JV7497

Court is awaiting GC-251 from guardians.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Commissioner Steven Streger****Department 5****January 9, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed****23 PR11768      Guardianship of Zoerose Duncan      12/31/2019****Linda M. Duncan      Pro Per****Zoerose Duncan****Justin Duncan      Pro Per****Terminate Guardianship Hearing****FURTHER****12/31/2019 Petition****File Tracking**

02/05/2025 From Court-Probate on Calendar

This is the continued hearing on a petition by the biological father to terminate a guardianship currently in the hands of the paternal grandmother. This guardianship has been in place since 2019, right about the time that the parents were both found to be unfit in their related family case FL14257 (even though Father had “full custody” of the child, he was quite ill, unemployed and eventually unhoused here in California). Grandmother’s first effort to secure a guardianship (PR11456) was unsuccessful.

The court investigator has completed her report on Father’s petition to terminate. All interested parties – guardian and both biological parents – signed a consent to terminate the guardianship as the ward now resides with Father full-time. The only concern for this Court is the presence of another child in Father’s home with a history that is not conducive to a return of the child. Has Father talked to the ward about these issues and put her on notice?

Court may reserve for interview of ward.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026</b>	<b>1:30 pm</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
24 PR12585	In Re O'Rourke, Oliver Chase			01/27/2025
	Oliver Chase O'Rourke	Pro Per		
	People of the State of California	Attorney: District Attorney		
	California Attorney General	Pro Per		
	State of CA, Dept of Justice	Pro Per		
	Pre-Trial Hearing - W&I 8103-Relief from Restriction			
	CONFIDENTIAL-Trial Setting / W&I 8103-Relief from Restriction			
01/27/2025	Initial Filing		File Tracking	
		06/06/2025	High Density	

Pre-trial conference to determine whether petitioner has succeeded in securing medical records as requested at last hearing.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026</b>	<b>1:30 pm</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
25 CV67763	Petition of Shane David Bergman			10/24/2025
	Shane David Bergman	Pro Per		
	OSC Hearing - Name Change			
10/24/2025 Petition			File Tracking	
			11/03/2025	High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Nonconfidential petition to change last name.

No proof of publication.

Voir dire to be postponed.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 9, 2026</b>	<b>1:30 pm</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
26 CV67580	Petition of Jasmine Janae Oliver			08/27/2025

Jasmine Janae Oliver  
Pro Per  
OSC Hearing - Name Change

08/27/2025 Petition

**File Tracking**  
09/19/2025 High Density

Probate Notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom without first securing Court permission using this link: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are presumptively assigned to that department for all purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice thereof, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Nonconfidential petition to change middle name.

No proof of publication.

Voir dire to be postponed.

Second hearing. Dismissal if no compliance.