

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

1	CV68473	Petition of Joseph Becker	05/29/2026
		Joseph Becker	Pro Per
		Sierra Conservation Center	
		Writ of Mandate Hearing	

05/29/2026 **Petition**

File Tracking 06/01/2026 Cubicle 9
--

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a civil writ proceeding seeking redress for a defective computer tablet issued to an inmate in respondent's correctional facility. While seemingly better suited as a writ of habeas corpus, the civil writ cannot proceed today because (1) the clerk's office has indicated an intention to strike the petition for want of first appearance fees or a fee waiver, and (2) there is no proof of service on respondent(s). Assuming no appearance, and no proof regarding satisfaction of the first appearance fee requirement, the petition will be dismissed without prejudice.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

2	CV66368	Andrew Campana vs. Granite Construction, Inc. et al	08/20/2024
	Andrew Campana	Attorney: Tomas Ross	
	Granite Construction, Inc.	Attorney: Ross Lampe	
	Granite Construction, Inc.	Attorney: Justin Muro	
	Granite Construction Supply	Attorney: Ross Lampe	
	Granite Construction Supply	Attorney: Justin Muro	
	Tyler Ryan Creason	Attorney: Annalisa Zulueta	
	Tyler Ryan Creason	Attorney: Mizeille Avina	
	Trial Setting		

08/20/2024 Complaint

File Tracking 08/11/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary. This was to be the trial setting hearing, but in light of the upcoming reassignment of the case to another department, this Court is amenable to a continuance of the trial setting conference to permit the newly-assigned judge to handle actual trial setting. If no party appears, the trial setting conference will be reset for 09/04/2026 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 2. Plaintiff to give notice.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	----------------	---------	-----------	------------

3	CVL68064	Capital One, N.A. vs. Trystin Breaux		02/03/2026
---	----------	--------------------------------------	--	------------

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Matthew Keim

Trystin Breaux

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

02/03/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

03/05/2026 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlyZ6VnBXVWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Clerk’s default judgment already entered. Hearing can go o/c.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

4 CV66070	Stefan Karl Cathrein vs. Cheryl R Johnson-Gonzales		04/05/2024
------------------	---	--	------------

Stefan Karl Cathrein	Attorney: Stacy Tyler
-----------------------------	-----------------------

Cheryl R Johnson-Gonzales	Attorney: J. Murrin
----------------------------------	---------------------

Cheryl R Johnson-Gonzales	Attorney: J. Murrin
----------------------------------	---------------------

Stefan Karl Cathrein	Attorney: Stacy Tyler
-----------------------------	-----------------------

Motion Hearing - Summary Judgment

Reserved By Attorney
filed 5/15/26

05/06/2024 Cross Complaint

File Tracking

07/16/2026 Commissioner Streger

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Per stipulation of the parties, the hearing on the MSJ is off-calendar and reset to 09/18/2026 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

5	CV67159	Fidelity National Title Insurance Company vs. Kevin Manuel Fields et al	04/14/2025
---	----------------	--	------------

Fidelity National Title Insurance Company	Attorney: Christopher Thomas
--	------------------------------

Kevin Manuel Fields

Christy Adiel Fields

Christy Adiel Fields

Fidelity National Title Insurance Company	Attorney: Christopher Thomas
--	------------------------------

Kevin Manuel Fields

Liliana Vass

Segerstrom Real Estate, INC., A California Corporation	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
---	---------------------------

Trial Setting

Vacate Court Trial Dates

06/09/2025 Cross Complaint

File Tracking 08/11/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary. This was to be the trial setting hearing, but in light of the upcoming reassignment of the case to another department, this Court is amenable to a continuance of the trial setting conference to permit the newly-assigned judge to handle actual trial setting. If no party appears, the trial setting conference will be reset for 09/04/2026 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 2. Plaintiff to give notice.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

6	CV66568	Rodrigo Cruz Garcia vs. XL Concrete Masonry, LLC		10/21/2024
----------	----------------	---	--	-------------------

Rodrigo Cruz Garcia	Attorney: Kane Moon
----------------------------	---------------------

XL Concrete Masonry, LLC	Attorney: Alden Parker
---------------------------------	------------------------

Case Management Conference

FURTHER

Motion Hearing - Other

Approval Class Action

10/21/2024 Complaint

File Tracking 04/29/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary. In light of the upcoming reassignment of the case to another department, and the importance of continuity with management of a class action settlement, this Court intends to continue the hearing to be heard by the newly-assigned judge. If no party appears, the trial setting conference will be reset for 09/18/2026 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 2. Plaintiff to give notice.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

7	CV67737	Seana Hood vs. Twin Pines MHP		10/14/2025
----------	----------------	--------------------------------------	--	------------

Seana Hood

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Twin Pines MHP

Motion Hearing - Compel

Arbitration

10/14/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

10/16/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Hearing previously continued to 08/28/2026 in Department 2. This is o/c.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5

August 5, 2026 8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

8 CV65196

Brett C Lockman, DO vs. Sonora Community Hospital

03/23/2023

Brett C Lockman

Attorney: Robert Ottinger

Sonora Community Hospital

Motion Hearing - Attorney Withdrawal

03/23/2023 Complaint

File Tracking

02/03/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is an employment dispute. Before the Court this day is a motion by plaintiff's counsel to withdraw from the case. The motion must be DENIED without prejudice for the following reasons:

Service: All papers in support of the motion must be served on the client and all parties. With regard to service on the client, it must be personally delivered or mailed to the client's "current" address (as confirmed within last 30 days). CRC 3.1362(d)(2) requires the attorney to serve the papers on the client at an address which was actually confirmed to be accurate within the preceding 30 days. If address cannot be confirmed, and counsel can show due diligence, service can be made to the client's last known address and on the clerk of the court. CCP §1011(b) and CRC 3.252. here, counsel merely states that he performed some kind of "background search" to find a good address for the client, even though it appears to be the same address the plaintiff has always had.

Merits: An attorney may withdraw as counsel of record if the client breaches the agreement to pay fees, insists on pursuing invalid claims or an illegal course of conduct, or when other conduct by the client renders it unreasonably difficult for the attorney to do his job, including when there is a breakdown in the attorney-client relationship. Courts have a duty of inquiry regarding the grounds for the motion. Counsel has a corresponding duty to respond and to describe the general nature of the issue, within the confines of any privilege. The degree of detail is on a sliding scale against counsel's candor and trustworthiness. See *Flake v. Neumiller & Beardslee* (2017) 9 Cal.App.5th 223, 230; *Manfredi & Levine v. Superior Court* (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1128, 1134-1136; *Aceves v. Superior Court* (1996) 51 Cal.App.4th 584, 592-593. An otherwise proper motion to withdraw may be denied when it is reasonably foreseeable that the client would suffer prejudice, such as when the unrepresented client would be unable to fairly respond to dispositive motions. See *Mossanen v. Monfared* (2000) 77 Cal.App.4th 1402, 1409. The supporting declaration here references a non-party ("Ms. Cooper") and provides zero substance, suggesting nothing more than a cut/paste.

Warning: On such a motion, the proposed order "must specify all hearing dates scheduled in the action or proceeding." CRC 3.1362(e). The proposed order here recites a hearing date/time of 9:00 a.m. on 06/15/2026, with an appearance via JAMS Zoom – none of which is accurate.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

9	CV66853	Rogel Mercado Mercado Gonzalez vs. El Che Corporation		01/15/2025
----------	----------------	--	--	------------

Rogel Mercado Mercado Gonzalez Attorney: David Bibiyan

El Che Corporation Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Diestel Turkey Ranch Attorney: Nathan Austin

El Che Corporation Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Diestel Turkey Ranch Attorney: Nathan Austin

El Che Corporation Attorney: Yet Not Entered

El Che Corporation Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Diestel Turkey Ranch Attorney: Nathan Austin

Review Hearing

update status

06/02/2026 Cross Complaint

File Tracking 08/11/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary. Per the request of counsel, as set forth in plaintiff's CMC statement, now that all defendants have been served/appeared, the parties are requesting additional time to get discovery underway before proceeding via application for provisional certification of the class and/or settlement approval.

CMC continued to 11/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2. Plaintiff to give notice.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

10	CV67750	Roger Daniel Mercado Gonzalez vs. El Che Corporation, Et Al	10/20/2025
----	---------	---	------------

Roger Daniel Mercado Gonzalez	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
-------------------------------	---------------------------

El Che Corporation, et al.	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
----------------------------	---------------------------

Diestel Turkey Ranch	Attorney: Nathan Austin
----------------------	-------------------------

El Che Corporation	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
--------------------	---------------------------

Diestel Turkey Ranch

El Che Corporation

El Che Corporation

Diestel Turkey Ranch

Review Hearing

06/02/2026 Cross Complaint

File Tracking 11/14/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary. Per the request of counsel, as set forth in plaintiff's CMC statement, now that all defendants have been served/appeared, the parties are requesting additional time to get discovery underway before proceeding via application for provisional certification of the class and/or settlement approval.

CMC continued to 11/06/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2. Plaintiff to give notice.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	----------------	---------	-----------	------------

11	CV68018	Michelle Renee Orth vs. Matt Hawkins		01/22/2026
----	---------	--------------------------------------	--	------------

Michelle Renee Orth	Pro Per
---------------------	---------

Matt Hawkins	Pro Per
--------------	---------

Case Management Conference

Hearing: Other

Judgement Prove-Up

Motion Hearing - Set Aside/Vacate

Default and Default Judgment

01/22/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

03/19/2026 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is an action for defamation. Before the Court this day is defendant’s motion to set aside the entry of default, as well as plaintiff’s application for prove-up for her default judgment.

The proof of service supporting that default shows that defendant was served in or about the Lowe’s Home Improvement store here in Sonora. In his motion to set aside, defendant does not dispute service. Default was entered several months later, and defendant received notice thereof. The only issue here is that social media defamation is a personal injury claim subject to the requirements of a separate statement of damages, and plaintiff failed to have a statement of damages personally served upon defendant as required. See *Backlund v. Stone* (2025) 115 Cal.App.5th 580, 590-592. Thus, while she appears entitled to a default judgment, she is not entitled to any damages. Now that defendant has filed an answer, he is entitled to litigate the amount of damages sought by plaintiff (rather than liability, per se).

Setting aside all the meaningless boilerplate in defendant’s motion, the fact does remain that the law prefers resolution on the merits. The fact that defendant might be ignorant of the legal process is no escape (and seemingly untrue given that he is the former mayor and a lead participant in CV65903 and FL14478). Contrary to defendant’s stated concern, no lawsuit is “a tremendous waste of the courts precious time and resources” until shown otherwise. Defendant will be given leave to articulate an actual basis for setting aside the entry of default; otherwise, this litigation will continue as if liability is admitted and the singular issue is damages.

As an aside, “A kind word can open a closed heart; patient listening can untie accumulated tensions.” - Chen Li, *Cultivating Gratitude*, from the Life Wisdom podcast (2024). Having reviewed the Facebook posts as issue, a proper apology should resolve things.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5

August 5, 2026 8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

12 CVL68484 Petition of Rawhide Investment Co., Inc.

06/03/2026

Rawhide Investment Co., Inc.

Attorney: Michael Germain

Candice Moura

Petition Hearing - Other

for Judicial Declaration of Abandonment of Mobile Home

06/03/2026 Petition

File Tracking

06/04/2026 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.> [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a special proceeding to declare the subject mobilehome abandoned pursuant to Civil Code §798.61. To qualify as an abandoned mobilehome, petitioner must establish by a preponderance of the evidence the following essential elements:

- 1) The home is located in a mobilehome park on a site for which no rent has been paid to the management for the preceding 60 days;
- 2) The home is, or reasonably appears to be, unoccupied and unused;
- 3) The home has been, or reasonably appears to have been, abandoned by the owner, or is otherwise uninhabitable; and
- 4) The home is not permanently affixed to the land upon which it sits.

First, there is a notice issue. Pursuant to Civil Code §798.61(b) and (c), notice of deficiency and the petition itself must be provided via registered or certified mail. One cannot serve a deceased individual via certified mail, no matter how spiritual someone feels they are. To serve an estate, a petition must be filed in probate court to have a personal representative appointed. A review of the court inventory does not reveal any pending estate action for Bernard or Anita. The reference to "c/o Candice" does not mean that Candice is the equitable owner. On a CA registration card from the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), a "c/o" designation means the registration documents and mail are being sent to an intermediary, such as a designated representative, a lienholder/legal owner, an estate executor, or a management/trust entity rather than directly to the physical residence of the registered owner. Although petitioner describes Candice as the successor trustee of a trust, there is no evidence of any trust, or that the mobile home is a trust asset. If Candice appears, she might be able to assist petitioner and in this Court is deciding whether further steps for notice are required.

Second, the merits. The petition states that "the Manufactured Home is unoccupied. A reasonable person would believe the Manufactured Home to be abandoned ... the Manufactured Home appears to have been continuously unoccupied since from and after the date(s) of the posting and mailing of the Notice," These are just conclusions of law, not evidentiary facts permitting this Court to make the required findings.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
13	CV68183	In the Matter of Stephanie Boone & Aldridge Pite Hann, LLP		03/06/2026
		Aldridge, Pite, Hann LLP		
		Hearing: Other		
		Sanctions \$750.00?		
03/06/2026	Sanctions	File Tracking 03/10/2026 High Density		
<u>Other Cases</u>				
CVL66908				

See #37 ...

Sanction paid. Hearing can go o/c.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
14	CV68457	In the Matter of David McGaffey Scott & Associates Hearing: Other Sanctions \$500.00			04/15/2026
04/15/2026		Sanctions		File Tracking	
<u>Other Cases</u> CVL67126					

Related to #27

\$500 still not paid. Another sanction of \$750 was issued.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026	10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
15	CV67988	American Express National Bank vs. Semion Godin			01/12/2026
		American Express National Bank	Attorney: Eric Jun		
		Semion Godin			
		Case Management Conference			
		Further - POS?			
01/12/2026	Complaint	File Tracking 01/28/2026 High Density			

This is a breach of contract case involving a credit account. The amount in controversy is \$38,000 (approx.).

Pursuant to CRC 3.110, plaintiff had 60 days from commencement to file proof of service upon the defendant. This case is nearing the seven month mark with no service on defendant, and no apparent effort to actually track down the defendant. Plaintiff served a notice for the CMC using an address in Sherman Oaks, but no actual service.

OSC re sanctions to issue. CMC continued to 10/02/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

16 CV68184	Pandora Smith Armbruster vs. Armory Sonora LLC		03/06/2026
------------	---	--	------------

Pandora Smith Armbruster	Attorney: Nathaniel Clark
---------------------------------	---------------------------

Armory Sonora LLC	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
--------------------------	---------------------------

Armory Sonora LLC	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
--------------------------	---------------------------

Kingfish Holdings, LLC

Case Management Conference

Further

04/14/2026 Cross Complaint

File Tracking

03/10/2026 High Density

This is a personal injury, premises liability action involving a stumble at the bottom of the stairs at the Armory restaurant. It seems the parties are awaiting an appearance from Kingfish – suggesting that the CMC should be continued to a future date. If the parties elect to forgo attending this CMC, it shall be continued sua sponte to 09/04/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed		
17	CV68382	ASAP Home Offers, LLC vs. Shelby Thomas			05/04/2026		
		ASAP Home Offers, LLC	Attorney: Yet Not Entered				
		Shelby Thomas					
		Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction					
05/04/2026	Complaint	<table><tr><td>File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>05/05/2026 High Density</td></tr></table>				File Tracking	05/05/2026 High Density
File Tracking							
05/05/2026 High Density							

This is a breach of contract action involving a residential purchase agreement. This is the initial CMC. Pursuant to CRC 3.110, plaintiff had 60 days from commencement to file proof of service upon the defendant. This case is nearing the five-month mark with no service on defendant, and no apparent effort to actually track down the defendant. There is also no CMC statement on file, as required by CRC 3.725.

OSC re sanctions to issue. CMC continued to 10/02/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
18	CVL67260	Axiom Acquisition Ventures, LLC vs. Julie Smith		05/13/2025
		Axiom Acquisition Ventures, LLC	Attorney: Spencer Penuela	
		Julie Smith		
		OSC Hearing - Sanctions		
05/13/2025	Complaint		File Tracking 05/14/2025 High Density	

Clerk’s default judgment already entered. Hearing can go o/c.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed		
19	CVL67473	C.A.H.P. Credit Union, a California Corp vs. Jeremy Curry			07/24/2025		
		C.A.H.P. Credit Union, a California Corp	Attorney: Karel Rocha				
		Jeremy Curry	Attorney: Timothy Reed				
		Case Management Conference					
		Further - MSJ? Settled?					
07/24/2025	Complaint	<table><tr><td>File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>07/25/2025 High Density</td></tr></table>				File Tracking	07/25/2025 High Density
File Tracking							
07/25/2025 High Density							

This is a collections case. The CMC will be a non-appearance as the Court intends to continue the CMC to align with the pending hearing on plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, set for hearing on 11/13/2026 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed				
20	CVL67444	Capital One, N.A. vs. Leeanna Conyers			07/15/2025				
		Capital One, N.A.	Attorney: Robert Cox						
		Leeanna Conyers							
		OSC Hearing - Sanctions							
07/15/2025 Complaint									
			<table><tr><td colspan="2">File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>07/21/2025</td><td>High Density</td></tr></table>			File Tracking		07/21/2025	High Density
File Tracking									
07/21/2025	High Density								

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 07/15/2025
- Defendant Served: n/a
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$8,070.79
- OSC Issued on: 04/06/2026
 - Response: None

Impose \$750 sanction.
Set Tier III for 10/02/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	-------------------------	-----------	------------

21	CVL68071	Capital One, N.A. vs. Robert Thurston	02/06/2026
----	----------	---------------------------------------	------------

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Christine
Siduguen

Robert Thurston

Case Management Conference

02/06/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

03/05/2026 High Density

Related to #24-25 ...

Collections.

Answer filed.

Set for bench trial?

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

22 CV67131	Nick Chiaverini et al vs. Tuolumne Utilities District		04/04/2025
------------	---	--	------------

Nick Chiaverini	Attorney: Gary Dambacher
-----------------	--------------------------

Chelsea Chiaverini	Attorney: Gary Dambacher
--------------------	--------------------------

Tuolumne Utilities District	Attorney: Michael Myers
-----------------------------	-------------------------

Case Management Conference

Settlement?

04/04/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

04/07/2025 High Density

This case involves a ruptured water line. Discovery has reportedly been delayed as a result of mediations and an injury to plaintiff's counsel. The parties appear to now be at an impasse, and are requesting additional time before a trial is set. Since the case is being reassigned, the CMC will be continued a few weeks so that the new bench officer can better assess the time needed for discovery juxtaposed against the fast track requirements. CMC continued to 08/21/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

23	CV68225	Marissa Christiansen vs. Tuolumne County Transportation Council	03/18/2026
----	---------	--	------------

Marissa Christiansen	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
-----------------------------	---------------------------

Tuolumne County Transportation Council

Tamera Blankenship

Karen McGettigan

Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction

03/18/2026 Complaint

File Tracking 03/24/2026 High Density

This is a wrongful termination case. It appears that the parties are awaiting an appearance from one of the named co-defendants. Since the case is being reassigned, the CMC will be continued a few weeks so that the new bench officer can better address the service and timing concerns. CMC continued to 08/21/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
24	CVL67876	Citibank, N.A. vs. Robert L Thurston			12/08/2025
		Citibank, N.A.	Attorney: Yet Not Entered		
		Robert L Thurston	Pro Per		
		Case Management Conference			
12/08/2025	Complaint	File Tracking 12/24/2025 High Density			

Related to #21 and 25 ...

Collections.

Answer filed.

Set for bench trial?

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
25	CVL67878	Citibank, N.A. vs. Robert Thurston			12/08/2025
		Citibank, N.A.	Attorney: Yet Not Entered		
		Robert Thurston	Pro Per		
		Case Management Conference			
		Further			
12/08/2025	Complaint	File Tracking 12/24/2025 High Density			

Related to #21 and 24 ...

Collections.

Answer filed.

Set for bench trial?

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed			
26	CVL66750	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Charles McCartneybarnes			12/09/2024			
		Crown Asset Management, LLC	Attorney: Flint Zide					
		Charles McCartneybarnes						
		OSC Hearing - Sanctions						
		Tier II						
12/09/2024	Complaint							
		File Tracking 07/10/2025 High Density						

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 12/09/2024
- Defendant Served: 01/09/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 4
- Amount in Controversy: \$1,564.62
- OSC Issued on: 05/08/2026
 - Response: None
- 06/16/2026
 - Response: None

Impose an additional \$750 sanction.
Set Tier III for 10/02/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026	10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
27	CVL67126	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Grace Harrell			04/01/2025
		Crown Asset Management, LLC	Attorney: David McGaffey		
		Grace Harrell			
		OSC Hearing - Sanctions			
		Tier III			
04/01/2025	Complaint	File Tracking 04/17/2025 High Density			
<u>Other Cases</u>					
CV68457					

See # 14 ...

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 04/01/2025
- Defendant Served: 04/25/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 4
- Amount in Controversy: \$14,487.55
- OSC Issued on: 01/27/2026
 - Response: None
04/23/2026
 - Response: None
06/16/2026
 - Response: None

Impose an additional \$750 sanction.
Set Tier III for 10/02/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
28	CV67253	Pamela Cutting vs. QCSI Six LLC			05/13/2025
		Pamela Cutting	Attorney: Rachel Karchemsky		
		QCSI Six LLC	Attorney: Scott Grant		
		QCSI Six LLC	Attorney: Scott Grant		
		Pamela Cutting	Attorney: Rachel Karchemsky		
		Case Management Conference			
		Further			
07/11/2025	Cross Complaint			File Tracking 05/14/2025 High Density	

This is a premises liability case involving a trip in the parking lot of the old Rite Aid store. Rite Aid is apparently in bankruptcy, so the case can proceed as against the property owner.

Full CMC

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
 - Plaintiff
 - Defendant
- Time estimate?
 - Plaintiff
 - Defendant
- Trial: _____ (Weds @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)
- Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed				
29	CV68269	Phillip Fisk vs. Joel Edward Bickerstaff			04/01/2026				
		Phillip Fisk	Attorney: Yet Not Entered						
		Joel Edward Bickerstaff							
		Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction							
04/01/2026	Complaint	<table><tr><td colspan="2">File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>04/01/2026</td><td>LP Labels Needed</td></tr></table>				File Tracking		04/01/2026	LP Labels Needed
File Tracking									
04/01/2026	LP Labels Needed								

This is a motor vehicle accident. This is the initial CMC. Pursuant to CRC 3.110, plaintiff had 60 days from commencement to file proof of service upon the defendant. This case is nearing the five-month mark with no service on defendant, and no apparent effort to actually track down the defendant. There is also no CMC statement on file, as required by CRC 3.725.

OSC re sanctions to issue. CMC continued to 10/02/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	-------------------------	-----------	------------

30	CV68517	Robert Glynn vs. Western Refining Retail, LLC et al	06/11/2026
----	---------	---	------------

Robert Glynn

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Western Refining Retail, LLC, a
Delaware Limited Liability
Company

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Alvin Mainville

Case Management Conference

CMC set 45 days per CSSS

06/11/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

06/17/2026 High Density

Transfer IN from Los Angeles.

This is an employment discrimination case. An initial CMC has already been conducted out of county.

Full CMC

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
 - Plaintiff
 - Defendant
- Time estimate?
 - Plaintiff
 - Defendant
- Trial: _____ (Weds @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)
- Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
31	CVL68006	JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. vs. James McIntyre			01/26/2026
		JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.	Attorney: Anthony DiPiero		
		James McIntyre	Attorney: Alexis Pearson		
		Case Management Conference - Collections Case CRC 3.740			
01/26/2026	Complaint	File Tracking 02/06/2026 High Density			

Collections.

Answer filed.

Bench trial.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed				
32	CVL66995	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Elizabeth Bohland			02/25/2025				
		JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Attorney: Brian Langedyk						
		Elizabeth Bohland							
		OSC Hearing - Sanctions							
		Default Judgment?	<table><tr><td colspan="2">File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>03/17/2025</td><td>High Density</td></tr></table>			File Tracking		03/17/2025	High Density
File Tracking									
03/17/2025	High Density								
02/25/2025	Complaint								

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 02/25/2025
- Defendant Served: 11/16/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 5
- Amount in Controversy: \$4,283.53
- OSC Issued on: 12/04/2025
 - Response: None
03/18/2026
 - Response: None
06/03/2026
 - Response: None

Impose an additional \$750 sanction.
Set Tier IV for 10/02/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

33	CVL67001	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Marianne Horvath	02/18/2025
----	----------	--	------------

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Attorney: Linda Doan
---------------------------	----------------------

Marianne Horvath	Attorney: Stanley Apps
------------------	------------------------

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

Dismissal?

02/18/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 03/17/2025 High Density

Dismissal on file. Hearing can go o/c. OSC vacated.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
34	CVL67286	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Miriam Sanchez			05/19/2025
		JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Attorney: Jeremy LaForge		
		Miriam Sanchez			
		Review Hearing			
		Default Filed?			
05/19/2025	Complaint				
			File Tracking		
			05/22/2025 High Density		

Judgment on file. Hearing can go o/c.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026	10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed		
35	CVL67423	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Michael Bradley, Jr			07/11/2025		
		JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Attorney: Jeremy LaForge				
		Michael Bradley					
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740					
		FURTHER					
07/11/2025	Complaint	<table><tr><td>File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>07/14/2025 High Density</td></tr></table>				File Tracking	07/14/2025 High Density
File Tracking							
07/14/2025 High Density							

This is a collections case. According to plaintiff, the case settled long ago, but they forgot to inform this Court via Notice of Settlement, resulting in an unnecessary hearing and the issuance of an OSC re Sanctions. Defendant signed the agreement on 12/30/2025. Pursuant to CRC 3.1385(a), “if an entire case is settled or otherwise disposed of, each plaintiff or other party seeking affirmative relief must immediately file written notice of the settlement or other disposition with the court.” Although the Rule of Court does not define the term “immediately” as used therein, the following sentence requires oral notice if there is a hearing within 10 days – suggesting that “immediately” was intended by the Judicial Council to be right away. For reasons only plaintiff might know, this settlement sat in secret for eight months – and in fact there is still no Notice of Settlement filed. The only thing filed is a request to “vacate the OSC,” which this Court declines to do. However, there is in fact no effective OSC on calendar, only the threat of one from a visiting bench officer. This Court will of course issue the OSC and set a date for sanctions unless the matter is dismissed with a §664.6 forthwith.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
36	CVL68279	Midland Credit Management, Inc vs. Peter McSweeney			04/03/2026
		Midland Credit Management, Inc Attorney: Kristen Jimenez			
		Peter McSweeney			
		Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction			
04/03/2026 Complaint		File Tracking 04/07/2026 High Density			

Collections.

Answer filed.

Set bench trial.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5

August 5, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

37 CVL66908 OPORTUN, INC. vs. Alejandro Ramirez Meza

01/22/2025

OPORTUN, INC.

Attorney: Stephanie Boone

Alejandro Ramirez Meza

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

Waive sanctions?

01/22/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/12/2025 High Density

Other Cases

CV68183

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 01/22/2025
- Defendant Served: 09/02/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 6
- Amount in Controversy: \$7,615.58
- OSC Issued on: 08/11/2025
 - Response: None
11/19/2025
 - Response: None
03/06/2026
 - Response: None
03/27/2026
 - Response: "I didn't know"

Impose an additional \$750 sanction.

Set Tier IV for 10/02/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed				
38	CV68238	PennyMac Loan Services, LLC vs. Laura Erlenheim			03/23/2026				
		PennyMac Loan Services, LLC	Attorney: Yet Not Entered						
		Laura Erlenheim							
		Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction							
03/23/2026	Complaint	<table><tr><td colspan="2">File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>03/24/2026</td><td>High Density</td></tr></table>				File Tracking		03/24/2026	High Density
File Tracking									
03/24/2026	High Density								

This is a declaratory relief action to reform a scrivener's error in a recording grant deed. Defendants were recently served, so it seems that the CMC will likely need to be continued. Does plaintiff anticipate any resistance from the named defendants?

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5

August 5, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

39 CVL67553 State Farm Mutual Auto Ins Co vs. Matthew Aaron Spiller

08/15/2025

State Farm Mutual Automobile
Insurance Company
Matthew Aaron Spiller

Attorney: Richard Mahfouz

OSC Hearing - Dismiss

08/15/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/20/2025 High Density

This is a subrogation action which has settled. Forty-five days have already passed since the date set in the CM-200, obligating this Court to dismiss the action forthwith unless good cause is shown. CRC 3.1385.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

40 CV67581	Sun West Mortgage Company vs. Sandra Price		08/27/2025
------------	--	--	------------

Sun West Mortgage Company Attorney: David Chaffin

Sandra Price

The Secretary of Housing and
Urban Development

Patricia Phillips

Case Management Conference

08/27/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 08/28/2025 High Density

This is a judicial foreclosure action. Pursuant to CRC 3.110, plaintiff had 60 days from commencement to file proof of service upon each defendant. This case is nearing the twelve-month mark with only recent service on one of the individual defendants, and no service at all on the other. According to the CMC statement on file, plaintiff is trying to find the presumptive legal owner of the property (Ms. Phillips) with no leads. There is no declaration of due diligence on file, suggesting that no actual effort has been made.

OSC re sanctions to issue. CMC continued to 10/02/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5

August 5, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

41 CVL67450 Synchrony Bank vs. Paula Burklin

07/16/2025

Synchrony Bank

Attorney: Megan Gramlich

Paula Burklin

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

07/16/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/21/2025 High Density

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 07/16/2025
- Defendant Served: n/a
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$4,690.86
- OSC Issued on: 04/06/2026
 - Response: None

Impose \$750 sanction.

Set Tier III for 10/02/2026 at 10:00 a.m. in Department 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:00 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
42	CV66073	Mark Williams vs. Harman Management Corporation			04/09/2024
		Mark Williams	Attorney: Kane Moon		
		Harman Management Corporation, a California corporation	Attorney: Mark Posard		
		Avila 194, Inc.	Attorney: Mark Posard		
		Status Conference			
		Further			
04/09/2024	Complaint	File Tracking 07/09/2025 High Density			

This is a wage/hour PAGA dispute among non-exempt managerial employees responsible for high-level operations within the KFC franchise development department. The PAGA settlement was already approved. This is a review hearing set at the behest of the parties to keep track of the progress.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

43	CVL68057	Barclays Bank Delaware vs. Kirstyn Richardson	02/03/2026
----	----------	---	------------

Barclays Bank Delaware	Attorney: Peter Tran
------------------------	----------------------

Kirstyn Richardson

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

02/03/2026 Complaint

File Tracking 03/05/2026 High Density

Clerk's judgment already on file. Hearing can go o/c.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

44	CVL68081	Calvalry SPV I, LLC vs. Robin Chesnut		02/06/2026
----	----------	---------------------------------------	--	------------

Calvalry SPV I, LLC as an assignee Attorney: Michael Mallonga
of Citibank, N.A.

Robin Chesnut

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

02/06/2026 Complaint

File Tracking 03/05/2026 High Density

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
45	CVL68051	Capital One, N.A. vs. Emilio Rodriguez			02/02/2026
		Capital One, N.A.	Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta		
		Emilio Rodriguez			
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740			
02/02/2026	Complaint	File Tracking 03/05/2026 High Density			

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
46	CVL68052	Capital One, N.A. vs. Nancy Leontie			02/02/2026
		Capital One, N.A.	Attorney: Peter Tran		
		Nancy Leontie			
		Review Hearing			
02/02/2026 Complaint		File Tracking 03/05/2026 High Density			

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
47	CVL68055	Capital One, N.A. vs. Brent Isley			02/03/2026
		Capital One, N.A.	Attorney: Donald Sherrill		
		Brent Isley			
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740			
02/03/2026	Complaint	File Tracking 03/05/2026 High Density			

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

48	CVL68058	Capital One, N.A. vs. Lesley Price	02/03/2026
----	----------	------------------------------------	------------

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Lesley Price

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

02/03/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

03/18/2026 High Density

Clerk's judgment already on file. Hearing can go o/c.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	-------------------------	-----------	------------

49	CVL68072	Capital One, N.A. vs. Melinda Pauley	02/06/2026
----	----------	--------------------------------------	------------

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Harvey Moore

Melinda Pauley

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

02/06/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

03/05/2026 High Density

Clerk's judgment already on file. Hearing can go o/c.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed				
50	CVL68074	Capital One, N.A. vs. Rob Hoerntlein			02/06/2026				
		Capital One, N.A.	Attorney: Douglas Wallace						
		Rob Hoerntlein							
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740							
02/06/2026	Complaint	<table><tr><td colspan="2">File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>03/05/2026</td><td>High Density</td></tr></table>				File Tracking		03/05/2026	High Density
File Tracking									
03/05/2026	High Density								

Clerk's judgment already on file. Hearing can go o/c.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
51	CVL68080	Capital One, N.A. vs. Theodore D Souza			02/06/2026
		Capital One, N.A.	Attorney: Zhen Ren		
		Theodore D Souza			
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740			
02/06/2026	Complaint	File Tracking 03/05/2026 High Density			

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
52	CVL68085	Capital One, N.A. vs. Kienan C Hampton			02/09/2026
		Capital One, N.A.	Attorney: Donald Sherrill		
		Kienan C Hampton			
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740			
02/09/2026	Complaint	File Tracking 03/09/2026 High Density			

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026	10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	-----------------	------------------	-------------------

53	CVL68053	Citibank, N.A. vs. Emily Atencio		02/02/2026
----	----------	----------------------------------	--	------------

Citibank, N.A.

Attorney: Christine
Siduguen

Emily Atencio

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

02/02/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

03/05/2026 High Density

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
54	CVL68069	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Clint Rown			02/06/2026
		Crown Asset Management, LLC	Attorney: Yet Not Entered		
		Clint Rown			
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740			
02/06/2026	Complaint	File Tracking 03/05/2026 High Density			

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

55	CVL68070	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Mayson Boyd	02/06/2026
----	----------	---	------------

Crown Asset Management, LLC Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Mayson Boyd

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

02/06/2026 Complaint

File Tracking 03/05/2026 High Density
--

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed
56	CV66445	Ashley Ramos vs. Sonora Community Hospital et al			09/16/2024
	Ashley Ramos	Attorney: Amanda Heitlinger			
	Sonora Community Hospital: Adventist Health System/West and DOES 1-10				
	Sonora Community Hospital	Attorney: Nicole Bolson Baarts			
	Adventist Health System/West	Attorney: Nicole Bolson Baarts			
	Review Hearing				
	Dismissal?				
09/16/2024 Complaint		File Tracking			
		02/11/2025 High Density			

Dismissal on file. Hearing can go o/c.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

57	CVL68087	U.S. Bank NA vs. Patrick L Kearney	02/09/2026
----	----------	------------------------------------	------------

U.S. Bank National Association d/b/a Elan Financial Services Patrick L Kearney	Attorney: Douglas Wallace
---	---------------------------

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

02/09/2026 Complaint

File Tracking	
03/09/2026	High Density

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	August 5, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
--------------	-------------------------	-----------	------------

58	CVL68065	United Auto Credit Corporation vs. Zane Ellis	02/04/2026
----	----------	---	------------

United Auto Credit Corporation	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
--------------------------------	---------------------------

Zane Ellis

Review Hearing

02/04/2026 Complaint

File Tracking 03/05/2026 High Density

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5		August 5, 2026 10:03 am		DA Case #	Date Filed			
59	CVL68066	Valley First Credit Union vs. Karen Teresa Sullivan et al			02/04/2026			
		Valley First Credit Union	Attorney: Alana Anaya					
		Karen Teresa Sullivan						
		Colin Lee Alubowicz						
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740						
02/04/2026 Complaint		<table><tr><td colspan="2">File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>03/05/2026</td><td>High Density</td></tr></table>				File Tracking		03/05/2026
File Tracking								
03/05/2026	High Density							

Review hearing 02/19/2027 @ 10 am in Dept 2. Confirm at that time whether bankruptcy stay has been lifted.