

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

1	CVL67718	American Express National Bank vs. Richard Hicks		10/06/2025
---	-----------------	---	--	------------

American Express National Bank Attorney: Janet Brown

Richard Hicks Attorney: Garrett Charity

Motion Hearing - Other

to be relieved as counsel

10/06/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

10/07/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a collections case set for bench trial on September 9, 2026. Before the Court this day is a motion by defense counsel to withdraw from representation. While the skeletal declaration provides the barest legal basis for permitting withdrawal this close to trial, the evidence of notice to the client is insufficient. There is a POS which appears to be missing page 2+. The only evidence attached is a report from Monaghan Attorney Services indicating “attempts” to serve the client on three occasions – no actual success in doing so. In fact, it is not clear if service was “attempted” by mail, by facsimile, or in some other fashion. The declaration says service via mail with proof of return receipt, but there is no green card with the filing showing the receipt received. The motion cannot be granted on such a skeletal showing with no reliable proof of notice to the client.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

2	CV66070	Stefan Karl Cathrein vs. Cheryl R Johnson-Gonzales		04/05/2024
----------	----------------	---	--	-------------------

Stefan Karl Cathrein	Attorney: Stacy Tyler
-----------------------------	-----------------------

Cheryl R Johnson-Gonzales	Attorney: J. Murrin
----------------------------------	---------------------

Cheryl R Johnson-Gonzales	Attorney: J. Murrin
----------------------------------	---------------------

Stefan Karl Cathrein	Attorney: Stacy Tyler
-----------------------------	-----------------------

Motion Hearing - Strike

Reserved by Attorney Murrin

05/06/2024 Cross Complaint

File Tracking

08/28/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: [https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.\[Passcode: 123456\]](https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.[Passcode: 123456]). All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary. This was to be the hearing on a motion to strike cross-defendant's answer to the Third Amended Cross-Complaint, but that motion to strike was rendered moot with the filing of an Amended Answer to the Third Amended Cross-Complaint. On a related note, this Court has elected to finally release its written ruling regarding the three under-submission motions that the parties were unable to resolve on their own, concluding that no "cooling off" period between the lawyers will ever suffice to stop the madness that lies at the heart of this overly-litigated quasi Moore/Marsden dispute over a joint venture to develop a parcel of land with real income potential. The parties are currently scheduled for oral argument on 07/15/2026 at 8:30 a.m., and that date shall remain on calendar.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

4	CV66440	Fawn B Foster Harbour vs. FCA US, LLC		09/12/2024
---	---------	---------------------------------------	--	------------

Fawn B Foster Harbour

Attorney: Tionna Dolin

FCA US, LLC

Attorney: Elizabeth McNulty

FCA US, LLC

Attorney: Christopher
Waldon

FCA US, LLC

Attorney: Christopher
Brown

Motion Hearing - Summary Judgment

Trial Setting

Further

09/12/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

07/09/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: [https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.\[Passcode: 123456\]](https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.[Passcode: 123456]). All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Parties to confirm no amended opposition or amended reply following this Court's previous grant of additional time under §437c(h). Assuming the claimed need for additional discovery in order to proffer viable opposition was a pretext, does plaintiff wish to concede all or at least parts of the pending motion?

Court will hear argument constrained to the original briefing, take the matter under submission, and issue a written decision in due course.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

5	CV68450	J.G. Wentworth Originations, LLC vs. Cherie Mentzer-Dinneen		05/27/2026
---	---------	--	--	------------

J.G. Wentworth Originations, LLC Attorney: James Felton

Cherie Mentzer-Dinneen

Motion Hearing - Ex Parte

RESERVED by Plaintiff's Counsel - to Advance Hearing on Pet'n for Approval of Transfer of
Reserved by Counsel for plaintiff (matt); 818-644-6023 (TF)

05/27/2026 Petition

File Tracking

05/29/2026 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: [https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.\[Passcode: 123456\]](https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.[Passcode: 123456]). All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a petition to approve a structured settlement. Before the Court is an ex parte application to advance the hearing date from September 2 to something sooner.

Petitioner is married (see FL9793) and spouse has not signed.

Quotient is acceptable though underlying settlement agreement is not attached.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

7	CV65497	Christi Kolberg vs. Estate of James Walsh et al		08/11/2023
----------	----------------	--	--	-------------------

Christi Kolberg	Pro Per
------------------------	---------

Estate of James Walsh

Caroline Walsh Snook	Attorney: Timothy Trujillo
-----------------------------	----------------------------

Motion Hearing - Other

Terminating Sanctions Against Plaintiff

08/11/2023 Complaint

File Tracking

07/28/2025 Dept. 1 Calendar/Clerk

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

No appearance is necessary.

Having considered the pending motion for termination sanctions, and the absence of opposition due potentially in part to the failure to serve defendant at the physical address she provided in open court at the recent hearing (and not the "general delivery" address that plaintiff has been using), this Court orders plaintiff's counsel to effectuate personal service or Overnight Delivery of all papers supporting both the MSJ and the termination sanction motions. The hearing on the motion for termination sanctions shall be continued to be heard alongside the MSJ on August 19. Defendant shall be entitled to file an opposition to the motion for terminating sanctions pursuant to Code based on the new hearing date.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5 **July 1, 2026** **8:30 am** **DA Case #** **Date Filed**

10 **CV67250** **Kelli Slater vs. Doug Benton et. al.** 05/12/2025

Kelli Slater Attorney: Harout Keosian

Doug Benton

Frederick Wentworth

Judy Wentworth

Alternative Sierra Investments LLC

Ronald L Polhemus

Clyta L Polhemus

Estate of Kenneth B Kessel

Mary E Kessel

Estate of Sally Hoenes DBA

Heritage Dry Cleaners

Estate of Julie Ogg DBA Heritage

Dry Cleaners

DBA Heritage Dry Cleaners

James E Larng

Case Management Conference

FURTHER to be heard with CV67601

05/12/2025 **Complaint**

File Tracking 05/12/2025 High Density
--

Other Cases
CV67601

See #8.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

11 CVL67121 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. vs. Carolina Massimillo 04/01/2025

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. Attorney: David Bartley

Carolina Massimillo

Motion Hearing - Other

Deeming the Truth

04/01/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/09/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: [https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.\[Passcode: 123456\]](https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.[Passcode: 123456]). All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a collections case. Before the court this day is an unopposed motion by plaintiff to have previously-served RFAs deemed admitted.

The primary purpose behind requests for admissions is to eliminate the need for proof and to set at rest triable issues so that they will not have to be tried. *Stull v. Sparrow* (2001) 92 Cal.App.4th 860, 865. Requests for admissions may be directed to any matter that is in controversy between the parties: facts, opinions or legal conclusions. See CCP §2033.010; *Miller v. American Greetings Corp.* (2008) 161 Cal.App.4th 1055, 1066. As noted by one Court of Appeal, “the law governing the consequences for failing to respond to requests for admission may be the most unforgiving in civil procedure.” *Demyer v. Costa Mesa Mobile Home Estates* (1995) 36 Cal.App.4th 393, 394-39. That is mostly true, save perhaps for one, often-overlooked, safe harbor therein, to wit: CCP §2033.280(c). Pursuant thereto, a substantially-compliant response to the RFAs made at any time “before the hearing on the motion” will moot the motion almost entirely (sanctions would still recoverable, but plaintiff did not seek those here). See *St. Mary v. Superior Court* (2014) 223 Cal.App.4th 762, 778; in accord, *Katayama v. Continental Investment Group* (2024) 105 Cal.App.5th 898, 908. Thus, before the motion can be granted, plaintiff must confirm that substantially-complaint responses have not been received prior to this day.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

13 CVL67024	Bank of America, N.A. vs. Robert Thomas McGee		03/04/2025
-------------	--	--	------------

Bank of America, N.A.	Attorney: Robert Kennard
------------------------------	--------------------------

Robert Thomas McGee

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

Settlement Filed?

03/04/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/17/2025 High Density

This is a garden-variety collections case. According to the plaintiff, a settlement has been reached but defendant has steadfastly refused to actually sign a settlement agreement. It is for this reason that plaintiff requests repeated continuances of the CMC/OSC. What stifles this Court is the fact that plaintiff does not need a signed settlement agreement to file a Notice of Conditional Settlement and – at the very least – take this case out of the Court’s fast track calculations. See CRC 3.1385(c)(4). Plaintiff’s failure to do so exposes the client to sanctions under CRC 2.30, which is separate from the sanctions under CRC 3.740 for failing to bring a collections case to closure within 360 days.

On 06/02/2026, an OSC re Sanctions was served on counsel – and to date there is no response to the OSC. It is not clear to this Court what is happening, but given the awkward silence it seems that an actual sanction (this being the 3rd OSC served) is due.

Plaintiff’s counsel is sanctioned \$750, payable forthwith. A review hearing will be set for 09/16/2026 @ 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

14 CVL67193

Bank of America, N.A. vs. Reid T. Hillemeier

04/21/2025

Bank of America, N.A.

Attorney: Brian Langedyk

Reid T. Hillemeier

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

Tier III - Paid \$750 in CV68454

04/21/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

04/29/2025 High Density

Other Cases
CV68454

Case dismissed 06/15/2026.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

15 CV68129	Zang Chang vs. Brenda White et al		02/20/2026
------------	-----------------------------------	--	------------

Zang Chang	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
------------	---------------------------

Brenda White

Robert White

Candyce White

Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction

02/20/2026 Complaint

File Tracking 03/10/2026 High Density

This is a personal injury action stemming from an unfortunate meeting between plaintiff's vehicle and defendant's roaming steer. Plaintiff indicates some difficulty serving the defendant (presumably the human owner), but the case has been active for well past the allotted 60 days (CRC 3.110). There is no declaration of due diligence on file, so it is unclear what effort has been made to serve.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

16	CV67742	Creditors Adjustment Bureau, Inc. vs. Jeremiah Christopher Milam et al	10/14/2025
----	---------	--	------------

Creditors Adjustment Bureau, Inc. Attorney: Kenneth Freed

Jeremiah Christopher Milam

Milam Construction, Inc.

Review Hearing

Default Set Aside /Answer Filed?

10/14/2025 **Complaint**

File Tracking 11/14/2025 High Density

This is a breach of contract action. The defendant, who is self-represented, has failed to file an answer or a motion to set aside the default, and yet has appeared at several hearings expressing a desire “to settle” the case. Plaintiff, on the other hand, has failed to timely appear at any of the hearings when defendant is present, like two ships passing in the night. This Court has not entered the default judgment yet, even though it appears to be procedurally proper, because the defendant has come to come on time making what amounts to general appearances. However, plaintiff is correctly entitled to have its default judgment if no settlement talks will ensue.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

17 CVL67340 Crown Asset Management vs. Derek Craig

06/12/2025

Crown Asset Management, LLC
assignee of Blue Ridge Bank
(Upgrade Inc.)

Attorney: Lucas Conley

Derek Craig

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

3.740 Tier 1

06/12/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

06/12/2025 High Density

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 06/12/2025
- Defendant Served: no
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$4,754.28
- OSC Issued on: 01/27/2026
 - Response: None

Impose Tier II sanction (\$750) and set next OSC for 09/16/2026 @ 10 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

18 CVL67205 Discover Bank vs. Steve Siville

04/24/2025

Discover Bank

Attorney: Peter Tran

Steve Siville

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

\$500.00 Paid? Tier II

04/24/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

05/01/2025 High Density

Judgment entered 04/30/2026.

OSC to be vacated.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

19 CV68126	Carmen Galvan et al vs. Harold Renfro		02/20/2026
------------	---------------------------------------	--	------------

Carmen Galvan	Attorney: Scott Ward
---------------	----------------------

Bonifacio Galvan	Attorney: Scott Ward
------------------	----------------------

Harold Renfro

Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction

02/20/2026 Complaint

File Tracking 03/10/2026 High Density

This is a personal injury action stemming from an automobile accident. Plaintiff indicates some difficulty serving the defendant as he passed away, but the case has been active for well past the allotted 60 days (CRC 3.110). There is no declaration of due diligence on file, so it is unclear what effort has been made to identify a personal representative or successor in interest.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

22	CVL66775	LVNV Funding LLC vs. Arron Ochs		12/13/2024
----	----------	---------------------------------	--	------------

LVNV Funding LLC

Attorney: David McGaffey

Arron Ochs

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

Sanctions re: Default /Judgment or Dismissal

12/13/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

08/08/2025 High Density

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 12/13/2024
- Defendant Served: 01/14/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 5
- Amount in Controversy: \$1,634.31
- OSC Issued on: 01/14/2026; 04/06/2026
 - Response: None

Impose Tier II sanction (\$750) and set next OSC for 09/16/2026 @ 10 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

23 CVL67981 Midland Credit Management, Inc. vs. Debra Carr 01/09/2025

Midland Credit Management, Inc. Attorney: Hyo Jin Julia Jung

Debra Carr Attorney: Julia Young

Case Management Conference

01/09/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/18/2026 High Density

Collections case.

Answer filed.

Continue CMC vs. set trial date

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

24 CV68188	Barbara Olivera vs. Annie Toner		03/09/2026
------------	---------------------------------	--	------------

Barbara Olivera

Attorney: Ryan Benyamin

Annie Toner

Case Management Conference

03/09/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

03/10/2026 High Density

This is a personal injury action stemming from an automobile accident. Plaintiff indicates some difficulty serving the defendant, but the case has been active for well past the allotted 60 days (CRC 3.110). There is no declaration of due diligence on file, so it is unclear what effort has been made.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

25	CVL67275	PCA Acquisitions V, LLC vs. Serenity M Waldie	05/15/2025
----	----------	--	------------

PCA Acquisitions V, LLC

Attorney: Hada Fernandez

Serenity M Waldie

Pro Per

OSC Hearing - Other

Failure to Appear

05/15/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

05/20/2025 High Density

Collections case.

Answer filed.

Continue CMC vs. set trial date

OSC issued to plaintiff's counsel for failing to appear at previous CMC when defendant went through the trouble of being present.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

26	CV67371	Landon Perry vs. Twain Harte School District	06/25/2025
	Landon Perry	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Landon Perry	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Twain Harte School District	Attorney: Anthony DeMaria	
	Landon Perry	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Landon Perry	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Review Hearing		
	Order Compromise		

05/19/2026 Petition

File Tracking 07/22/2025 High Density

Petition to approve compromise has been granted so case appears ready for dismissal within 45 days. However, the court file does not contain any certificate of mailing on the orders so it is possible that counsel is unaware that the motion was granted.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	July 1, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

27	CV68207	Joseph Thomas vs. Tuolumne Road Partners LP	03/12/2026
----	---------	---	------------

Joseph Thomas

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Tuolumne Road Partners LP

Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction

03/12/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

03/17/2026 High Density

This is a personal injury action stemming from an alleged incident occurring at defendant's property. Since no responsive pleading is on file, it is presumed that the parties intend to request a continuance of the CMC.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

28 CVL67971 U.S. Bank National Association vs. Paul Armitstead 01/06/2026
U.S. Bank National Association Attorney: Douglas Wallace
Paul Armitstead Attorney: Jerry Wang
Case Management Conference - Collections Case CRC 3.740

01/06/2026 Complaint

File Tracking 01/28/2026 High Density

Collections case.

Answer filed.

Continue CMC vs. set trial date

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	July 1, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

29 CV67630	Jerry Woolsey vs. Paul J. Berger, D.D.S.		09/10/2025
------------	--	--	------------

Jerry Woolsey Attorney: Yet Not Entered

**Dental Practice of Paul J. Berger,
D.D.S., APC**

Paul J Berger D.D.S. Attorney: James
Wager-Smith

**Paul J Berger, D.D.D., APC, a CA
Corporation**

Case Management Conference

FURTHER

09/10/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 09/10/2025 High Density

This is a dental malpractice action.

Full CMC

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
 - Plaintiff
 - Defendant
- Time estimate?
 - Plaintiff
 - Defendant
- Trial: _____ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)
- Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

30	CVL67970	Bank of America, N.A. vs. Madison Hammerbeck	01/06/2026
		Bank of America, N.A.	Attorney: Smita Suman
		Madison Hammerbeck	
		Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740	

01/06/2026 Complaint

File Tracking 01/28/2026 High Density

Review hearing 01/20/2027 @ 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

31 CVL67997 Barclays Bank Delaware vs. Cozette Carson 01/14/2026

Barclays Bank Delaware Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Cozette Carson

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

01/14/2026 Complaint

File Tracking 01/28/2026 High Density

Review hearing 01/20/2027 @ 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

33 CVL67995

Jefferson Capital Systems LLC vs. Anthony Sampson

01/15/2026

Jefferson Capital Systems LLC as assignee of The Bank of Missouri Attorney: Robert Kennard

Anthony Sampson

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

01/15/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

02/06/2026 High Density

Review hearing 01/20/2027 @ 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

34 CVL67992 JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. vs. Janeese Valenzuela 01/13/2026

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Janeese Valenzuela

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

01/13/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

01/28/2026 High Density

Case dismissed 05/29/2026.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

36 CVL67957 Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC vs. Loren Sweet

12/30/2025

Portfolio Recovery Associates, Attorney: Yet Not Entered
LLC

Loren Sweet

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

12/30/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

01/12/2026 High Density

Review hearing 01/20/2027 @ 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

37 CVL67955 TD Bank USA, N.A. vs. Kathleen Jones 12/30/2025

TD Bank USA, N.A.

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Kathleen Jones

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

12/30/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

01/12/2026 High Density

Review hearing 01/20/2027 @ 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

38 CVL68001

Velocity Investment LLC vs. Nicholas Maness

01/15/2026

Velocity Investment LLC

Attorney: Bryant Burnstad

Nicholas Maness

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

01/15/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

02/06/2026 High Density

Review hearing 01/20/2027 @ 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

July 1, 2026 1:30 pm

DA Case #

Date Filed

39 CV67618

Delbert Jolly vs. David Pedersen, et al

09/05/2025

Delbert Jolly

Pro Per

David Pedersen

Attorney: David Johnston

Guy Benning

Attorney: David Johnston

George Walker

Lavonne Benning

Attorney: David Johnston

Court Trial: Long Cause

1:30 - 5:00

Est. Duration: 2.00 Days

09/05/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

09/10/2025 High Density

Trial Day 1