



**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

**Department 5**

**May 20, 2026 8:30 am**

**DA Case #**

**Date Filed**

**2 CVL67864 Capital One, N.A. vs. Andreas Divaris**

11/04/2025

**Capital One, N.A., successor by merger to Discovery Bank** Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta  
**Andreas Divaris**

**Motion Hearing - Compel**

Arbitration and Stay Proceedings  
**Case Management Conference**

Further

**11/04/2025 Complaint**

**File Tracking**

12/23/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: [https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.\[Passcode: 123456\]](https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.[Passcode: 123456]). All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

This is a garden-variety collections case. The amount in controversy is \$19,229.67. Plaintiff was personally served with the summons on 12/23/2025. Two weeks later, defendant made a general appearance by of form answer, which included as an affirmative defense a contractual obligation between the parties to submit the dispute to binding arbitration.

Before the Court this day is *defendant's* petition to compel arbitration and stay the civil action. It was filed and served 03/24/2026. Accompanying the petition is a declaration from defendant demonstrating efforts on his part to secure an agreement from plaintiff to submit the matter to arbitration, consistent with the dispute resolution provision without the cardmember agreement. The petition includes a Proof of Service upon plaintiff's counsel. There is no response. See CCP §1290.6 [30 days to file response/opposition].

While the absence of a response results in the allegations of the petition being deemed admitted (CCP §1290), this Court reviewed the arbitration provision in the cardmember agreement, and notes that it is a standard unilateral trigger clause (meaning either party can force the other into arbitration). Defendant had sufficiently demanded arbitration, and plaintiff's silence is sufficient to constitute a refusal. *Engalla v. Permanente Medical Group, Inc.* (1997) 15 Cal.4th 951, 972; *Hyundai Amco America, Inc. v. S3H, Inc.* (2014) 232 Cal.App.4th 572, 576-578. The burden then shifts to the plaintiff to show (a) the right to compel arbitration has been waived, (b) grounds exist for the revocation of the agreement, or (c) there is a risk of conflicting rulings on a common issue in the arbitration and a pending court action. CCP §1281.2. None of this has been shown. The petition to compel arbitration is GRANTED.

Defendant separately requests a stay under CCP §1281.4. That request must be granted as it is a right of the party seeking arbitration to hold this Court's vestigial jurisdiction in limbo until that arbitration is final. See *Lee-Williams v. Petrosian* (2024) 101 Cal.App.5th 97, 105.

Case stayed. Review will be set for 180 days.





**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

5	CVL66820	<b>Credit Corp Solutions, Inc. vs. Connie Johnson</b>		01/02/2025
---	----------	---	--	------------

**Credit Corp Solutions, Inc.**

Attorney: Raymond  
Patenaude

**Connie Johnson**

Pro Per

**Case Management Conference**

Trial Setting

01/02/2025 **Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

07/09/2025 High Density
-------------------------

---

Trial setting only

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

<b>6</b>	<b>CV67742</b>	<b>Creditors Adjustment Bureau, Inc. vs. Jeremiah Christopher Milam et al</b>		<b>10/14/2025</b>
----------	----------------	---	--	-------------------

**Creditors Adjustment Bureau, Inc.** Attorney: Kenneth Freed

**Jeremiah Christopher Milam**

**Milam Construction, Inc.**

**Case Management Conference**

Further

**10/14/2025 Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b> 11/14/2025 High Density
---

---

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: [https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.\[Passcode: 123456\]](https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.[Passcode: 123456]). All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

Default package has been reviewed; however, defendant did appear at the last hearing and requesting leave to either set aside the default or work with plaintiff to reach a resolution. This is why the default package has yet to be evaluated substantively. Based on plaintiff’s CMC statement it appears that neither even has occurred.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	-----------------------------	------------------	-------------------

<b>7</b>	<b>CV66330</b>	<p><b>DOE 1, an individual et al vs. Adventist Health</b> <del>Case Name - Plaintiff - Defendant et al</del></p> <p><b>DOE 1, an individual</b> Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p><b>DOE 1, an individual</b> Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p><b>DOE 2, an individual</b> Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p><b>DOE 2, an individual</b> Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p><b>DOE 3, an individual</b> Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p><b>DOE 3, an individual</b> Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p><b>Adventist Health System/West, a nonprofit corporation</b></p> <p><b>Jason Elias</b></p> <p><b>Sonora Physical Therapy</b> Pro Per</p> <p><b>DOE 4, an individual</b> Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p><b>DOE 4, an individual</b> Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p><b>DOE 5, an individual</b> Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p><b>DOE 5, an individual</b> Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p><b>DOE 6, an individual</b> Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p><b>DOE 6, an individual</b> Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p><b>DOE 7, an individual</b> Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p><b>DOE 7, an individual</b> Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p><b>Sonora Community Hospital</b> Attorney: Jonathan Corr</p> <p><b>DOE 8 an individual</b> Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p><b>DOE 8 an individual</b> Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p>	08/08/2024
----------	----------------	--	------------

**Motion Hearing - Disqualify**  
RESERVED an Attorney  
Reserved by Porter Scott Attorney for Def

**08/08/2024 Complaint**

**File Tracking**  
08/12/2025 High Density

Other Cases  
CV66838  
CV66831  
CV66918  
CV66836  
CV66837  
CV66834  
CV66833  
CV66835

There is nothing on calendar for this case this date. It was merely a reservation for a motion that was never filed, and indeed affirmatively noted as such in the 04/29/2026 stipulation to continue trial (see Para 7).

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

<b>8</b>	<b>CV67478</b>	<b>Anthony M Garcia vs. Richard Vines et al</b>		<b>07/25/2025</b>
----------	----------------	---	--	-------------------

**Anthony M Garcia** Attorney: Kenneth Hedberg

**Richard Vines**

**Melinda Vines** Pro Per

**Michelle Lee Bailey**

**Melinda Vines**

**Michelle Lee Bailey**

**David Christopher Vines**

**All Other Persons Unknown**

**Case Management Conference**

FURTHER Resolution Status - Zoom Appearance is Approved.

**Review Hearing**

Answer filed?

**07/25/2025 Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b> 07/25/2025 High Density
---

---

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: [https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.\[Passcode: 123456\]](https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.[Passcode: 123456]). All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

As noted in this Court’s recent Order After Judicial Review, it is unclear to this Court why continued litigation is warranted (let alone warranted) now that the righteous ownership interests in the subject property have arguably been restored. Court is awaiting answer to be filed by M&M, after which a trial can be set – but for what issue?



**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

10	CV65497	Christi Kolberg vs. Estate of James Walsh et al		08/11/2023
----	---------	---	--	------------

Christi Kolberg	Pro Per
-----------------	---------

**Estate of James Walsh**

Caroline Walsh Snook	Attorney: Timothy Trujillo
----------------------	----------------------------

**Case Management Conference**

FURTHER Special Set / Trial Setting

**08/11/2023 Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b> 07/28/2025 Dept. 1 Calendar/Clerk
---

---

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cniYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

No appearance is necessary. The CMC scheduled this date shall be continued to 08/19/2026. In addition, the motion for terminating sanctions shall also be continued to 08/19/2026, so that all matters on calendar will be heard alongside the pending MSJ. Defendant is directed to give notice.







**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

14	CV67250	Kelli Slater vs. Doug Benton et. al.		05/12/2025
----	---------	--------------------------------------	--	------------

**Kelli Slater**

Attorney: Harout Keosian

**Doug Benton**

**Frederick Wentworth**

**Judy Wentworth**

**Case Management Conference**

Further - Complex Judgment?

**05/12/2025 Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

05/12/2025 High Density
-------------------------

Other Cases

CV67601

---

Toxic tort ... related to #11 ...

Default entered against Alternative Sierra Investments?

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

<b>15</b>	<b>CV68258</b>	<b>Mark Smith vs. Jennifer Richardson</b>		<b>03/30/2026</b>
-----------	----------------	---	--	-------------------

**Mark Smith** Pro Per

**Jennifer Richardson**

**Hearing: Other**

After Judicial Review

**03/30/2026 Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b> 04/02/2026 High Density
---

---

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

This is a collections case involving an overdue bill for legal services rendered in a related family proceeding here in Tuolumne County. Although the calendar slip indicates that on calendar this day is merely a CMC, this Court has reviewed the file and can see that plaintiff has filed a renewed application for writ of attachment. The POS attached thereto includes a myriad of documents that were served long ago, but assuming that the renewed RTAO was indeed served as indicated, there is a technical defect with notice. On 04/07/2026, this Court issued an Order After Judicial Notice indicating that the earlier RTAO was incomplete and that it had to be scheduled for a noticed hearing. That OAJR was only sent to plaintiff's counsel. He then sent some documents to defendant, which may or may not include the new RTAO, but the new RTAO does not include the date and time for this hearing. As such, this Court cannot tell is defendant was put on notice that this Court would address an RTAO at this hearing. Meanwhile, the marital residence has sold and the funds are presumably in the hands of the other attorney's client trust account so technically an RTAO is probably unnecessary since this bench officer is already controlling the distribution of the funds subject to the RTAO.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

16	CV67134	<b>Petition of Kevin Starks</b>		04/11/2025
----	---------	---------------------------------	--	------------

**Kevin Starks**

Pro Per

**School District of Curtis Creek  
Elementary**

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

**Motion Hearing - Compel**

Discovery

**Review Hearing**

on Tentative Ruling 05/14/26

**04/11/2025** Petition

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

12/26/2025 High Density
-------------------------

---

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: [https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.\[Passcode: 123456\]](https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.[Passcode: 123456]). All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

This is a quasi wrongful termination action. Before the Court is a demurrer, motion to strike and discovery motion. A comprehensive tentative ruling was emailed by the Court to the parties on Friday. If the parties have not yet seen that TR, they are directed to contact the Court to receive and review an advance copy before the hearing to expedite and streamline oral argument.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

17	CVL66886	Synchrony Bank vs. Raul Arauza		01/14/2025
----	----------	--------------------------------	--	------------

**Synchrony Bank**

Attorney: Adam Kidd

**Raul Arauza**

**Motion Hearing - Set Aside/Vacate**

the Dismissal

**01/14/2025 Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

08/13/2025 High Density
-------------------------

---

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: [https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.\[Passcode: 123456\]](https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.[Passcode: 123456]). All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

---

This is a simple collection case with a relatively modest amount in controversy. Before the Court this day is a motion by plaintiff to set aside an order dismissing the action based upon plaintiff's apparent failure to appear at the appointed time for trial. A review of the supporting papers, and the court registry, confirms that a change in the hearing department appears to have been the most culpable cause of plaintiff's failure to appear. Since no fault should be shouldered by plaintiff, good cause exists to set aside the "dismissal" (more accurately a judgment for defendant) and to reset another date for trial. The motion to set aside will be GRANTED.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026</b>	<b>8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	---------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

---

<b>18</b>	<b>CV67246</b>	<b>Paul Vaughan vs. Jill Carne</b>		<b>05/05/2025</b>
-----------	----------------	------------------------------------	--	-------------------

**Paul Vaughan**

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

**Jill Carne**

**Case Management Conference**

Further

**05/05/2025 Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

05/12/2025 High Density
-------------------------

---

This is a civil action alleging a single cause of action for financial elder abuse. There is a related probate action (PR12545). Other than a few discovery motions, this case has been oddly quiet despite being in active litigation for almost one year. The time has come for dates:

#### Full CMC

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
  - Plaintiff
  - Defendant
- Time estimate?
  - Plaintiff
  - Defendant
- Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)
- Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

**Department 5**                      **May 20, 2026**    **8:30 am**                      **DA Case #**                      **Date Filed**

---

19    **CVL67145**    **Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. vs. Brittney A. Burd**                      04/08/2025

**Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.**                      Attorney: Harlan Reese

**Brittney A. Burd**                      Attorney: Timothy Reed

**Case Management Conference**

Further

04/08/2025    Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b> 04/17/2025    High Density
--

---

Off-calendar





Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

May 20, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

22 CVL67035 Capital One, N.A. vs. Bill Morales

03/07/2025

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Anthony DiPiero

Bill Morales

Pro Per

Case Management Conference

Further

03/07/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/17/2025 High Density

Trial setting.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

23	<b>CVL68007</b>	<b>Capital One, N.A. vs. Patricia Perez</b>	01/26/2026
		<b>Capital One, N.A.</b>	Attorney: Donald Sherrill
		<b>Patricia Perez</b>	
		Case Management Conference	

01/26/2026 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b> 02/06/2026 High Density
---

---

Collections case.

Answer filed. Admits partial debt; wants to settle.

Continue CMC?

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

24	CV68090	Lynnetta Castle vs. The Armory	02/11/2026
----	---------	--------------------------------	------------

**Lynnetta Castle**

Attorney: William Brelsford

**The Armory**

**Case Management Conference**

02/11/2026 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b> 03/09/2026 High Density
---

This is a personal injury action. Plaintiff alleges that she was struck in the face by a pair of swinging doors by an employ carelessly coming through the double doors. Given the infancy of this litigation, the Court presumes the parties will be seeking a continuance of the CMC.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

25	CVL67018	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Steven Milner	03/03/2025
----	----------	---	------------

**Crown Asset Management, LLC** Attorney: Robert Kayvon

**Steven Milner**

**OSC Hearing - Sanctions**

\$500.00 Paid?

03/03/2025 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b> 05/23/2025 High Density
---

---

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 03/03/2025
- Defendant Served: 06/18/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 3
- Amount in Controversy: \$6,245.15
- OSC Issued on: 11/19/2025
  - Response: None
  - Sanction: 03/18/2026 (\$500.00)
  - Notice: 04/21/2026 via mail
  - Response: None

Impose \$750 sanction.  
Set Tier III for 07/15/2026.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

26	CVL66571	Discover Bank vs. Bromley Tellier		10/16/2024
----	----------	-----------------------------------	--	------------

**Discover Bank**

Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta

**Bromley Tellier**

**OSC Hearing - Sanctions**

\$750 Paid? -CV68376 Paid

10/16/2024 **Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b> 04/29/2025 High Density
---

Other Cases  
CV68376

---

### Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 10/16/2024
- Defendant Served: n/a
- # of Hearings (incl this): 4
- Amount in Controversy: \$6,736.53
- OSC Issued on: 02/26/2026
  - Response: None
  - Sanction: 03/18/2026 (\$750.00)
  - Notice: 04/21/2026 via mail
  - Response: Paid, asking more time

Set Tier III for 07/15/2026.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

**Department 5**

**May 20, 2026 10:00 am**

**DA Case #**

**Date Filed**

**27 CVL66951**

**Discover Bank vs. James Wagner, Jr**

02/03/2025

**Discover Bank**

Attorney: Spencer Penuela

**James Wagner**

**OSC Hearing - Sanctions**

Tier II

02/03/2025 **Complaint**

**File Tracking**

03/17/2025 High Density

Case voluntarily dismissed.



**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

29	CVL67237	Discover Bank vs. Jeffery M Quarle	04/29/2025
----	----------	------------------------------------	------------

**Discover Bank**

Attorney: Hasan Misherghi

**Jeffery M Quarle**

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

04/29/2025 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

05/09/2025 High Density
-------------------------

---

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 04/29/2025
- Defendant Served: n/a
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$12,321.50
- OSC Issued on: 01/14/2026
  - Response: Decl non-service x3

Although this is a Tier II issue, process server showing remarkable patience going to property 15 times. Should have effectuated sub-service on wife on 03/28/2026; unclear why that did not occur.

Sanction only \$500; set OSC re Tier III for 07/15/2026.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	May 20, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed		
30 CV67795	Jaclyn Eidinger vs. Lake Don Pedro Marina		10/31/2025		
	Jaclyn Eidinger	Attorney: Olesia Boulaev			
	Lake Don Pedro Marina	Attorney: Peter Witherington			
	Case Management Conference				
10/31/2025	Complaint - Transfer In	<table border="1"><tr><td>File Tracking</td></tr><tr><td>11/14/2025 High Density</td></tr></table>	File Tracking	11/14/2025 High Density	
File Tracking					
11/14/2025 High Density					

This is a personal injury action. Plaintiff alleges that she was injured after an unsecured ladder fell from a boat rented from defendant. This is the continued CMC after transfer from Stanislaus County.

#### Full CMC

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
  - Plaintiff
  - Defendant
- Time estimate?
  - Plaintiff
  - Defendant
- Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)
- Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation



**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

32 CV67426	<b>Jesse Alan Graham vs. Ramon Emolio Rosales-Ramos</b>		07/14/2025
------------	---	--	------------

<b>Jesse Alan Graham</b>	Attorney: David Axelrod
--------------------------	-------------------------

<b>Ramon Emolio Rosales-Ramos</b>	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
-----------------------------------	---------------------------

**Case Management Conference**

Further - Set Trial

07/14/2025 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

07/22/2025 High Density
-------------------------

---

This is a personal injury action arising out of an automobile accident here in Sonora. Although the action was commenced 10 months ago, defendant has only recently joined the party, suggesting to this Court that firm dates can be set but probably should be set with enough lead time for defendant to complete discovery. Parties to advise.

### Full CMC

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
  - Plaintiff
  - Defendant
- Time estimate?
  - Plaintiff
  - Defendant
- Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)
- Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

33	CVL66395	Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC vs. Jane Silveira	08/22/2024
----	----------	--	------------

**Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC**      Attorney: David McGaffey

**Jane Silveira**

**Review Hearing - Sanctions**

\$500.00 Paid? - Tier 2? - CV68179

**08/22/2024 Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b> 03/17/2025    High Density
--

Other Cases  
CV68179

---

Off-calendar as a result of clerk's judgment entered 02/06/2026.



**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

35	CVL67239	LVNV Funding, LLC vs. Kimber Betzenderfer		05/02/2025
----	----------	---	--	------------

LVNV Funding, LLC	Attorney: Flint Zide
-------------------	----------------------

**Kimber Betzenderfer**

**OSC Hearing - Sanctions**

3.740 Tier 1

05/02/2025 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b> 05/12/2025 High Density
---

---

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 05/02/2025
- Defendant Served: n/a
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$1,534.40
- OSC Issued on: 01/27/2026
  - Response: none

Impose \$750 sanction.

Set OSC re Tier III for 07/15/2026.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

<b>36</b>	<b>CV66858</b>	<b>Macobbie Justin MagallonStublefield vs. Dodge Ridge Ski Resort et al</b>	<b>01/17/2025</b>
-----------	----------------	---	-------------------

<b>Macobbie Justin</b> <b>MagallonStublefield</b> <b>Dodge Ridge Ski Resort</b>	Attorney: Louis Abronson
---	--------------------------

**Invision Capitol**

**Karl Kapuscinski**

<b>Paul Vangorder</b>	Pro Per
-----------------------	---------

**Case Management Conference**

Further

01/17/2025 **Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b> 09/11/2025 High Density
---

This is a personal injury action. It is alleged that plaintiff's ski bike was not properly secured to the ski lift, resulting in a fall to ground with injuries. Given the age of the case, and the obvious lack of complexity, dates must be set.

**Full CMC**

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
  - Plaintiff
  - Defendant
- Time estimate?
  - Plaintiff
  - Defendant
- Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)
- Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

May 20, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

37 CV68079

James Marcisz vs. FCA US LLC.

02/06/2026

James Marcisz

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

FCA US LLC., a limited liability  
company

Case Management Conference

02/06/2026 Petition

File Tracking

03/05/2026 High Density

This is a lemon law case involving a '23 Jeep Wrangler. Given its infancy, it is presumed that the parties will be seeking a continuance of the CMC.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	May 20, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
38 CVL67826	OneMain Financial Group, LLC vs. Melanie Norris		11/17/2025
	OneMain Financial Group, LLC	Attorney: Robert Kennard	
	Melanie Norris	Attorney: Garrett Charity	
	Case Management Conference		
11/17/2025	Complaint	<b>File Tracking</b> 11/24/2025 High Density	

Collections case.

Per plaintiff's CMC statement, there appears to be a settlement. Assuming that to be the case, this Court prefers a CIV-110 with box 1.a.(3) rather than a CM-200 with a Para 1.b. drawn out more than six months. With the latter, an OSC re dismissal per CCP §128 will be issued notwithstanding CRC 3.1385.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

39 CV68018	<b>Michelle Renee Orth vs. Matt Hawkins</b>		01/22/2026
------------	---	--	------------

<b>Michelle Renee Orth</b>	Pro Per
----------------------------	---------

**Matt Hawkins**

**Case Management Conference**

01/22/2026 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b> 03/19/2026 High Density
---

---

This is an action for defamation. Plaintiff alleged that defendant posted untrue statements about her on his Facebook page. Although this is before the Court on a mere case management conference, the register of action reflects that a default has already been entered against the defendant. The proof of service supporting that default shows that he was served in or about the Lowe's Home Improvement store here in Sonora. The problem for plaintiff, of course, is that the proof of service does not indicate that a statement of damages was included, and social media defamation is a personal injury claim subject to the requirements of a separate statement of damages. See *Backlund v. Stone* (2025) 115 Cal.App.5th 580, 590-591. Since defendant has yet to appear in the action, the statement of damages must also be personally served. *Id.* at 592.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

40	CV67990	Susan Peck vs. Ralph Popkey et al	01/13/2026
----	---------	-----------------------------------	------------

Susan Peck	Attorney: Scott Ward
------------	----------------------

Ralph Popkey	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
--------------	---------------------------

Deborah Popkey	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
----------------	---------------------------

Case Management Conference

01/13/2026 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b> 01/28/2026 High Density
---

---

This is a personal injury action arising from a dog bite incident. Given its infancy, it is presumed that the parties will be seeking a continuance of the CMC.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

41	CV68002	<b>Kristiana Ross vs. Sierra Mountain Construction, Inc</b>	01/16/2026
----	---------	---	------------

<b>Kristiana Ross</b>	Attorney: Eric Kingsley
-----------------------	-------------------------

<b>Sierra Mountain Construction, Inc</b>	Attorney: Sumy Kim
--	--------------------

**Case Management Conference**

01/16/2026 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b> 01/28/2026 High Density
---

---

This is a wrongful termination case, with a sprinkling of disability discrimination and failure to accommodate. Given its infancy, it is presumed that the parties will be seeking a continuance of the CMC.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

42	CVL67248	Synchrony Bank vs. Bobbi Hart		05/06/2025
----	----------	-------------------------------	--	------------

**Synchrony Bank**

Attorney: Spencer Penuela

**Bobbi Hart**

**OSC Hearing - Sanctions**

3.740 Tier 1

05/06/2025 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

05/12/2025 High Density
-------------------------

---

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 05/06/2025
- Defendant Served: n/a
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$5,117.26
- OSC Issued on: 01/27/2026
  - Response: none

Impose \$750 sanction.

Set OSC re Tier III for 07/15/2026.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
<b>43 CVL67739</b>	<b>Synchrony Bank vs. Robert L Thurston</b>		10/14/2025
	<b>Synchrony Bank</b>	Attorney: Nathalia Aguirre	
	<b>Robert L Thurston</b>	Pro Per	
	<b>Case Management Conference</b>		
	FURTHER / Trial Setting?		
<b>10/14/2025</b>	<b>Complaint</b>		
		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		11/14/2025	High Density

Collections case.

Answer filed.

Set for trial? Amount in controversy \$2,696.35.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

<b>44</b>	<b>CV67979</b>	<b>Travelers Prop Cas Co vs. California Department of Transportation</b>	<b>01/09/2026</b>
-----------	----------------	--	-------------------

**Travelers Property Casualty  
Company of America  
California Department of  
Transportation  
California Department of  
Transportation  
Travelers Property Casualty  
Company of America  
Case Management Conference**

**03/16/2026 Cross Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b> 01/28/2026 High Density
---

This is the indemnity by-product of CV64272, a case this Court is all too familiar with. At issue is Travelers' right to recoup costs of defense and settlement of that claim from defendant under a reservation of rights on an additional insured binder. The question of fault in the underlying action would have been quite interesting to resolve, but a last-minute settlement on the eve of trial left that question unanswered ... until now. The amount presently in controversy, however, probably does not warrant a full trial on the issue – particular given the financial profile of the only two parties still fighting.

Given its infancy, it is presumed that the parties will be seeking a continuance of the CMC.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

45	CVL67794	Justin Vaca vs. Taylor Ann Mellott		10/31/2025
----	----------	------------------------------------	--	------------

Justin Vaca	Attorney: Scott Lyon
-------------	----------------------

Taylor Ann Mellott	Pro Per
--------------------	---------

Taylor Ann Mellott	Pro Per
--------------------	---------

Justin Vaca	Pro Per
-------------	---------

**Case Management Conference**

Further - Set trial?

01/29/2026 Cross Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b> 11/14/2025 High Density
---

---

This is a dispute between homeowner and contractor over work performed at her residence. Plaintiff recorded a mechanics lien against the residence for the full amount of the contract, although defendant alleges that only "half" of the contracted-for work was ever completed. Defendant has filed a cross-complaint, which includes a robust amount of texting between the parties.

Are we to set dates or try and reach some resolution?

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

46	CVL67727	Velocity Investments LLC vs. Desiree Shatswell		10/08/2025
----	----------	--	--	------------

**Velocity Investments LLC**

Attorney: Stephen  
Goldsmith

**Desiree Shatswell**

**Case Management Conference**

10/08/2025 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

10/14/2025 High Density
-------------------------

---

Collections case.

Answer filed.

Set for trial? Amount in controversy \$4,250.49.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

47	CV66521	Petition of Carla Wright	10/04/2024
----	---------	--------------------------	------------

**Carla Wright**

Attorney: Drexwell Jones

**Joseph Wright**

**Case Management Conference**

10/04/2024 Petition

<b>File Tracking</b> 08/08/2025 High Density
---

Other Cases  
FL17068

---

This is an enforcement action arising from FL17068 (Title IV-D). The salient history appears to be as follows:

In 2014, a judgment was entered in favor of Carla in a dissolution proceeding held in Stanislaus County. In pertinent part, Joseph was to pay \$2,729/month to support three children, and \$1,328/month to support Carla

In 2021, the Stanislaus judgment was domesticated here in Tuolumne County.

In 2022, Joseph acquired an interest in 16645 Lime Kiln Road. His interest, with or without the homestead exemption, was allegedly insufficient to support a writ of attachment due to pre-existing encumbrances.

Carla sought a ruling on Joseph's claim of exemption.

On 06/13/2025, this Court (Hon. Seibert) issued a definitive ruling denying the claim of exemption. A formal order was signed five weeks later.

Then, the case went cold for eight months. All that has occurred to date is that Joseph's attorney withdrew.

- Has the property been sold?
- Did CSCSA calculate the exact amount of arrears (child + spousal)?



**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Commissioner Steven Streger**

---

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>May 20, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

---

49 CVL68011	<b>Capital One, N.A. vs. Steven Douglass</b>		01/26/2026
-------------	--	--	------------

**Capital One, N.A.**

Attorney: Harvey Moore

**Steven Douglass**

**Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740**

01/26/2026 Complaint

<b>File Tracking</b>
----------------------

02/06/2026 High Density
-------------------------

---

Set review and OSC RE Tier I for:

02/03/2027 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

May 20, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

50 CVL67833

Capital One, N.A. vs. Jon Toubeaux

11/19/2025

Capital One, N.A., successor by merger to Discover Bank  
Jon Toubeaux

Attorney: Peter Tran

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

11/19/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

11/24/2025 High Density

Set review hearing for:

12/02/2026 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

May 20, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

51 CVL67820

Casa Receivables Management vs. Bryan C Vyhmeister

11/10/2025

Casa Receivables Management, Attorney: Robert Kennard  
LLC as assignee of Pentagon  
Federal Credit Union  
Bryan C Vyhmeister

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

11/10/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

11/24/2025 High Density

Set review hearing for:

12/02/2026 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

**Department 5**

**May 20, 2026 10:03 am**

**DA Case #**

**Date Filed**

52 CVL67823

**Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Michael Bradley**

11/12/2025

**Crown Asset Management, LLC**

Attorney: Spencer Penuela

**Michael Bradley**

**Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740**

11/12/2025 Complaint

**File Tracking**

11/24/2025 High Density

Set review hearing for:

12/02/2026 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

May 20, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

53 CVL68012 Galaxy International Purchasing, LLC vs. Jose Sanchez

01/26/2026

Galaxy International Purchasing, LLC Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Jose Sanchez

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

01/26/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

02/06/2026 High Density

Set review hearing for:

02/03/2027 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

May 20, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

54 CVL68008

Jefferson Capital Systems LLC vs. Daniel Diaz

01/26/2026

Jefferson Capital Systems LLC

Attorney: Robert Kennard

Daniel Diaz

Review Hearing

01/26/2026 Complaint

File Tracking

02/06/2026 High Density

Off calendar. Judgment entered 05/08/2026.



Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

May 20, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

56 CVL67827 LVNV Funding LLC vs. Carmen Cone

11/14/2025

LVNV Funding LLC successor in interest to Credit One Bank, N.A.  
Carmen Cone Attorney: Robert Kennard

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

11/14/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

11/24/2025 High Density

Off calendar. Judgment entered 05/11/2026.





Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne  
Consolidated Calendar  
Commissioner Steven Streger

**Department 5**                      **May 20, 2026 10:03 am**                      **DA Case #**                      **Date Filed**

---

59    **CVL68005**    **Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. vs. David White, III**                      01/26/2026

**Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.**                      Attorney: Yet Not Entered

**David White, III**

**Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740**

01/26/2026    **Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b> 02/06/2026    High Density
--

---

Set review hearing and OSC re Tier I for:

02/03/2027 @ 10 am