

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

5	CV66330	<p>DOE 1, an individual et al vs. Adventist Health System/West, a nonprofit corporation et al</p> <p>DOE 1, an individual</p> <p>DOE 1, an individual</p> <p>DOE 2, an individual</p> <p>DOE 2, an individual</p> <p>DOE 3, an individual</p> <p>DOE 3, an individual</p> <p>Adventist Health System/West, a nonprofit corporation</p> <p>Jason Elias</p> <p>Sonora Physical Therapy</p> <p>DOE 4, an individual</p> <p>DOE 4, an individual</p> <p>DOE 5, an individual</p> <p>DOE 5, an individual</p> <p>DOE 6, an individual</p> <p>DOE 6, an individual</p> <p>DOE 7, an individual</p> <p>DOE 7, an individual</p> <p>Sonora Community Hospital</p> <p>Motion Hearing - Continuance</p> <p>Filed motion for continuance on 3-16-2026</p>	<p>Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p>Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p>Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p>Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p>Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p>Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p>Pro Per</p> <p>Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p>Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p>Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p>Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p>Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p>Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p>Attorney: Erin Reding</p> <p>Attorney: Ryan Erickson</p> <p>Attorney: Jonathan Corr</p>	<p>08/08/2024</p>
----------	----------------	--	---	-------------------

08/08/2024 Complaint

File Tracking
08/12/2025 High Density

Other Cases

CV66838
CV66831
CV66918
CV66836
CV66837
CV66834
CV66833
CV66835

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMTONwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. These parties have NOT YET stipulated.

Do the lack of a stipulation, no indication on the motion to continue trial is available – except perhaps to note that the party *opposing* the motion describes several *ongoing* discovery disputes (see TUDO-CV-275 and motions filed 04/01/2026). Parties will be expected to first appear and confirm/decline stipulation.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

6	CV65934	Chad A. Leonhardt vs. Dodge Ridge Mountain Resort, LLC		02/29/2024
----------	----------------	---	--	-------------------

Chad A. Leonhardt	Attorney: Enoch Kim
--------------------------	---------------------

Dodge Ridge Mountain Resort, LLC	Attorney: Sue Bendavid
---	------------------------

Hearing: Other

Final Approval Zoom approval for Plaintiff

02/29/2024 Complaint

File Tracking
08/11/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. These parties have NOT YET stipulated.

Parties will need to provide stipulation before the substance of the motion can be addressed. However, this court generally agrees with the sentiments expressed in the tentative ruling posted and adopted on 08/08/2025.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026

8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

7 CV67056

Laura Arroyo McQueary vs. Osborne Homes, Inc et al

03/12/2025

Laura Arroyo McQueary

Osborne Homes, Inc

Attorney: Patrick Stark

Osborne Homes Holdings, LLC

Attorney: Patrick Stark

Michael Osborne

Attorney: Patrick Stark

Matthew Mutton

Attorney: Patrick Stark

Kathy Lombardo

Attorney: Patrick Stark

Derek Harwood

Attorney: Patrick Stark

Case Management Conference

Further

03/12/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/02/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. These parties have already stipulated.

This is a contract dispute regarding an agreement to purchase real property. According to plaintiff, defendants agreed to purchase her property (APN 087-200-003-000) for \$243,000, but rescinded the deal while in escrow, causing plaintiff to eventually close a sale with another buyer for only \$200,000. Plaintiff filed suit over the \$43,000 difference, alleging six causes of action. Defendants responded to the complaint with a demurrer directed at the 4th (negligent misrepresentation), 5th (intentional misrepresentation) and 6th (unfair practices) causes of action. According to defendants, all three causes of action are uncertain, and the 4th and 5th causes of action also fail to state a cause of action. Plaintiff has not filed any opposition.

Plaintiff's 4th cause of action is for negligent misrepresentation, which is a species of the tort of deceit. The elements of a negligent misrepresentation are (1) the misrepresentation of a past or existing material fact, (2) without reasonable ground for believing it to be true, (3) with intent to induce another's reliance on the fact misrepresented, (4) justifiable reliance on the misrepresentation, and (5) resulting damage. See *Conroy v. Regents of University of California* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1244, 1255; *Borman v. Brown* (2021) 59 Cal.App.5th 1048, 1060-1061; *Tindell v. Murphy* (2018) 22 Cal.App.5th 1239, 1252. Plaintiff's sole substantive averment supporting this claim is that the buyers knew where the property was located and therefore waived the right to cancel when the inspections passed but the neighborhood turned out to be is the only negative. Plaintiff states in conclusory fashion that the representation is false without alleging what was set forth in the Agreement, or how the conduct is anything other than a breach of contract. Plaintiff's only loss is economic. See *JMR Const. Corp. v. Environmental Assessment & Remediation Mgmt., Inc.* (2015) 243 Cal.App.4th 571, 593-595; *Richman v. Hartley* (2014) 224 Cal.App.4th 1182, 1192; *Brown v. Grimes* (2011) 192 Cal.App.4th 265, 278. Demurrer sustained without leave to amend.

Plaintiff's 5th cause of action is for intentional misrepresentation, which is fraud. Fraud must be plead with particularity specificity rather than with general or conclusory allegations. *Small v. Fritz Companies, Inc.* (2003) 30 Cal.4th 167, 184. This particularity requirement necessitates pleading facts which show how, when, where, to whom, and by what means the representations were made. *Morgan v. AT & T Wireless Services, Inc.* (2009) 177 Cal.App.4th 1235, 1261- 1262. To state the claim against an entity, the plaintiff must also include allegations regarding the speaker's authority to bind the entity. *Lazar v. Superior Court* (1996) 12 Cal.4th 631, 645. Plaintiff has failed to provide any particularity. Demurrer sustained without leave to amend.

Plaintiff's 6th cause of action is for unfair business practices based upon the theory that defendant has a business model of falsely baiting desperate sellers into taking their properties off-market for a period of time, only to return to market to sell at a loss. The complaint appears to be based on the "unfair" prong of the UCL. The test for stating a consumer claim under the unfairness prong is a constant moving target in the law, particularly in light of the FTC's new policy statements from late 2022. However, the claim has always involved elements of unequal bargaining positions and financial harm on asystemic scale. See, e.g., *Orcilla v. Big Sur, Inc.* (2016) 244 Cal.App.4th 982, 1013; *Lueras v. BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP* (2013) 221 Cal.App.4th 49, 85. Giving this claim further thought, this Court notes that the theory could be viable if the facts allege are established. As such, the demurrer to this claim will be overruled.

Defendants to answer in 15 days.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

8	CVL66348	OLIT 2023 HB1 Alternative Holdings LLC vs. Robert Louis Martin		08/15/2024
---	----------	--	--	------------

OLIT 2023 HB1 Alternative Holdings LLC

Attorney: Kayo
Manson-Tompkins

Robert Louis Martin

Pro Per

Review Hearing

On Order After Review

08/15/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

08/08/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlydzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. These parties have already stipulated.

Parties to address whether defendant did indeed pass away and, if so, whether this action has now abated.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

9	CV66705	Lee Pagni v City of Sonora et. al.		12/04/2024
----------	----------------	---	--	------------

Lee Pagni

Attorney: Terry Stark

City of Sonora

Attorney: Angela Shrimp de
la Vergne

William Canning

Motion Hearing - Ex Parte

to Amend Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandamus

12/04/2024 Petition

File Tracking

03/17/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlydzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.> [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. These parties have already stipulated.

There is a “motion” set this day by petitioner for leave to amend the operative pleading and stand now on a Second Amended Petition. The need for the amendment is unclear, but more importantly the absence of response from the City suggests to this Court that petitioner neglected to provide respondent with notice thereof.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

10	CV67641	Bryan Sherbino vs. Sierra Pacific Industries		09/15/2025
-----------	----------------	---	--	------------

Bryan Sherbino	Attorney: Adam Stewart
-----------------------	------------------------

Sierra Pacific Industries

Demurrer

on First Amended Complaint RESERVED

Case Management Conference

Further

09/15/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 09/19/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. These parties have NOT YET stipulated.

This is a personal injury. As alleged, plaintiff was lent by a staffing agency to defendant to perform manual labor on the job, using a rotary saw equipped with an allegedly improper blade. According to plaintiff, he was wearing loose sleeves, which were caught in the teeth of the blade, resulting in injury. Before the Court this day is a demurrer directed at the whole of the operative pleading (a First Amended Complaint) based on the jurisdictional exclusivity afforded to claims between employers and their employees under the workers compensation scheme. Although the parties have previously appeared before this bench officer for ordinary house-keeping matters, a proper stipulation should be secured before substantive rulings are issued.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

12	CVL66821	Wells Fargo Bank, N. A. vs. Janeen G. Flanagan		01/02/2025
----	----------	---	--	------------

Wells Fargo Bank, N. A.	Attorney: David Bartley
--------------------------------	-------------------------

Janeen G. Flanagan	Attorney: Jenna Moreno-Cortez
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Motion Hearing - Judgment on the Pleadings

01/02/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 08/12/2025 High Density

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cmlYdzZ6VnBXWWF5UT09>. [Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. These parties have NOT YET stipulated.

This is a garden-variety collection cases here on a motion for judgment on the pleadings following an order deeming plaintiff's RFAs admitted. Although defendant went through the trouble of retaining an attorney and filing an answer, she has otherwise made no effort to defend this case. While the technical obligation when given RFAs in hand is to proceed via MSJ and not MJOP, this Court sees little to be gained by putting plaintiff through the added burden of such a motion under the circumstances.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026	8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

13	CV66521	Petition of Carla Wright		10/04/2024
----	---------	--------------------------	--	------------

Carla Wright

Attorney: Drexwell Jones

Joseph Wright

Attorney: David Foyil

Motion Hearing - Attorney Withdrawal

10/04/2024 Petition

File Tracking 08/08/2025 High Density

Other Cases
FL17068

Case notes are not tentative rulings. Parties and counsel are expected to appear unless this note indicates that “no appearance is necessary.” Unless directed otherwise, all participants may appear via Zoom: [https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.\[Passcode: 123456\]](https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09.[Passcode: 123456]). All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. These parties have NOT YET stipulated.

This is an enforcement action arising from FL17068. Before the Court this day is an unopposed motion to withdraw as counsel of record.

An attorney may withdraw as counsel of record if the client breaches the agreement to pay fees, insists on pursuing invalid claims or an illegal course of conduct, or when other conduct by the client renders it unreasonably difficult for the attorney to do his job, including when there is a breakdown in the attorney-client relationship. If the attorney does not have the client’s consent, he or she must proceed by way of noticed motion consistent with CCP §§ 284, 1005, and CRC 3.1362. Assuming proper service and notice, relief turns on whether there are reasonable grounds for granting the request, and if doing so will prejudice the client. Courts have a duty of inquiry regarding the grounds for the motion. Counsel has a corresponding duty to respond and to describe the general nature of the issue, within the confines of any privilege. The degree of detail is on a sliding scale against counsel’s candor and trustworthiness. See *Flake v. Neumiller & Beardslee* (2017) 9 Cal.App.5th 223, 230; *Manfredi & Levine v. Superior Court* (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1128, 1134-1136; *Aceves v. Superior Court* (1996) 51 Cal.App.4th 584, 592-593. An otherwise proper motion to withdraw may be denied when it is reasonably foreseeable that the client would suffer prejudice, such as when the unrepresented client would be unable to fairly respond to dispositive motions. See *Mossanen v. Monfared* (2000) 77 Cal.App.4th 1402, 1409.

Although the supporting declaration here is barren, there is no prejudice given the absence of any pending motions, hearings or trial dates. In fact, it is not clear what remains of this case after the claim of exemption was denied. A CMC will be required.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

15	CVL65776	Allstate Northbrook Indemnity Company a/s/o Huespatl vs. GK Express	12/18/2023
----	----------	--	------------

Allstate Northbrook Indemnity Company a/s/o Huespatl GK Express	Attorney: Betty Machen
--	------------------------

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

Tier 1 - per CRC 3.110

12/18/2023 Complaint

File Tracking 08/12/2025 High Density

Subrogation (\$5,464.44) from automobile accident

Complaint: 12/18/2023

- GK Express Inc.: Default entered
- Gilson Trucking Inc.: Default entered

Hearings since defaults entered:

- 10/22/2025: counsel advised that GK Express would be dismissed and a default prove-up filed for Gilson.
- 02/18/2026: counsel advised that default packet would be here within the week. OSC re sanctions issued and served

CRC 3.110(h): "When a default is entered, the party who requested the entry of default must obtain a default judgment against the defaulting party within 45 days after the default was entered, unless the court has granted an extension of time. The court may issue an order to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed if that party fails to obtain entry of judgment against a defaulting party or to request an extension of time to apply for a default judgment within that time."

It has been six months since defaults entered. No package here. Sanction of \$750 warranted. Set OSC re Tier III sanction for 45 days.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

16	CVL66996	Bank of America, N.A. vs. Maria M. Ramirez		02/25/2025
----	----------	---	--	------------

Bank of America, N.A.	Attorney: Donald Sherrill
------------------------------	---------------------------

Maria M. Ramirez

Case Management Conference

Further - Spanish Interpreter

02/25/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 03/17/2025 High Density

Collections case.

Answer filed.

Set for trial.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

17	CVL67115	Bank of America, N.A. vs. Eric John Wistrand	03/28/2025
----	----------	--	------------

Bank of America, N.A.	Attorney: Robert Kayvon
-----------------------	-------------------------

Eric John Wistrand

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

Tier 1

03/28/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 04/16/2025 High Density

Case dismissed 03/20/2026.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

18	CVL67741	Bank of America, N.A. vs. Eva M Deininger	10/14/2025
		Bank of America, N.A.	Attorney: John Phan
		Eva M Deininger	
		OSC Hearing - Sanctions	

10/14/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 11/14/2025 High Density

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 10/14/2025
- Defendant Served: ---
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$3,418.64
- OSC Issued on: 04/01/2026 – but premature by visiting judge*

Rescind OSC re sanctions and reset OSC re Tier II sanction for 10/21/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

19	CVL67041	Citibank, N.A. vs. Michael J. Curry		03/07/2025
----	----------	-------------------------------------	--	------------

Citibank, N.A.

Attorney: Katie Zwarg

Michael J. Curry

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

Tier 1

03/07/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

03/17/2025 High Density

Notice of settlement filed 03/19/2026. Despite CRC 3.1385, this Court will not hold case open through April of 2028. Matter is dismissed without prejudice, with jurisdiction reserved pursuant to CCP §664.6 to enforce any settlement.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

21	CVL67147	Citibank, N.A. vs. Susan McKay		04/08/2025
----	----------	--------------------------------	--	------------

Citibank, N.A.

Attorney: Matthew Keim

Susan McKay

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

OSC - Tier 1 per CRC 3.740

04/08/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

04/17/2025 High Density

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 04/08/2025
- Defendant Served: 04/25/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$4,170.22
- OSC Issued on: 02/25/2026

No response to OSC. Impose sanction \$500 and set for review hearing with OSC re Tier II sanction for 06/03/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

22	CVL67126	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Grace Harrell		04/01/2025
----	----------	---	--	------------

Crown Asset Management, LLC Attorney: David McGaffey

Grace Harrell

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

3.740 Tier 1

04/01/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 04/17/2025 High Density

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 04/01/2025
- Defendant Served: 04/25/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$14,487.55
- OSC Issued on: 01/27/2026

No response to OSC. Impose sanction \$500 and set for review hearing with OSC re Tier II sanction for 06/03/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

23	CVL66828	Crown Asset Management, LLC) vs. Stephanie Harami	01/03/2025
----	----------	---	------------

Crown Asset Management, LLC Attorney: Venessa Thomas
assignee of FinWise Bank (Upstart
Network Inc.)
Stephanie Harami
Review Hearing
Dismissal or Judgment

01/03/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 08/12/2025 High Density

Notice of Conditional Settlement filed 12/01/2025 indicating compliance on or before 01/18/2026. Pursuant to CRC 3.1385(c)(2), "if the plaintiff required to serve and file a request for dismissal within 45 days after the dismissal date specified in the notice does not do so, the court must dismiss the entire case unless good cause is shown why the case should not be dismissed." No good cause is present/shown, so the action will be dismissed without prejudice, with jurisdiction reserved pursuant to CCP §664.6 to enforce any settlement.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

25	CV67557	Farmer vs. Tuolumne County et al	08/15/2025
----	---------	----------------------------------	------------

Erin Farmer as Guardian Ad Litem Attorney: Yet Not Entered
for Meadow Farmer, a minor

Tuolumne County

Monica Hirschfield

Jamestown School District

Case Management Conference

08/15/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 08/20/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action involving an “eggshell” plaintiff. The central allegation is a want of appropriate adult supervision of minors at a county-sponsored youth facility. The parties are presumably ready for dates:

Full CMC

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

- Trial: _____ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)
- Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
26 CV67953	Estate of Richard Louis Mello vs. Sonora Oxygen and Medical Supply		12/30/2025
	Estate of Richard Louis Mello	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Sonora Oxygen and Medical Supply		
	Amber Mello	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Paul David Mercy	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Amber Rose Mello	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Sonora Suzanne Mello	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Mark Wassermann	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Chopper Cosmos Mello	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Pacific Gas and Electric Company		
	California Fair Plan Association		
	Case Management Conference		

12/30/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 01/12/2026 High Density

This is a wrongful death action. There may be related matters: PR12698, CV60643.

This is the scheduled CMC, but there is no CMC statement from the plaintiffs, and no proof of service on any of the defendants (despite the requirements of CRC 3.110).

Reset the CMC. Consider OSC re sanctions.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

27 CVL67462

Shawn Finigan vs. Benjamin Larson

07/23/2025

Shawn Finigan

Attorney: Timothy Trujillo

Benjamin Larson

Case Management Conference

Further

07/23/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/24/2025 High Density

Counsel indicate that case has settled notwithstanding entry of defendant's default. Counsel to kindly file Notice of Settlement.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

28	CVL67499	Frontier California Inc. vs. Nate's Tree Service		07/31/2025
----	----------	---	--	------------

Frontier California Inc.	Attorney: Kaylee Diamond
---------------------------------	--------------------------

Nate's Tree Service

Case Management Conference

07/31/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 08/04/2025 High Density

This is a negligence claim involved alleged damage to property occurring during defendant's work. The court file includes a proof of substituted service on the defendant, but no response to the lawsuit.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

30	CVL67950	Kayli Gomez vs. James Mervin Winters	12/29/2025
----	----------	--------------------------------------	------------

Kayli Gomez Pro Per

James Mervin Winters

Case Management Conference

12/29/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 01/12/2026 High Density

This appears to be a personal injury action but the operative pleading provides little if any information. Since defendant just appeared, it is likely he will require time to conduct discovery.

Full CMC

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

- Trial: _____ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)
- Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

31	CV67737	Seana Hood vs. Twin Pines MHP	10/14/2025
----	---------	-------------------------------	------------

Seana Hood

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Twin Pines MHP

Case Management Conference

Further

10/14/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

10/16/2025 High Density

This is a dog bite case. No defendants have appeared yet.

The operative pleading and the CMC statement filed by plaintiff leave the reader with some important questions regarding venue. The incident is alleged to have occurred in Riverside County on 10/15/2023, but it is alleged that both dogs (the one plaintiff was walking, and the one owned by defendant which jumped the fence) were residents of Tuolumne County when the incident occurred. To complicate matters, plaintiff has since passed away, making venue tied to her domicile (Probate Code §7051), which is not clearly disclosed in the pleading. Finally, the court file does not contain a CCP §377.32 statement from the actual plaintiff. There is much housekeeping to complete, whether or not the defendant joins the action anytime soon. Proof of service is now on file but these issues need to be answered.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

32	CVL67111	Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC vs. Mickael Price	03/27/2025
----	----------	--	------------

Jefferson Capital Systems, LLC Attorney: Robert Kayvon

Mickael Price

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

03/27/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 04/16/2025 High Density
--

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 03/27/2025
- Defendant Served: 04/25/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$4,078.03
- OSC Issued on: 02/24/2026

No response to OSC. Impose sanction \$500 and set for review hearing with OSC re Tier II sanction for 06/03/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

33	CVL67127	LVNV Funding, LLC vs. John T. Moody		04/01/2025
----	----------	-------------------------------------	--	------------

LVNV Funding, LLC

Attorney: Julli Bhuskute

John T. Moody

OSC Hearing - Sanctions

3.740 Tier 1

04/01/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

04/17/2025 High Density

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 04/01/2025
- Defendant Served: ---
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$6,513.20
- OSC Issued on: 01/27/2026

No response to OSC. Impose sanction \$750 and set for review hearing with OSC re Tier III sanction for 06/03/2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

34 CV68015	Thomas A Nelson vs. Jancy Harper		01/21/2026
------------	----------------------------------	--	------------

Thomas A Nelson	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
-----------------	---------------------------

Jancy Harper

Cody Hall	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
-----------	---------------------------

OSC Hearing - TRO/Elder Abuse

01/21/2026 Petition

File Tracking 01/28/2026 High Density

Related to #42 ...

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

35 CV68075

Thomas Nelson vs. William Brown

02/06/2026

Thomas Nelson

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Cody Hall

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

William Brown

OSC Hearing - TRO/Elder Abuse

02/06/2026 Petition

File Tracking

03/05/2026 High Density

Related to #42 ...

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

38	CV67914	Estuardo Giovanni Reyes et al vs. Larry Dean Smith et al	12/15/2025
-----------	----------------	---	-------------------

Estuardo Giovanni Reyes Attorney: W. Fields

Silvia Orozco Attorney: W. Fields

Larry Dean Smith

Theresa Smith

Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction

12/15/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 12/24/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action stemming from an automobile accident. The action was commenced five months ago, and still no service of summons despite the requirements of CRC 3.110. Reset CMC with OSC re sanctions.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

39	CV67224	Jessica Smittle et al vs. Kaden Fields et al	05/06/2025
----	----------------	---	------------

Jessica Smittle	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
Noah Smittle	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
Kaden Fields	Attorney: Melissa Raffalow
Douglas Fields	Attorney: Melissa Raffalow
Mac Smittle	Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Case Management Conference

Further

05/06/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 08/11/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury action arising out of an automobile accident. Defendants have tendered their entire policy, but a mystery third putative plaintiff has yet to be contacted and sign off. Parties to discuss filing Notice of Settlement with possible interplead of funds here.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:00 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

43 CV67227

Edgar Tostado vs. First Light Resorts, LLC

05/07/2025

Edgar Tostado

Attorney: Ryan Chuman

Edgar Tostado

Attorney: Arnel Tan

First Light Resorts, LLC

Review Hearing

05/07/2025 Petition

File Tracking

05/27/2025 High Density

Case should remain stayed, with a review hearing set every 120 days.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

45	CV67307	John Watts vs. Tracey Brian Vincent		05/29/2025
----	---------	-------------------------------------	--	------------

John Watts

Attorney: Glenn Petersen

John Watts

Attorney: Richard Watts

Tracey Brian Vincent

Case Management Conference

Further

05/29/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/22/2025 High Density

Although this case is in default status, defendant recently filed a statement indicated that he was actually unaware of these proceedings and will be unable to appear at this particular hearing due to recent surgery. The court file includes several proofs of service upon defendant, including personal service by a sheriff deputy at defendant's residence. Defendant is free to file a motion to set aside his default, but at present there is no reason to doubt the authenticity of said proof of service.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:00 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

47	CV67505	Janet Gina Wood vs. Walmart, Inc. et al	08/01/2025
-----------	----------------	--	------------

Janet Gina Wood	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
------------------------	---------------------------

Walmart, Inc.	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
----------------------	---------------------------

John Chandler

Jane Doe

Case Management Conference

08/01/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/05/2025 High Density

This is a personal injury, premises liability action.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: _____ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

49 CVL67506 Bank of America, N.A. vs. Craig J Johnston

07/31/2025

Bank of America, N.A.

Attorney: Gregory Parks

Craig J Johnston

Review Hearing

07/31/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/04/2025 High Density

Set OSC re sanction for 08/19/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

50 CVL67753 Bank of America, N.A. vs. Joziah Bradford 10/20/2025

Bank of America, N.A. Attorney: Donald Sherrill

Joziah Bradford

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

10/20/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

11/14/2025 High Density

Set OSC re sanction for 11/04/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5 **April 15, 2026 10:03 am** **DA Case #** **Date Filed**

51 **CVL67796** **Bank of America, N.A. vs. Jeanette Venturi** 10/31/2025

Bank of America, N.A. Attorney: Robert Kennard

Jeanette Venturi

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

10/31/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 11/14/2025 High Density
--

Set review hearing only for 11/04/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

53 CVL67434

Capital One, N.A. vs. Jacob Henry

07/14/2025

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Yet Not Entered

Jacob Henry

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

07/14/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/18/2025 High Density

Dismissal filed 10/31/2025

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

55 CVL67500 Capital One, N.A. vs. Lynn L Miller

07/28/2025

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Daria
Lourtchenko

Lynn L Miller

Review Hearing

07/28/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/04/2025 High Density

Set OSC re sanction for 08/19/26 @ 10 am

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

56	CVL67504	Capital One, N.A. vs. Richard A Gamboa	07/29/2025
----	----------	--	------------

Capital One, N.A.

Attorney: Adam Kidd

Richard A Gamboa

Review Hearing

07/29/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/04/2025 High Density

Clerk's judgment already entered – case resolved.

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

58 CVL67446

Capital One, N.A. vs. Dillyan M Isley

07/17/2025

Capital One, N.A. successor by
merger to Discover Bank
Dillyan M Isley

Attorney: Robert Cox

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

07/17/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/21/2025 High Density

Set OSC re sanction for 08/19/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

59 CVL67447 Capital One, N.A. vs. Sutton E Madrid

07/17/2025

Capital One, N.A. successor by merger to Discover Bank
Sutton E Madrid Attorney: Peter Tran

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

07/17/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/21/2025 High Density

Set OSC re sanction for 08/19/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

60 CVL67448

Capital One, N.A. vs. Aaron Fields

07/17/2025

Capital One, N.A. successor by
merger to Discover Bank
Aaron Fields

Attorney: Peter Tran

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

07/17/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/21/2025 High Density

Set OSC re sanction for 08/19/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

61	CVL66750	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Charles McCartneybarnes		12/09/2024
----	----------	---	--	------------

Crown Asset Management, LLC Attorney: Flint Zide

Charles McCartneybarnes

Review Hearing

Judgment or Dismissal

12/09/2024 Complaint

File Tracking 07/10/2025 High Density
--

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 12/09/2024
- Defendant Served: 01/09/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$1,564.62
- OSC Issued on: n/a

Set OSC re Tier II sanctions for excessive delays for 06/03/2026 at 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

62 CVL67475

Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Shelley Hoskins

07/25/2025

Crown Asset Management, LLC Attorney: Lucas Conley

Shelley Hoskins

Review Hearing

07/25/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/30/2025 High Density

Set OSC re sanction for 08/19/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

63	CVL66895	Discover Bank vs. Jami L. Fullerton		01/17/2025
----	----------	-------------------------------------	--	------------

Discover Bank

Attorney: Shane Wate

Jami L. Fullerton

Review Hearing

Dismissal or Judgment

01/17/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

08/08/2025 High Density

Collections case:

- Litigation Commenced: 01/17/2025
- Defendant Served: 04/02/2025
- # of Hearings (incl this): 2
- Amount in Controversy: \$7,943.19
- OSC Issued on: n/a

Possible nobody will appear as prior Minute Order states that next hearing is May 15, 2026, so it is unclear how it is on calendar for this date. It is will past Day 360 so an OSC needs to be set either way for 06/03/2026 at 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

64 CVL67791

First National Bank of Omaha vs. Gregory Mays

10/30/2025

First National Bank of Omaha

Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta

Gregory Mays

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

10/30/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

11/14/2025 High Density

Set review hearing only for 11/04/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

65 CVL67440

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A vs. Erica Walling

07/14/2025

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A

Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta

Erica Walling

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

07/14/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/21/2025 High Density

Set OSC re sanction for 08/19/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

67 CVL66035 LVNV Funding, LLC vs. Travis L. Goode

03/15/2024

LVNV Funding, LLC

Attorney: Bryant Burnstad

Travis L. Goode

Review Hearing

Dismissal?

03/15/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

11/21/2025 High Density

Case dismissed by court order on 07/18/2025

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
68 CVL67797	OneMain Financial Group LLC vs. Kevin S Lesley		10/31/2025
	OneMain Financial Group LLC	Attorney: Robert Kennard	
	Kevin S Lesley		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
10/31/2025	Complaint		
		File Tracking 11/14/2025 High Density	

Set review hearing only for 11/04/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

69 CVL67774 PNC Bank, National Association vs. Mary M Pineda

10/27/2025

PNC Bank, National Association Attorney: Douglas Wallace

Mary M Pineda

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

10/27/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

11/14/2025 High Density

Set review hearing only for 11/04/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

70 CV66445

Ashley Ramos vs. Sonora Community Hospital: Adventist Health

09/16/2024

Ashley Ramos

Attorney: Amanda
Heitlinger

**Sonora Community Hospital:
Adventist Health System/West and
DOES 1-10**

Sonora Community Hospital

Attorney: Nicole Bolson
Baarts

Adventist Health System/West

Attorney: Nicole Bolson
Baarts

Review Hearing

Status of Arbitration

09/16/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

02/11/2025 High Density

Arbitration review hearing.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026 10:03 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	--------------------------------	------------------	-------------------

73	CVL67765	TD Bank, N.A. vs. Lisa A Horton	10/24/2025
----	----------	---------------------------------	------------

TD Bank, N.A.

Attorney: Robert Kennard

Lisa A Horton

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

10/24/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

11/14/2025 High Density

Set review hearing only for 11/04/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 10:03 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

74 CVL67752 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. vs. C S Milne 10/20/2025

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. Attorney: Edgar Lopez

C S Milne

Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740

10/20/2025 Complaint

File Tracking 11/14/2025 High Density

Set OSC re sanctions for 11/04/26 @ 10 am

Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger

Department 5

April 15, 2026 1:30 pm

DA Case #

Date Filed

76 FL17016

David Munroe and Samantha Sommerville

03/25/2021

David Munroe

Attorney: Juley Salkeld

Samantha Sommerville

Pre-Trial Conference

Court Trial: Long Cause

Day 1 of 3

Est. Duration: 1.00 Days

03/25/2021 Petition

File Tracking

12/11/2023 High Density

Trial Day 1

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne
Consolidated Calendar
Commissioner Steven Streger**

Department 5	April 15, 2026	1:30 pm	DA Case #	Date Filed
---------------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------

77	FL17564	Wendy Tippett Gast and Sean Gast		04/07/2022
----	---------	---	--	------------

Wendy Tippett Gast

Attorney: Juley Salkeld

Sean Gast

Attorney: Sally Chenault

Wendy Gast

Wendy Gast

Attorney: Juley Salkeld

Sean Gast

Attorney: Sally Chenault

Sean Gast

Attorney: Sally Chenault

Settlement Conference

Settlement reached-Term Sheet

04/07/2022 Petition

04/07/2022 OSC Application

Other Cases

FL17534

File Tracking

01/07/2025 High Density

Entry of final judgment of dissolution.