

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 8:30 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
1 CV66070	<b>Stefan Karl Cathrein vs. Cheryl R Johnson-Gonzales</b>  Stefan Karl Cathrein Cheryl R Johnson-Gonzales Cheryl R Johnson-Gonzales Stefan Karl Cathrein Motion Hearing - Amend Cross Complaint 05/06/2024 Cross Complaint		04/05/2024

**File Tracking**  
08/28/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. Commissioner is already serving as Judge for all purposes.

Off-calendar

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger****Department 5****January 21, 2026 8:30 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

<b>2</b>	<b>CV65956</b>	<b>Allen Collier vs. Advance Building Maintenance, Inc.</b>	<b>05/03/2024</b>
----------	----------------	---	-------------------

**Allen Collier** Attorney: Yet Not Entered**Advance Building Maintenance,  
Inc.****Motion Hearing - Amend****Judgment****Motion Hearing - Set Aside/Vacate****Default and Default Judgment****05/03/2024 Complaint****File Tracking**

02/21/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

**Need full stip.**

This is a wrongful termination case recently resolved by way of default judgment. Following plaintiff's request to amend that judgment, defendant moved to vacate the judgment on *LaSalle* and 473.5 grounds. The motion to vacate is fully briefed. Court is prepared to hear argument on the motion and, assuming the parties stipulate to this Court's service, take the matter under submission and issue a detailed written ruling.

In the interim, perhaps the parties may wish to take a moment and review:

- Au-Yang v. Barton (1999) 21 Cal.4th 958, 963
- Shapell Socal Rental Properties, LLC v. Chico's FAS, Inc. (2022) 85 Cal.App.5th 198, 213
- Fasuyi v. Permatex, Inc. (2008) 167 Cal.App.4th 681, 702
- Levine v Berschneider (2020) 56 CA5th 916, 921
- State Bar of California Attorney Guidelines of Civility and Professionalism § 15
- CCP §§ 415.20(a), 583.130, 587

# Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

## Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5

January 21, 2026 8:30 am

DA Case #

Date Filed

3	CV65594	Darren Dolbear vs. Charles McMahon	09/25/2023
		Darren Dolbear	Attorney: Lilian Sedaghat
		Charles McMahon	
		OSC Hearing - Sanctions	
		re: Dismissal	
		Plaintiff's attorney will be appearing remotely	
09/25/2023	Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 07/11/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. Commissioner is already serving as Judge for all purposes.

This is a personal injury action stemming from an automobile accident that occurred on Tuolumne Road on 09/24/2021. A lawsuit was filed, but little has occurred since then. The following represents the salient timeline of events:

- 09/25/2023: Lawsuit ostensibly filed (pleading not signed)
- 12/29/2023: Plaintiff/counsel fail to appear at CMC
- 02/06/2024: Counsel files declaration falling on sword and explaining that error was result of abrupt departure from firm of handling attorney; advises that a skip trace and service effort is out;
- 08/05/2024: Counsel files a motion to withdraw. Motion was denied for both procedural and substantive defects.
- 11/08/2024: Court sets OSC to strike the complaint, sua sponte, for failing to affix a required signature;
- 12/13/2024: Counsel fails to appear for OSC to strike complaint; second hearing is scheduled;
- 01/29/2025: Counsel files declaration attributing scheduling error to departure of attorney one year prior (despite recent appearances by other attorney in firm);
- 05/01/2025: Plaintiff/counsel file application for service via publication; application is denied for want of due diligence and exhaustion of other options;
- 07/28/2025: Counsel files second motion to withdraw, containing many of the same procedural and substantive defects. Hearing continued;
- 12/23/2025: Plaintiff/counsel file second application for service via publication; application is denied as Union Democrat not a paper likely to provide actual notice to defendant in South Dakota;
- 01/06/2026: Counsel files proof of service reflecting personal service upon defendant at a location in South Dakota.

Court intends to vacate the two pending OSCs and consider favorably counsel's pending motion to withdraw from the case provided that counsel can provide proof that the client has actual notice of the motion to withdraw. Court to set six-month review date.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger****Department 5****January 21, 2026 8:30 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

4	CV67334	Thomas Knowlton vs. Henry Speeth	06/11/2025
		Thomas Knowlton	Attorney: Colin Jones
		Henry Speeth	Attorney: Marissa Vandersluys
		Henry Speeth	Attorney: Marissa Vandersluys
<b>Case Management Conference</b>			
<b>06/11/2025 Complaint</b>		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		07/22/2025	High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. Commissioner is already serving as Judge for all purposes.

This is a personal injury action stemming from an automobile accident. The matter was set on the Law & Motion sub-calendar because this Court did not yet know if plaintiff would file a First Amended Complaint and attempt to replead the prayer for punitive damages at this time (rather than wait for discovery in the case). The pleadings are presently final, so a full CMC can proceed:

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)  
Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

# Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne

## Consolidated Calendar

Hon: Steven Streger

Department 5	January 21, 2026 8:30 am	DA Case #	Date Filed
5 CV67306	Richard Lee Lasater vs. James Gianelli et al		05/29/2025
	Richard Lee Lasater	Pro Per	
	James Gianelli	Attorney: Jason Sommer	
	Kate Powell Segerstrom		
	Jefferey Kaufman		
	Demurrer		
	by defendant Katherine Powell Segerstrom		
05/29/2025 Complaint		File Tracking	07/22/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816.

Related to CV59955 (dismissed) and CV59858 (judgment) and CVL59679 (judgment).

This lawsuit began as a transactional malpractice action involving a commercial real estate contract which included, apparently to the dismay of plaintiff, a favorable tenant option to buy. Plaintiff was originally represented in this case by noted legal malpractice expert David Ostrove, who sub'd out. Plaintiff then filed a First Amended Complaint which, to put it mildly, was a bloated mess. On 10/18/2025, co-defendant James Gianelli demurred to the First Amended Complaint based largely on the running of the statute of limitations. Three weeks later, co-defendant Katherine Powell Segerstrom also demurred to the First Amended Complaint on similar grounds. Plaintiff did not oppose either demurrer; instead, on 12/17/2025, plaintiff filed a Second Amended Complaint. Although plaintiff did not request, let alone secure, leave to file the Second Amended Complaint, and already exhausted his "one free amendment" (see CCP §472), the clerk's office accepted the filing and no defendant has (yet) moved to have it stricken. While the Second Amended Complaint is still no beacon of pleading precision, it is substantively different. Therefore, at present the pending demurrers by defendants Gianelli and Segerstrom are moot. See *JKC3H8 v. Colton* (2013) 221 Cal.App.4th 468, 477.

On 10/24/2025, plaintiff filed a motion to transfer this case from Tuolumne County to San Joaquin County. There are three mechanisms for moving this case to another county. First, if the case was filed in the wrong county, CCP §396a(b) allows the trial court "on its own motion, or on motion of the defendant" to move the case to the correct county. The plaintiff may not request the move. Second, if the case was filed in a permissible county, "the defendant, at the time he or she answers, demurs, or moves to strike," can ask for a transfer. The plaintiff cannot. Third, *any party* may ask to move the case for trial "when there is reason to believe that an impartial trial cannot be had, when the convenience of witnesses and the ends of justice would be promoted by the change, [or] when from any cause there is no judge of the court qualified to act." CCP §397(b)-(d). This request relates only to trial, not to discovery and law and motion. Moreover, the "impartiality" must relate to the factfinder, so if this is to be a jury trial, then the moving party must demonstrate that the local jury pool is incapable of being impartial. Plaintiff's suspicion that he would be unable to find 12 impartial jurors is not supported by anything more than his conspiracy theory. That is insufficient for now, but certainly something that can be raised later in the case as the reality of a jury trial approaches. Motion to transfer denied without prejudice.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger****Department 5****January 21, 2026 8:30 am****DA Case #****Date Filed****6 CV67798****Petition of Mark A. Villasenor**

11/03/2025

**Mark A. Villasenor** Pro Per**County of Tuolumne****William Vanderheiden****Tuolumne County Board of  
Supervisors****Jaron E. Brandon****Tuolumne County Health and  
Human Services Agency****Annie Hockett****Petition Hearing****Writ of Mandate****Motion Hearing - Amend****RESERVED****11/03/2025 Petition****File Tracking**

12/05/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca.gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. Commissioner is already serving as Judge for all purposes.

This is a writ petition relating to transitional housing services provided by the county. At the previous hearing, this Court helped the parties reach an interim resolution whereby petitioner would voluntarily vacate the premises in exchange for accommodation vouchers from the county. This Court has no information suggesting that the agreement was anything but fully consummated.

However, before the Court this day is an unopposed motion for leave to file a Second Amended petition for mandamus. Although leave to amend is to be liberally granted, the proposal amended petition appears to be entirely focused on CPRA issues, which were not genuinely at issue in the original or First Amended petition. There is no opposition to the motion in the court file, but no formal POS attached to the motion (only an email to counsel indicating an intention to seek leave).

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger****Department 5****January 21, 2026 8:30 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

7	CV66763	Christopher Allen Vine vs. Bonnie Corey Rangel	12/17/2024
		Christopher Allen Vine	Attorney: Timothy Trujillo
		Bonnie Corey Rangel	Attorney: Scott Ward
		Case Management Conference	
		FURTHER	
		Motion Hearing - Other	
		Interlocutory Judgment	

12/17/2024 Complaint

File Tracking

07/02/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdz6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. Commissioner is already serving as Judge for all purposes.

This is an action seeking partition by public sale of APN 930-000-904-000. Plaintiff and defendant each own a 50% interest, as tenants in common, of a cabin in Pinecrest. Plaintiff and defendant are related by blood, and reportedly have some bad blood between them. Absent a written waiver, each tenant in common has a statutory right to seek partition. *Orien v. Lutz* (2017) 16 Cal.App.5th 957, 962. Before the Court this day is defendant's unopposed motion to enter an interlocutory judgment (sometimes referred to as a stipulated JOP) on the partition demand. See CCP §872.720(a). Defendant is requesting the appointment of a referee to conduct a sale and to distribute the net proceeds equally. See §§ 872.820, 873.010, 873.210. Given the apparent lack of opposition from plaintiff's counsel, the proposed appointment is Michael Wright is likely to be granted. See §873.040(a).

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger****Department 5****January 21, 2026 8:30 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

8	CV66990	Summer Dawn Whitehouse vs. Clifford Boehrer	02/24/2025
		Summer Dawn Whitehouse	Attorney: Kelly Vierra
		Clifford Boehrer	
		Megan Boehrer	
		Review Hearing	
		re: joinder of Megan? Appearances are not necessary	
02/24/2025	Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 08/08/2025 High Density

Parties and counsel are expected to appear for the hearings unless this note indicates that "no appearance is necessary." Unless a personal appearance is required, all participants may appear via Zoom: <https://tuolumne-courts-ca-gov.zoomgov.com/j/1615813960?pwd=NTRMT0NwMDg5cnlYdzZ6VnBXWWFsUT09>. [Meeting ID: 161 581 3960; Passcode: 123456]. All matters set for hearing in Department 5 are assigned to that department for all pre-trial purposes. Parties retain the right under Cal. Const. art VI §21 to decline consent to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem. By participating in the first hearing, or electing not to attend after due notice, parties are deemed to have stipulated to the Commissioner serving as a Judge Pro Tem for the entire case. See CRC 2.816. Commissioner is already serving as Judge for all purposes.

No appearance is necessary.

This is an action to halt a nonjudicial foreclosure, cancel a deed of trust, remove a cloud, and quiet title to certain real property located within this county which was allegedly encumbered via false representations and possible dependent adult abuse. The matter was set for a default prove-up. At the prior hearing, this Court received evidence from all parties regarding the alleged note/debt underlying the encumbrance and the passage of time since the last payment thereon. A defect in the parties was noted given the absence of Megan, which petitioner has since attempted to cure by filing an amended petition and seeking to effectuate service. It does not appear as though Megan has joined or is yet subject to this Court's jurisdiction, threatening to impact the enforceability of any judgment herein. The matter will need to be continued for resolution of Megan's service and appearance/default.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>		
9 CV67689	In the Matter of Flint Zide		09/24/2025		
	Scott and Associates				
	Hearing: Other				
	Sanctions Paid \$750.00?				
09/24/2025 Sanctions	<table border="1"><tr><td><b>File Tracking</b></td></tr><tr><td>10/01/2025 Cubicle 3</td></tr></table>			<b>File Tracking</b>	10/01/2025 Cubicle 3
<b>File Tracking</b>					
10/01/2025 Cubicle 3					
<u>Other Cases</u>					
CVL66179					

No appearance is necessary.

Sanctions have been paid. Matter can go off-calendar.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
10 CV67420	<b>Brandon Archer vs. Lorenzo Umali Cadapan</b>		07/11/2025
	<b>Brandon Archer</b>	Attorney: Carlos Gomez	
	<b>Lorenzo Umali Cadapan</b>	Attorney: Chad Tapp	
	<b>Case Management Conference</b>		
	Joan Rangel appearing for Chad Tadd via zoom. Emanix		
07/11/2025 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		07/22/2025 High Density	

This is a personal injury action arising out of an automobile accident occurring in August of 2023.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)  
Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation  
MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
11 CVL67207	Capital One, N.A. vs. Raymond M. Albanez		04/24/2025
	Capital One, N.A.	Attorney: Ruonan Wang	
	Raymond M. Albanez		
	Case Management Conference		
04/24/2025 Complaint		File Tracking	
		07/09/2025 High Density	

Collection case.

Complaint  
Answer

Set for trial

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
12 CV66334	Adriana Carrera et al vs. Toste Insurance Services, Adriana Carrera Victor Ayala Valdez Toste Insurance Services, Inc. SafeCo Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction		08/09/2024

08/09/2024 Complaint

File Tracking  
07/09/2025 High Density

This is a negligence and insurance bad faith action involving the cancellation and refusal to pay a property damage claim. The operative pleading is the second amended complaint filed 11/20/2025. Co-defendant Safeco has answered; co-defendant Toste has yet to respond (despite succeeding on a previous demurrer). Co-plaintiff Carrera has elected to step aside from the litigation, leaving only Valdez as a party-plaintiff.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)  
Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation  
MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
13 CVL66890	Cavalry SPV I, LLC vs. Patricia A. Britt  Cavalry SPV I, LLC Patricia A. Britt  OSC Hearing - Sanctions  Sanctions Re: Failure to Comply w/ CRC 3.740 01/15/2025 Complaint		01/15/2025

**File Tracking**  
02/21/2025 High Density

This is a collections case which recently passed Day 360 without a default judgment or a dismissal. An OSC re sanctions was supposed to issue on 10/22/2025, but a review of court file suggests that perhaps a clerical error occurred causing the OSC to not issue.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
14 CVL67591	Connect by America Family vs. Ariana Jaelynn Donovan et al  Connect by America Family Ariana Jaelynn Donovan Olivia Carne  Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction		08/25/2025

**File Tracking**

10/08/2025 High Density

This is a subrogation action commenced on 08/25/2025. There are notes in the court file indicating that the plaintiff has not yet paid a first appearance fee, which would subject the complaint to an order striking if the filing party was put on notice of the deficiency and given sufficient opportunity to cure. There are letters in the file dated 09/02/2025 and 11/13/2025 from the clerk's office informing counsel of the default, with no response noted. There are no clerk notes in the file. There is also no CMC statement on file, suggesting that plaintiff has decided not to pursue this action.

Court intends to dismiss the matter without prejudice.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
15 CVL67621	Connect by American Family vs. Ariana Jaelynn Donovan et al  Connect by American Family      Attorney: Rodolfo Gaba Ariana Jaelynn Donovan Olivia Carne Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction		09/02/2025

**File Tracking**  
10/08/2025 High Density

This appears to be a duplicate of #14 – with a similar filing fee defect.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
16 CVL66582	Discover Bank vs. Elena Greco		10/22/2024
	Discover Bank	Attorney: Hootan Atefyekta	
	Elena Greco		
	Review Hearing		
	Dismissal or Judgment		
10/22/2024 Complaint		File Tracking 05/07/2025 High Density	

This is a collections case that is past Day 360 without service, let alone a default judgment or dismissal. An OSC re sanctions will issue for failure to comply with CRC 2.30 and 3.740.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
17 CVL66608	<b>Discover Bank vs. Elizabeth Hulsey</b>  Discover Bank  Elizabeth Hulsey  Review Hearing  Dismissal or Default Judgment 10/30/2024 Complaint		10/30/2024

**File Tracking**  
07/11/2025 High Density

This is a collections case that is past Day 360 without service, let alone a default judgment or dismissal. An OSC re sanctions will issue for failure to comply with CRC 2.30 and 3.740.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
18 CV66835	Jane Doe 02 vs. Jason Elias		01/10/2025
	Jane Doe 02	Attorney: Paul Lewellyn	
	Jason Elias	Attorney: Ian Scharg	
	Case Management Conference		
	Status		
01/10/2025 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b> 05/27/2025 High Density	

Other Cases

CV66838  
CV66831  
CV66918  
CV66836  
CV66837  
CV66834  
CV66833  
CV66330

This is one of several personal injury actions stemming from a series of alleged sexual abuses by a physical therapist in the course and scope of professional/therapeutic services. The parties have previously requested a stay of the proceeding pending resolution of defendant's "potential" criminal case or investigation by government agencies. Since no criminal charges are currently pending (at least not as to these cases), an indefinite stay is no longer justified.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
19 CV66837	Jane Doe 03 vs. Jason Elias		01/10/2025
	Jane Doe 03	Attorney: Ryan Erickson	
	Jane Doe 03	Attorney: Paul Lewellyn	
	Jason Elias	Attorney: Ian Scharg	
	Case Management Conference		
	Status		
01/10/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/22/2025 High Density	
<u>Other Cases</u>			
CV66838			
CV66831			
CV66918			
CV66836			
CV66834			
CV66833			
CV66330			
CV66835			

See #18

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
20 CV66836	Jane Doe 04 vs. Jason Elias		01/10/2025
	Jane Doe 04	Attorney: Ryan Erickson	
	Jason Elias	Attorney: Ian Scharg	
	Case Management Conference		
	Status		
01/10/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/22/2025 High Density	
<u>Other Cases</u>			
CV66838			
CV66831			
CV66918			
CV66837			
CV66834			
CV66833			
CV66330			
CV66835			

See #18

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
21 CV66838	Jane Doe 05 vs. Jason Elias		01/10/2025
	Jane Doe 05	Attorney: Ryan Erickson	
	Jason Elias	Attorney: Ian Scharg	
	Case Management Conference		
	Status		
01/10/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/22/2025 High Density	
<u>Other Cases</u>			
CV66831			
CV66918			
CV66836			
CV66837			
CV66834			
CV66833			
CV66330			
CV66835			

See #18

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
22 CV66834	Jane Doe 06 vs. Jason Elias		01/10/2025
	Jane Doe 06	Attorney: Ryan Erickson	
	Jason Elias	Attorney: Ian Scharg	
	Case Management Conference		
	Status		
01/10/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/22/2025 High Density	
<u>Other Cases</u>			
CV66838			
CV66831			
CV66918			
CV66836			
CV66837			
CV66833			
CV66330			
CV66835			

See #18

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
23 CV66831	Jane Doe 07 vs. Jason Elias		01/10/2025
	Jane Doe 07	Attorney: Paul Lewellyn	
	Jason Elias	Attorney: Ian Scharg	
	Case Management Conference		
	Status		
01/10/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/22/2025 High Density	
<u>Other Cases</u>			
CV66838			
CV66918			
CV66836			
CV66837			
CV66834			
CV66833			
CV66330			
CV66835			

See #18

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

**Department 5**      **January 21, 2026 10:00 am**      **DA Case #**      **Date Filed**

24 CV66918      **Jane Doe 08 vs. Jason Elias**      02/07/2025

**Jane Doe 8**      Attorney: Ryan Erickson

**Jason Elias**      Attorney: Ian Scharg

**Status Conference**

**02/07/2025 Complaint**

**File Tracking**  
07/09/2025 High Density

Other Cases

CV66838

CV66831

CV66836

CV66837

CV66834

CV66833

CV66330

CV66835

See #18

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
25 CVL67677	<b>Farmers Insurance Exchange vs. Cody Joseph McCullough</b>  <b>Farmers Insurance Exchange, a</b> Attorney: Yet Not Entered <b>California Interinsurance</b> <b>Exchange</b> <b>Cody Joseph McCullough</b>  <b>Case Management Conference</b>  PLAIN filed zoom appearance 1/6/26 09/24/2025 Complaint		09/24/2025

<b>File Tracking</b>
09/30/2025 High Density

This is a subrogation action.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger****Department 5****January 21, 2026 10:00 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

26	CV67159	Fidelity National Title Insurance Co vs. Fields et al	04/14/2025
		<b>Fidelity National Title Insurance Company</b>	Attorney: Christopher Thomas
		<b>Kevin Manuel Fields</b>	
		<b>Christy Adiel Fields</b>	
		<b>Christy Adiel Fields</b>	Attorney: Michael Mahon
		<b>Fidelity National Title Insurance Company</b>	Attorney: Christopher Thomas
		<b>Kevin Manuel Fields</b>	
		<b>Liliana Vass</b>	
		<b>Segerstrom Real Estate, INC., A California Corporation</b>	Attorney: Yet Not Entered
		Case Management Conference	

06/09/2025 Cross Complaint

**File Tracking**

08/11/2025 High Density

This is a statutory subrogation action (Civil Code §1113) arising out of a first-party title insurance pay out following an effort to clear an allegedly undisclosed cloud on title. Christy gave Kevin the house via deed, but Kevin did not timely remove Christy from the loan, and in fact had Christy sign for a new loan with HUD just prior to the sale. Two weeks ago Kevin filed for bankruptcy protection in the Eastern District, effectuating a stay of any effort to effect his assets. Since this entire action, and undoubtedly plaintiff's second cause of action (unjust enrichment) and Christy's cross-complaint, involves his assets, it seems to this Court the entire action must await word from the bankruptcy court. The fact that Fidelity has Kevin's default entered, but no judgment, is of no consequence.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
27 CV67426	Jesse Alan Graham vs. Ramon Emilio Rosales-Ramos		07/14/2025
	Jesse Alan Graham	Attorney: David Axelrod	
	Ramon Emilio Rosales-Ramos		
	Case Management Conference		
07/14/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 07/22/2025 High Density	

This is a personal injury action arising out of an automobile accident in July of 2023. Despite the “fast track” mandates set forth in CRC 3.110, this case is still not at issue despite being filed six months ago.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)

Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation

MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
28 CV67056	<b>Laura Arroyo McQueary vs. Osborne Homes, Inc et al</b>  <b>Laura Arroyo McQueary</b> <b>Osborne Homes, Inc</b> Attorney: Patrick Stark <b>Osborne Homes Holdings, LLC</b> Attorney: Patrick Stark <b>Michael Osborne</b> Attorney: Patrick Stark <b>Matthew Mutton</b> Attorney: Patrick Stark <b>Kathy Lombardo</b> Attorney: Patrick Stark <b>Derek Harwood</b> Attorney: Patrick Stark <b>Case Management Conference</b>		03/12/2025

03/12/2025 Complaint

File Tracking

07/02/2025 High Density

This is a contract dispute regarding an agreement to purchase plaintiff's real property. At the heart of this dispute lies the question of whether defendants had a bona fide basis for cancelling the contract. This is a contract dispute regarding an agreement to purchase real property. According to plaintiff, defendants agreed to purchase her property (APN 087-200-003-000) for \$243,000, but rescinded the deal while in escrow, causing plaintiff to eventually close a sale with another buyer for only \$200,000. Plaintiff filed suit over the \$43,000 difference, alleging seven distinct causes of action. The operative pleading is the First Amended Complaint filed 08/19/2025. There is, as yet, no responsive pleading thereto, despite the passage of the requisite period of time to respond. Plaintiff is no longer represented by counsel. Defendants are represented by counsel and filed a CMC statement.

- o Is the case fully at issue?
- o Do we have all the necessary and/or interested parties before us?
- o Does anyone plan to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- o Are there any related cases?
- o What is the amount in controversy for purposes of jurisdiction only?
- o Jury demanded?
- o Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_  
Thursday @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation  
Mondays @ 8:00 a.m. in Dept 3

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
29 CV67153	Steven Oliveira vs. Donald F. Hipp		04/11/2025
	Steven Oliveira	Attorney: Mark Nelson	
	Donald F. Hipp		
	Case Management Conference		
	FURTHER		
04/11/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 04/14/2025 High Density	

This is a personal injury action arising out of an automobile accident. Based on plaintiff's CMC statement, it appears that the parties have been trying to reach a resolution despite the absence of service or defendant's appearance. However, recent declaration of non service suggests that plaintiff cannot find the defendant. Since CCP §664.6 requires pending litigation, it would make more sense for defendant to be served and appear while these settlement talks carry on.

Court will need to set OSC re sanctions under CRC 3.110 if defendant is not served or makes a voluntary general appearance by the next hearing.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
30 CVL67672	Pine Mountain Lake Association vs. Alexander Joseph Perez		09/23/2025
	Pine Mountain Lake Association	Attorney: J. Isherwood	
	Alexander Joseph Perez		
	Case Management Conference		
09/23/2025 Complaint		File Tracking	
		09/24/2025 High Density	

Off-calendar ... judgment entered

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
31 CVL67073	<b>Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC vs. Erik Waldie</b>  Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC Erik Waldie Case Management Conference		03/14/2025

Collections case

Complaint  
Answer

Set trial

**File Tracking**  
03/20/2025 High Density

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
32 CV67643	Javier Rodriguez Soltero et al vs Jayco RV, Inc et al		09/16/2025
	Javier Rodriguez Soltero	Attorney: Lawrence Hutchens	
	Jayco RV, Inc	Attorney: Vincent Axelson	
	Stier's RV Center, LLC	Attorney: Vincent Axelson	
	Ashley R Rodriguez	Attorney: Lawrence Hutchens	
	Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction		
09/16/2025 Petition		File Tracking 09/16/2025 High Density	

This is a lemon law case.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)  
Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation  
MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
33 CV67224	<b>Jessica Smittle et al vs. Kaden Fields et al</b>		05/06/2025
	<b>Jessica Smittle</b>	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	<b>Noah Smittle</b>	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	<b>Kaden Fields</b>	Attorney: Melissa Raffalow	
	<b>Douglas Fields</b>	Attorney: Melissa Raffalow	
	<b>Mac Smittle</b>	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	<b>Case Management Conference</b>		
05/06/2025 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		08/11/2025 High Density	

This is a personal injury action stemming from an automobile accident in Jamestown. Plaintiff's CMC statement suggests that the parties may be close to a settlement but that new parties may soon be added to the case.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)  
Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation  
MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
34 CV67687	<b>State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins Co vs. Linda Mason et al</b>		09/29/2025
	<b>State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company</b>	Attorney: Marissa Vandersluy	
	<b>Linda Mason</b>		
	<b>Timothy Boling</b>		
	<b>Case Management Conference</b>		
09/29/2025 Petition		<b>File Tracking</b> 09/30/2025 High Density	

This is a UIM arbitration action commenced pursuant to CA Insurance Code §11580.2(f) for the presumptively singular purpose of establishing superior court jurisdiction. There is in fact no requirement to conduct case management conferences or similar hearings in a case such as this when the parties have already agreed to arbitrate the dispute since jurisdiction is only established to allow the parties to engage the discovery machinery as part of their contractual arbitration. However, it is necessary to determine when the need for superior court jurisdiction is concluded so that the action may properly be closed. As such, it is customary to set an OSC re dismissal every 4-6 months.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
35 CVL67452	Synchrony Bank vs. Jaime Cardoza		07/16/2025
	Synchrony Bank	Attorney: Megan Gramlich	
	Jaime Cardoza	Pro Per	
	Case Management Conference		
07/16/2025 Complaint		File Tracking	
		08/28/2025 High Density	

Collections case

Complaint  
Answer

Set trial

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
36 CV67246	Paul Vaughan vs. Jill Carne		05/05/2025
	Paul Vaughan	Attorney: Yet Not Entered	
	Jill Carne		
	Case Management Conference		
05/05/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/12/2025 High Density	

This is a civil action alleging a single cause of action for financial elder abuse. Plaintiff is the executor of decedent's estate per order issued in PR12545 dtd 01/31/25. He is asserting a claim in that representative capacity against his "sister" Jill for her alleged misappropriation of decedent's banking funds during his lifetime. Jill never filed her declination to serve as coexecutor. Neither the bank accounts, nor this lawsuit, were inventoried in the estate case.

Full CMC:

- Is the case fully at issue?
- Are all parties present or defaulted?
- Any plans to add parties or amend/attack the pleadings?
- Any related cases?
- Amount in controversy?
- Jury demanded?
- Time estimate?

Trial: \_\_\_\_\_ (Mon @ 8:00 a.m. Dept 3)  
Thursday Prior @ 3:30 p.m. Trial Readiness Conference/Confirmation  
MSC available in Dept 2 or 5.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
37 CVL66324	Velocity Investments LLC vs. Connie Plass  Velocity Investments LLC Connie Plass OSC Hearing - Sanctions Continued, Failure to Dismiss 07/31/2024 Complaint		07/31/2024

<b>File Tracking</b> 08/08/2025 High Density
---

This is a collections case. Although plaintiff claims that the parties settled the case, and that the settlement agreement was subsequently breached, CRC 3.740 obligates the plaintiff to either dismiss the case or secure a default judgment within 360 days from filing. This case has been active for 1.5 years and there is no default, no judgment, no proof of there having been any settlement actually brokered, and no motion to enforce the settlement or have any stipulated judgment entered. An OSC was issued on 07/31/2025, and continued as a courtesy to counsel on the representation that updated pleadings were en route. The waiting game has run its course.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
38 CVL67145	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. vs. Brittney A. Burd		04/08/2025
	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	Attorney: Harlan Reese	
	Brittney A. Burd	Attorney: Timothy Reed	
	Case Management Conference		
04/08/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 04/17/2025 High Density	

Collections case

Complaint  
Answer

Set trial

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
39 CVL67590	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. vs. Robert Ballash  Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. Attorney: Edgar Lopez  Robert Ballash  Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction		08/25/2025

Collections case

Complaint  
Answer

Set trial

**File Tracking**  
10/08/2025 High Density

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
40 CVL67457	Wells Fargo Bank, NA vs. Jebediah Scoggins		07/21/2025
	Wells Fargo Bank, NA	Attorney: Tiffany Pack	
	Jebediah Scoggins	Pro Per	
	Case Management Conference - CMC-Delay Reduction		
07/21/2025 Complaint		File Tracking	
		07/24/2025 High Density	

Collections case

Complaint  
Answer

Set trial

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
41 CV65474	<b>Feliciano Yanez vs. The Standard Pour, LLC et al</b>		07/28/2023
	<b>Feliciano Yanez</b>	Attorney: Jack Mantych	
	<b>Feliciano Yanez</b>	Attorney: Arnold Reed	
	<b>The Standard Pour, LLC</b>	Attorney: Gary Dambacher	
	<b>Eric Carlisle Davis</b>	Attorney: Gary Dambacher	
	<b>The Pour, LLC</b>	Attorney: Diana Sensale	
	<b>Benites Distributing Co. Inc.</b>	Attorney: Davis Reilly	
	<b>Benites Distributing Co. Inc.</b>	Attorney: Davis Reilly	
	<b>Review Hearing</b>		
	Dismissal?		
07/28/2023 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		08/13/2025	High Density

Off calendar – complete revised dismissal now filed

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:00 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
42 CV65688	Christopher Yates vs. Titan Solar Power Ca Inc		11/06/2023
Christopher Yates	Attorney: Laney Rooks		
Titan Solar Power Ca Inc.	Attorney: Yet Not Entered		
Robert Yates	Attorney: Laney Rooks		
Case Management Conference			
Bankruptcy Status			
11/06/2023 Complaint		File Tracking 08/11/2025 High Density	

This is a breach of contract and fraud action relating to a home improvement project to install solar panels. The provider has recently filed for bankruptcy protection, resulting in an automatic stay. Motions are pending in Arizona for permission to proceed here.

Defense counsel indicates that the parties have reached a settlement but that does not state whether the bankruptcy court has lifted the stay so that the agreement can be vetted here.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
43 CVL67259	<b>Bank of America, N.A. vs. Kara Theresa Gutierrez</b>		05/13/2025
	<b>Bank of America, N.A.</b>	Attorney: Robert Kennard	
	<b>Kara Theresa Gutierrez</b>		
	<b>Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740</b>		
05/13/2025 Complaint		<b>File Tracking</b>	
		05/14/2025 High Density	

Off-calendar. Case dismissed back in September.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

Department	Date Filed	DA Case #	Case Number	Plaintiff	Defendant	Case Type
5	January 21, 2026	10:03 am				
44	CVL67649			Bank of America, N.A.	vs. Gregory Churchward	09/10/2025
				Bank of America, N.A.		Attorney: Gregory Parks
				Gregory Churchward		
				Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
09/10/2025	Complaint			File Tracking		
				09/19/2025	High Density	

## New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for early October.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

Department 5	DA Case #	Date Filed
45 CVL67301	Barclays Bank Delaware vs. Barbara Stanley	05/23/2025
	Barclays Bank Delaware	Attorney: Michael Mallonga
	Barbara Stanley	
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740	
05/23/2025 Complaint	File Tracking 07/10/2025 Archive Room	

Off-calendar. Clerk's judgment entered in August.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
46 CVL67348	Capital One, N.A. vs. Meghan Rocha  Capital One, N.A., successor by merger to Discover Bank Meghan Rocha  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		06/16/2025

06/16/2025 Complaint

**File Tracking**

06/17/2025 High Density

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for late June.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
47 CVL67278	CKS Prime Investments LLC vs. Bruce Abbot		05/15/2025
	CKS Prime Investments LLC	Attorney: Bryant Burnstad	
	Bruce Abbot		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
05/15/2025 Complaint		File Tracking	
		05/20/2025 High Density	

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for late May.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
48 CVL67126	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Grace Harrell  Crown Asset Management, LLC      Attorney: David McGaffey Grace Harrell Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		04/01/2025

<b>File Tracking</b>
04/17/2025    High Density

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for mid April.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
49 CVL67358	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Joseph M Harrelson  Crown Asset Management, LLC      Attorney: Ann Doan  Joseph M Harrelson  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		06/20/2025

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for late June.

**File Tracking**  
06/23/2025 Cubicle 12

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
50 CVL67340	Crown Asset Management, LLC vs. Derek Craig  Crown Asset Management, LLC assignee of Blue Ridge Bank (Upgrade Inc.) Derek Craig  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		06/12/2025

**File Tracking**  
06/12/2025 High Density

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for late June.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne****Consolidated Calendar****Hon: Steven Streger****Department 5****January 21, 2026 10:03 am****DA Case #****Date Filed**

---

**51 CVL67141 Discover Bank vs. Judy M. Overstreet 04/07/2025****Discover Bank** Attorney: Hasan Misherghi**Judy M. Overstreet****Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740****04/07/2025 Complaint**

<b>File Tracking</b>
04/17/2025 High Density

Off-calendar. Clerk's judgment entered in September.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
52 CVL67234	Discover Bank vs. Patricia T. Jones  Discover Bank Patricia T. Jones  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		05/01/2025

**File Tracking**  
05/12/2025 High Density

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for late May.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
53 CVL67240	Discover Bank vs. Paul Ravelli		05/02/2025
	Discover Bank	Attorney: Robert Cox	
	Paul Ravelli		
	Review Hearing		
05/02/2025 Complaint		File Tracking	
		05/12/2025 High Density	

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for late May.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
54 CVL67127	LVNV Funding, LLC vs. John T. Moody		04/01/2025
	LVNV Funding, LLC	Attorney: Julli Bhuskute	
	John T. Moody		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/01/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 04/17/2025 High Density	

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for late April.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
55 CVL67239	LVNV Funding, LLC vs. Kimber Betzenderfer  LVNV Funding, LLC Kimber Betzenderfer Review Hearing  05/02/2025 Complaint		05/02/2025

**File Tracking**  
05/12/2025 High Density

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for mid May.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
56 CVL67302	Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC vs. Rachelle Diffenderfer  Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC Rachelle Diffenderfer  Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		05/23/2025

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for early June.

**File Tracking**  
05/28/2025 High Density

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
57 CVL67248	Synchrony Bank vs. Bobbi Hart		05/06/2025
	Synchrony Bank	Attorney: Spencer Penuela	
	Bobbi Hart		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
05/06/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 05/12/2025 High Density	

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for late May.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026 10:03 am</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
58 CVL67125	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. vs. Kristin Herrin		04/01/2025
	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	Attorney: Anthony Lio	
	Kristin Herrin		
	Review Hearing - Collections Case CRC 3.740		
04/01/2025 Complaint		File Tracking 04/16/2025 High Density	

New collections case.

Set OSC and review hearing for late April.

**Superior Court of California, County of Tuolumne**  
**Consolidated Calendar**  
**Hon: Steven Streger**

<b>Department 5</b>	<b>January 21, 2026</b>	<b>1:30 pm</b>	<b>DA Case #</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>
59 FL18346	Jodi Gates and Jamie Gates			11/01/2023
	Jodi Gates	Pro Per		
	Jamie Gates	Attorney: Mark Smith		
	California Public Employee's Retirement System Settlement Conference			
11/01/2023 Petition			File Tracking 04/28/2025 High Density	

Settlement conference. Status already bifurcated. Pension joined. Spousal support at issue, but §4323 overlay.