

If you wish to appear for oral argument, you must so notify the court and opposing counsel by 4:00 p.m. one court day before the hearing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1308. The court telephone number is (209) 533-6524.

Absent a request for oral argument, the tentative ruling will be adopted as final at the time set for hearing.

1. **PR11275** **In the Matter of the Hauschildt 1994 Revocable Trust, et al.**

Motion: Dismissal
Moving parties: Respondents David Hauschildt, Heather Hauschildt, and Mark Olson

Tentative ruling: The unopposed motion is GRANTED.

Analysis

Petitioner failed to file an amended petition within the 30-day period allowed by the Court's order dated June 10, 2016.

2. **CV58808** **Charles O. Gates, et al. v. Thomas Ritz, et al.**

Motion: Attorney fees
Moving parties: Defendants Thomas F. Ritz and Ingrid Ritz

Tentative ruling: No tentative ruling is available.

3. **CV59563** **Richard Woolley v. Tim Durland, et al.**

Motions: (1) Compel responses to form interrogatories, set one; request for sanctions in the amount of \$700
 (2) Compel responses to special interrogatories, set one; request for sanctions in the amount of \$380
 (3) Compel responses to request for production of documents, set one; request for sanctions in the amount of \$380

Moving parties: Defendants Tim Durland, Jr., and Tim Durland, Sr.

Tentative ruling: The unopposed motions are GRANTED. Sanctions are awarded to Defendants in the total amount of \$750.

If you wish to appear for oral argument, you must so notify the court and opposing counsel by 4:00 p.m. one court day before the hearing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.1308. The court telephone number is (209) 533-6524.

Absent a request for oral argument, the tentative ruling will be adopted as final at the time set for hearing.

4. **CV59625** **Cody Williams v. State of California, et al.**

Motion: Demurrer
Moving party: Defendant State of California

Tentative ruling: The unopposed demurrer is SUSTAINED.

5. **CV59658** **Jane Doe v. Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District, et al.**

Motion: Demurrer to Plaintiff Mary Smith’s Second Amended Complaint
Moving party: Defendant Dave Urquhart

Tentative ruling: The demurrer is SUSTAINED.

Analysis

The second amended complaint (SAC) filed on June 27, 2016, alleges four causes of action against Defendant Dave Urquhart: negligence, negligent supervision, negligent failure to report, and negligence per se (violation of Penal Code section 11166). Mr. Urquhart demurs to all four causes of action alleged against him by Plaintiff Mary Smith for failure to state a cause of action. The demurrer is sustained because, while Ms. Smith is alleged to have been “sexually harassed, abused and molested” by Defendant Jeremy Monn “on multiple occasions ranging from in or around December 2011 through August of 2012” (SAC ¶ 16), Mr. Urquhart is not alleged to have employed by Defendant Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District until Mr. Monn’s “second and last year (school year 2013 to 2014)” (*id.* ¶ 13).